Numerical simulations of 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials in both compression and tension
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\section*{Abstract}

Auxetic materials exhibit uncommon behaviour, i.e. they will shrink (expand) laterally under compression (tension). This novel feature has attracted intense research interest. However, most of previous works focus on auxetic behaviour in either compression or tension. Most of the auxetic materials are not symmetric in tension and compression under large deformation. Studies on the auxetic performance of metamaterials both in compression and tension are important but rare. As an extension of our previous research on compressive auxetic performance of 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials, numerical simulations were carried out to investigate the auxetic and other mechanical properties of the 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials in tension. The preliminary results indicated that the designed 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials exhibited better auxetic performance in compression than in tension. By increasing a pattern scale factor, auxetic performance of the 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials under tension can be improved. With proper adjustment of the pattern scale factor, an approximately symmetric auxetic performance could be achieved in compression and tension.

\section*{1. Introduction}

The majority of naturally occurring materials have a positive Poisson’s ratio, that is to say, they become fatter when compressed and thinner when stretched. The materials with negative Poisson’s ratio (NPR) exhibit the counter-intuitive behaviour, i.e. they will shrink (expand) under compression (tension). These materials have been termed as “auxetic materials” or “auxetics” ever since Evans et al. [1] started. Because of this unusual behaviour, auxetic materials are superior to most conventional materials in certain aspects, e.g. indentation resistance [2, 3], shear resistance [4], energy absorption [5, 6], synclastic behaviour [7], improved resilience [7], fracture toughness [8], vibration control [9] and acoustic absorption [10].

Significant research on auxetic materials can date back to 1987 when Lakes [7] reported a novel foam with NPR behaviour. Since then, considerable studies have been conducted on auxetic materials both theoretically [11-22] and experimentally [21, 23-33]. Limited by the fabrication techniques, few designs of 3D auxetic material were developed to the stage of practical applications [34]. The major disadvantage of most existing auxetic materials was the small effective strain range for auxetic behaviour, i.e. less than 0.1 in the design of Bückmann et al. [23]. The effective auxetic strain range was then extended to 0.3 by Babaee et al. [35] through proposing a novel design of
“buckliball” as the building cell. However, the base material used for their model was silicone-based rubber, which resulted in a relative weak mechanical performance for their design.

Auxetic metamaterials are synthetic materials with microstructures display NPR behaviour in deformation. Unlike elastic auxetic metamaterials, metallic auxetic metamaterials have the features inhered from metals, i.e. localization of plastic strain, strain hardening, and irreversible deformation etc. More importantly, metallic metamaterials are normally stronger than those made of elastomers. Hence metallic auxetic metamaterials are more likely to be used for protective structures and materials.

Friis et al. [36] reported the first auxetic copper foam in 1988. Several studies [37-40] were also conducted on metallic auxetic materials and structures. Using finite element (FE) method, Dirrenberger et al. [41] found that auxetic effect could remain or become even more obvious with plastic yielding. But their conclusion was lack of experimental validation.

Using a new method of generating metallic auxetic metamaterials based on buckling-induced geometry, we [42] proposed a new type of 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials with periodic microstructures which were capable of exhibiting auxetic behaviour in a large compressive strain range. Although we mentioned that the newly designed 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials not only exhibited auxetic behaviour in compression but also would demonstrate auxetic behaviour in tension, this conclusion lacked solid evidence.

In this paper, we numerically investigated the auxetic and other mechanical properties of the previously designed 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials under tension using experimentally validated FE models. Combined with the previous study [42], the mechanical properties of the 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials both in tension and compression were compared.

2. Designing the microstructures for 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials

The initial design of the 3D buckling-induced auxetic metamaterial is shown in Fig. 1, where (a), (b) and (c) represent building cell, representative volume element (RVE) and bulk metamaterial, respectively.

