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Abstract

This paper presents the conceptual design of a new low-cost measurement system for the determination of pollutant concentrations associated with aircraft operations. The proposed system employs Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) and passive electro-optics equipment installed in two non-collocated components. The source component consists of a tuneable small-size and low-cost/weight LIDAR emitter, which can be installed either on airborne or ground-based autonomous vehicles, or in fixed surface installations. The sensor component includes a target surface calibrated for reflectance and passive electro-optics equipment calibrated for radiance, both installed on an adjustable support. The proposed bistatic system determines the column-averaged molecular and aerosol pollutant concentrations along the LIDAR beam by measuring the cumulative absorption and scattering phenomena along the optical slant range. The molecular column densities are measured by means of Differential Absorption LIDAR (DIAL), which exploits the known molecular vibration processes for non-ambiguous species detection. Aerosol concentrations such as particulate and soot are determined by means of knowledge-based inversion with regularization. The laboratory calibration of the system components is also discussed. Previously published uncertainty analysis results highlighted the positive qualities of the proposed measurement system even in degraded meteorological conditions, making the proposed bistatic LIDAR a viable alternative to other systems currently employed.

Introduction

The steady growth of air transport worldwide is posing new challenges to policy-makers, scientists and operators as the already unsustainable environmental impacts are predicted to further increase not only in their absolute values but also in their share of the overall man-made emissions. In particular, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) originally estimated the aviation-related carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions to be between 2% and 3% of global anthropogenic CO2 emissions [1]. Due to the sustained growth rates, this figure is already being revised [2] and is expected to increase by 50% over current levels by 2050 [3]. Policy-makers and researchers around the world are thus increasingly committed to revert the unsustainable trends. Major aerospace and aviation modernisation programmes launched in the recent years, including Clean Sky, NextGen, SESAR, Greener by Design, Environmentally Responsible Aviation, are therefore focusing on a number of strategies to reduce environmental impacts of transport aircraft in the future. The combustion of hydrocarbon fuels produces a number of noxious compounds as well as greenhouse gases, of which CO2 is the principal representative, being the largest component of exhaust emissions. As a reference, assuming an average chemical composition of C12H26 [4], each kilogram of typical Jet-A1 fuel can develop up to 3.16 kilograms of CO2, therefore the total carbon-related radiative forcing impact of each single commercial flight is considerable. The legislation currently in place for noise pollution control and charging is expected to be gradually extended to CO2 and possibly other pollutants in the future, possibly exploiting suitable forms of Emission Trading Schemes (ETS). The real-time and continuous monitoring of emissions will significantly enhance the effectiveness, equity and overall viability of ETS for aviation [5], therefore, it is envisioned that large airports and high air traffic density areas will likely be monitored in terms of pollutant emissions.

Current research activities are addressing new sensor technologies and measurement techniques for the determination of aviation pollutant concentrations. The new systems should feature either: greater operational flexibility, better sensitivity, accuracy, precision, reliability, greater spectral/spatial/temporal resolutions, and reduced weight/volume/costs. The research community is interested, in particular, in the spatial and temporal variations of macroscopic observables, and on the microphysical and chemical properties of atmospheric constituents and pollutants, including molecular, aerosol and particulate species [6, 7]. An accurate measurement of CO2 concentration variations in space and time related to aircraft operations is particularly important. Recently developed powerful LIDAR systems with low weight and packaged in relatively small casings, are ideally suited for the development of measurement systems targeting the column densities of various important molecular species, including carbon oxides (COx), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulphur dioxides (SOx), O3 and ozone (O3), both locally and over extended geographic areas [8, 9].

Measurement System Concept

The conceptual design of the bistatic measurement system was initially proposed in [10], based on previous research [11-14]. As depicted in Fig. 1, the proposed system consists of a LIDAR emitter installed on a Remotely Piloted Aircraft System (RPAS) or on fixed/movable surface installations, and a sensor component.
The sensor component consists of a target surface featuring high and diffused reflectance and exhibiting Lambertian behaviour, such as Spectralon™, and a visible/infrared camera mounted on a rail. The RPAS platform flies pre-determined trajectories based on the required space and time frames of the measurement. Fig. 2 depicts the payload bay of a recent variant of the AEROSONDE RPAS, which will serve as the initial reference for the system components design.

![Payload bay of a recent variant of the AEROSONDE RPAS.](image)

In [10] we introduced the key features of the measuring system and discussed the rationale supporting its development. A calibration technique was also introduced, employing a second ground-based LIDAR emitter and electro-optics photo-detectors. This article reviews and updates our research results, discussing a laboratory calibration of the system components.

### Atmospheric Propagation

The propagation of laser radiation in atmosphere is affected by a number of linear and nonlinear effects. Assuming a Gaussian profile of the laser beam at the source and an average focused irradiance, the following expression identifies the dependencies of the peak irradiance $I_p$, on absorption, scattering, diffraction, jitter, atmospheric turbulence and thermal blooming effects [13, 15]:

$$I_p(z, \lambda) = \frac{b(z) \tau(z, \lambda) P(\lambda)}{\pi (a_d(z, \lambda) + a_j(z) + a_t(z, \lambda))}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $z$ is the linear coordinate along the beam, $\lambda$ is the wavelength, $P(\lambda)$ is the transmitted laser power, $b$ is the blooming factor, $\tau(z, \lambda)$ is the transmittance coefficient, which accounts for absorption and scattering associated with all molecular and aerosol species present in the path. The $1/e$ beam radiuses associated with diffraction, $a_d(z, \lambda)$, beam jitter, $a_j(z)$, and turbulence, $a_t(z, \lambda)$, can be calculated as [7, 15]:

$$a_d(z, \lambda) = \frac{Q \lambda}{2 \pi a_o}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$a_j(z) = 2(\theta_z^2) z^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$a_t(z, \lambda) = \frac{2 e^{Q^2} n_a^2 z^2}{\lambda^{1/3}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $Q$ is the beam quality factor, $a_o$ is the beam $1/e$ radius, $(\theta_z)^2$ is the variance of the single axis jitter angle that is assumed to be equal to $(\theta_z^2)$, and $C_n^2$ is the refractive index structure constant. An empirical model for the blooming factor $b(z)$, which is the ratio of the bloomed $I_p$ to unbloomed $I_{UB}$ peak irradiance, is:

$$b(z) = \frac{I_p}{I_{UB}} = \frac{1}{1 + 0.0625 N^2(z)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$N$ is the thermal distortion parameter, calculated as:

$$N(z) = \frac{\pi n_T c_0}{\pi n_T c_0 c_p} \cdot \left[ \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^R a_0 \frac{a}{(z')^2} dz' \int_0^\infty n(x, z') J_0(z') dz'' \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $v_0$ is the uniform wind velocity in the weak attenuation limit ($v_0 \ll 1$), $n_T$, $c_0$, and $c_p$ are, respectively, the coefficients of index change with respect to temperature, density, and specific heat at constant pressure. The transmittance coefficient $\tau$ depends on the integral effect of absorption and scattering phenomena, both for molecular and aerosol species, on the entire beam length.

### Retrieval of Molecular Species

When referring to the integral absorption and scattering due to specific molecular species, it is possible to adopt the following expression of the transmittance:

$$\tau(z, \lambda) = e^{-\int_0^L \psi_i(\lambda) dz} = e^{-\int_0^L \sum \psi_i(\lambda) n_i(z)} dz$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where:

$$\psi_i(\lambda) = \text{cross-section of the } i^{th} \text{ species}$$

$$n_i = \text{molecular volume density of the } i^{th} \text{ species}$$

The retrieval of molecular species is based on the Differential Absorption LIDAR (DIAL) technique. In particular, the laser source emits beams at two predefined wavelengths, of which the first ($\lambda_{ON}$) is selected in correspondence of a major vibrational band of the targeted pollutant molecule (on-absorption line), clear from the transition/vibration spectrum of other atmospheric components. The second wavelength ($\lambda_{OFF}$) is selected outside the vibrational band (off-absorption line) so that the difference in cross-sections, $\Delta \psi = \psi(\lambda_{ON}) - \psi(\lambda_{OFF})$ in association with the targeted pollutant is maximised. A number of databases and atmospheric Radiative Transfer Model (RTM) codes are available and allow an accurate estimation of the propagation spectrum for identifying the optimal combination of DIAL wavelengths based on the mentioned criteria. The RTM codes adopted in this research are freely available and based on the HITRAN database (acronym for High Resolution Transmission), as documented in [12]. The functional block diagram of the bistatic DIAL measurement system is represented in Fig. 3.

![Differential Absorption LIDAR (DIAL) technique.](image)
From Eq. 7, the fraction between the measured incident laser energy associated with the on-absorption line of pollutant species $P$ and the one associated with the off-absorption line, $R_{ON/OFF}$, can be expressed as [10]:

$$R_{ON/OFF} = \frac{e(\lambda_{ON})}{e(\lambda_{OFF})} = \frac{\tau_{ON}}{\tau_{OFF}} = e^{-[\psi_P(\lambda_{ON})-\psi_P(\lambda_{OFF})]} \int_0^L n_P(r) \, dr$$  

(8)

where $D$ is the total beam length. The total pollutant column density $N_P$, which is the integral of the molecular volume density on the entire beam, is therefore:

$$N_P = \int_0^L n_P(r) \, dr = -\frac{\ln(R_{ON/OFF})}{\Delta \psi}$$  

(9)

The average molecular volume concentration of the pollutant on the path, $\bar{n}_P$, is therefore:

$$\bar{n}_P = \frac{N_P}{D} = -\frac{\ln(R_{ON/OFF})}{D \cdot \Delta \psi}$$  

(10)

As evident from Eq. 8 to 10, the DIAL measurement neglects most of the parasite phenomena, as they are assumed to equally affect the off-absorption and the on-absorption transmittances. For the specific carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) measurement system implementation, a successfully adopted on-absorption wavelength is $\lambda_{ON} = 1572.335$ nm [16,22], in the Near-InfraRed (NIR).

**Particle Retrieval**

The retrieval of aerosol concentrations was originally examined in [12]. As per eq. 1, both molecular and aerosol concentrations in the transmission medium (i.e. the atmosphere) introduce absorption and scattering phenomena that affect the laser beam propagation. Therefore, the atmospheric transmittance measurement data accumulated in a certain time period using passive imaging systems enable the concurrent retrieval of aerosol concentrations. The difficulty in developing inversion algorithms lies in the fact that the input optical data are related to the investigated microphysical parameters through nonlinear integral equations of the first kind (Fredholm equations), which cannot be solved analytically. The generalised form of the Fredholm equation for atmospheric data retrieval is:

$$a(\lambda), \beta(\lambda) = \int K_{a, \beta}(r, n, k, \lambda) \cdot D(r) \, dr$$  

(11)

where $a(\lambda), \beta(\lambda)$ represent the optical data, $K_{a, \beta}$ is the atmospheric kernel function (containing information on particle size, refractive index etc.) and $D(r)$ is the particle size distribution. The numerical solution of these equations leads to the so called ill-posed inverse problem. Such problems are characterised by a strong sensitivity of the solution space toward uncertainties of the input data, the non-uniqueness of the solution space, and the incompleteness of the solution space. In fact, the solution space may still be correct in a mathematical sense, but might not necessarily reflect the physical conditions. As the problem cannot be entirely defined by the measurements, a priori knowledge of the state vector is required in order to determine the most probable solution, with a probabilistic Bayesian approach. Let $y$ be the measurement vector containing the measured radiances, and $x$ be the concentration of a given constituent, then the general remote sensing equation can be written as follows [9]:

$$y = f(x, b) + \epsilon$$  

(12)

where $f$ represents the forward transfer function, $b$ the other parameters affecting the measurement, and $\epsilon$ the measurement noise. In the case of instruments measuring laser radiance, the vector $b$ includes the target surface reflectance and radiance features (BRDF, reflectivity, emissivity and temperature), the variables describing the atmospheric state (vertical turbulence profile, temperature, water vapour and other atmospheric constituents, clouds, aerosols, etc.), and some characteristics of the measurement instruments (spectral response functions and resolution). The inverse problem consists in retrieving $\hat{x}$, an estimate of the true state $x$, from the measurement $y$, and can be expressed as:

$$\hat{x} = R(y, \hat{b}) = R(f(x, b) + \epsilon, \hat{b})$$  

(13)

where $\hat{b}$ is an estimate of the non-retrieved parameters $b$, and $R$ is the inverse transfer function. This a priori information consists of an a priori state vector $x_a$ and its covariance matrix $S_a$, which may be provided by model simulations. Therefore, the inverse problem can be rewritten as follows:

$$\hat{x} = R(y, \hat{b}, x_a)$$  

(14)

Various inversion techniques were proposed. One of the most popular approaches is the inversion with regularisation, offering the advantage of reducing oscillations in the solution that are frequently experienced in data retrieved from electro-optical measurements [6,15]. This approach consists in introducing constraints, such as derivative analysis (smoothness) of the particle size distribution functions, positive sign of the functions and maximum variations over time. The comprehensive inversion algorithm with regularisation is depicted in Fig. 4.
Using appropriate kernel/base functions, this algorithm can deliver parameters such as effective (average) particle radius, particle size distribution, total surface-area concentration, total number/volume concentrations, real and imaginary parts of the refractive index, single scattering albedo, etc. The base functions are Gaussian fits of the existing particle concentration data and are used to reconstruct the investigated particle size distributions. The kernel functions describe the interaction of laser radiation with the atmosphere and contain information about the atmospheric transmittance, including scattering and absorption processes.

**Laboratory Calibration**

The photo-camera calibration is an experimental procedure that allows determination of the Integrated Radiance Response Function (AIRF) [7, 23]. A highly selective filter (i.e., response centred on the laser wavelength) is used in conjunction with the photo-camera to detect the laser spot energy on the target and to generate a Pixel Intensity Matrix (PIM) in a high resolution greyscale format. The calibration setup is shown in Fig. 5.

Good synchronisation is extremely difficult even at low PRF and almost impossible as the PRF increases. Therefore a careful analysis is required in order to determine the optimal frame rate for the camera acquisition as a function of known laser pulse parameters. Since the camera frames are not synchronised with the laser pulses, considering the camera acquisition windows sequence as time base (tB), the instant of arrival of the first laser pulse (reflected from the target) at the camera (Ta) can be treated as a random variable. Example results of a frame rate optimisation analysis, referred to camera 'dark-time' (Td) and other settings of the photo-camera (e.g., the gain of the read-out electronics which may be selected by the operator) are summarised in Fig. 6. Parameters describing the train of pulses are the pulse duration (τ), the pulse period (Tp) and the PRF (f). Similarly, the camera image acquisition process is defined by the frame period (Tf) and the camera acquisition time (Ta). Generally Ta is inferior to Tf. The difference between Tf and Ta is the so called camera ‘dark-time’ (Td).

**Figure 4.** Block diagram of the particle retrieval algorithm [12].

**Figure 5.** Layout of the photo-camera calibration.

The response of a single pixel in terms of Analogue Digital Unit (ADU) is:

\[
ADU_{i,j} \propto \frac{A}{4 f_p^2 + 1} \cdot g \cdot \ell_{time} \cdot \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} (\tau_0 \cdot \eta_D \cdot E_0) \, d\lambda
\]

(15)

Figure 6. Photo-camera acquisition sequence and laser pulses.

Good synchronisation is extremely difficult even at low PRF and almost impossible as the PRF increases. Therefore a careful analysis is required in order to determine the optimal frame rate for the camera acquisition as a function of known laser pulse parameters.

Where:

- \( \lambda_{1,2} \) = limits of the photo-camera spectral band filter
- \( \eta_D \) = detector quantum efficiency
- \( E_0 \) = spectral radiance
- \( \tau_0 \) = optics transmittance
- \( A \) = pixel area
- \( g \) = read-out electronics gain
- \( f_0 \) = optics f-number
- \( t_{time} \) = photo-camera integration time
This paper presented the conceptual development of an innovative measurement system for the determination of pollutant concentrations associated with aircraft operations. The system employs Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) and passive electro-optics equipment to perform an accurate estimation of column-averaged molecular and aerosol concentrations along the slant optical path, by measuring the cumulative effect of absorption and scattering optical processes along the LIDAR beam. In particular, the molecular column densities are derived by means of the Differential Absorption LIDAR (DIAL) method, exploiting the molecular vibration processes for non-ambiguous species detection. The estimation of aerosol concentrations such as particulate and soot can be obtained, on the other hand, by knowledge-based inversion with regularisation. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the primarily addressed molecular pollutant, as its contributions to radiative forcing are a concern for the environmental sustainability of the aviation industry. The bистatic measurement system is specifically conceived to supply fundamental real-time inputs related to the pollution levels to novel Air Traffic Management (ATM) systems, currently researched, for the dynamic reconfiguration of air traffic flows and airspace sectors [24]. A preliminary uncertainty analysis addressing the CO₂ column density measurements confirmed the positive qualities of the proposed system even in degraded meteorological conditions, making it a viable alternative to the relatively more complex and costly monostatic LIDAR systems currently available. Ongoing research activities are extending the system concept of operations to other families of aviation pollutants such as nitrogen oxides (NOₓ), sulphur oxides (SOₓ), and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC). This activity will benefit, in particular, from the continuing evolution of small-size and low-weight tuneable laser emitter technology. Further system development phases will involve laboratory testing as well as flight testing in various representative conditions. In particular, the concurrent development and experimental testing activities of other RPAS-based LIDAR systems for Sense-and-Avoid (SAA) applications will be highly synergic [25]. The experimental flight testing activity will be performed in a suitably developed laser test range in full compliance with eye-safety requirements [14, 23].

Conclusions

This paper presents the conceptual development of an innovative measurement system for the determination of pollutant concentrations associated with aircraft operations. The system employs Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) and passive electro-optics equipment to perform an accurate estimation of column-averaged molecular and aerosol concentrations along the slant optical path, by measuring the cumulative effect of absorption and scattering optical processes along the LIDAR beam. In particular, the molecular column densities are derived by means of the Differential Absorption LIDAR (DIAL) method, exploiting the molecular vibration processes for non-ambiguous species detection. The estimation of aerosol concentrations such as particulate and soot can be obtained, on the other hand, by knowledge-based inversion with regularisation. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the primarily addressed molecular pollutant, as its contributions to radiative forcing are a concern for the environmental sustainability of the aviation industry. The bистatic measurement system is specifically conceived to supply fundamental real-time inputs related to the pollution levels to novel Air Traffic Management (ATM) systems, currently researched, for the dynamic reconfiguration of air traffic flows and airspace sectors [24]. A preliminary uncertainty analysis addressing the CO₂ column density measurements confirmed the positive qualities of the proposed system even in degraded meteorological conditions, making it a viable alternative to the relatively more complex and costly monostatic LIDAR systems currently available. Ongoing research activities are extending the system concept of operations to other families of aviation pollutants such as nitrogen oxides (NOₓ), sulphur oxides (SOₓ), and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC). This activity will benefit, in particular, from the continuing evolution of small-size and low-weight tuneable laser emitter technology. Further system development phases will involve laboratory testing as well as flight testing in various representative conditions. In particular, the concurrent development and experimental testing activities of other RPAS-based LIDAR systems for Sense-and-Avoid (SAA) applications will be highly synergic [25]. The experimental flight testing activity will be performed in a suitably developed laser test range in full compliance with eye-safety requirements [14, 23].
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