![Figure 1. Initial topology design of the 3D buckling-induced auxetic metamaterial with central symmetric microstructures (LCE = 6.25 mm, R = 3.9 mm): (a) building cell, (b) representative volume element, (c) bulk metamaterial for simulation and experiments.](image)

The process of the novel methodology for generating 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials, proposed in our previous paper [42], could be summarized as four main steps. Firstly, designing buckling-induced auxetic metamaterial; secondly, conducting buckling analysis of the original FE model with linear elastic base material; thirdly, identifying the desirable buckling mode; lastly, altering the geometry of the representative volume element (RVE) using the desirable buckling mode (the second mode was used in this article) and repeating the altered RVE in three axial directions to form a bulk metallic auxetic metamaterial. PSF and same numerical model was used as in [42]. The inner-most RVE with different values of PSF is shown in Fig. 2.
3. Finite element analysis

3.1. FE model for metallic auxetic metamaterials.

The FE model we used in this paper is the same as the model in our previous work [42] which was validated by experimental results. The geometry of the experimentally validated FE model is shown in Fig 3. Most of simulation setting is the same as our previous work, i.e., a bilinear elastic-plastic material model was used in FE models, a Young’s modulus of 87 GPa and a hardening modulus of 1.7 GPa were set for the material model. The boundary condition is slightly different from previous FE model which compressive simulations were considered. For carrying on tensile simulations, all degrees of freedom of nodes on the bottom and top surfaces of the FE models were constrained except for the nodal movements in the loading direction on the top surface. The unconstrained degree of freedom for the top surface of the FE model was allowed to move upwards.

3.2 Comparisons of mechanical and auxetic performance in tension and compression

In order to compare auxetic and other mechanical properties of the newly designed 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials in compression and tension, FE simulations were performed to investigate the properties of the designed 3D metamaterials in tension. The FE results were then compared with the results obtained in our previous paper [42], and the summarized results are shown in Fig 4.

The pentagrams represent the corresponding effective strain range, in which, the red pentagram represents the strain that the FE model begins to densify during compression, and the blue pentagram represents the strain that the FE model fails in tension. The altered model with PSF=20% demonstrates a similar auxetic performance when the nominal strain is within the range -0.03~0.03, but the differences of auxetic performance increase when nominal strain is over the range as can be seen in Fig 4(a).
In summary, the altered FE model with PSF=20% is stronger for undergoing tension than compression as shown in Fig 4(b). But the FE model exhibits better auxetic performance in compression than in tension, because the overall magnitude of NPR in compression is larger than that in tension.

3.3 Parametric study on pattern scale factor (PSF)

In our previous study [42] we concluded that, changing the values of PSF could affect the auxetic performance of the newly designed 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials in compression, but the effect of PSF on the auxetic performance of this model in tension was unknown. Therefore, we carried out a parametric study by changing PSF of the FE models to investigate the tensile auxetic performance of our FE models. The values of PSF were set to 20%, 40%, 60% and 80% in this parametric study. The corresponding geometries of the RVEs for these FE models can be seen in Fig 2.

The FE results of the parametric study for PSF are summarized in Fig 5, where the pentagrams represent corresponding effective auxetic strain range. When the value of PSF increases, the effective auxetic strain range and the magnitude of the negative Poisson's ratio in tensile deformation are improved. However, this improvement is achieved at the cost of the auxetic performance of the FE models in compression. Therefore, in order to obtain a 3D metallic auxetic
metamaterial which has similar auxetic performance both in tension and compression, a proper value of PSF should be carefully chosen. As can be seen in Fig 5(a), the auxetic performance of the FE model with PSF=60% is similar under tension and compression. Fig 5(b) indicates that the 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials with different values of PSF are easier to deform in compression than they are in tension within effective auxetic strain range.

4. Concluding remarks

As an extension of our previous study, numerical simulations using finite element analysis were carried out to investigate the auxetic performance of the designed 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials in tension. The comparisons were made for the auxetic and other mechanical properties of the designed 3D metallic auxetic metamaterial. A parametric study of adjusting the value of PSF was performed. It should be noted that all the comparisons and parametric studies were mainly based on FE results. The following conclusions could be drawn:

1) For the 3D metallic auxetic metamaterial with PSF=20%, the auxetic performance in compression is better than that in tension.
2) By increasing the value of PSF, the tensile auxetic performance can be improved by sacrificing the compressive auxetic performance. By adjusting the value of PSF, a similar compressive and tensile auxetic performance of the 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials could be achieved (PSF≈60% for the metamaterial in the current study).
3) Generally, the designed 3D metallic auxetic metamaterials were easier to deform in compression than in tension.
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Integrated care facilitation model reduces use of hospital resources by patients with paediatric asthma
Abstract

This evaluation assessed a model of care for Paediatric Asthma patients that aimed to promote health and reduce their preventable and/or avoidable use of acute hospital services. Paediatric asthma patients (n=223) were allocated Care Facilitators who provided assistance in the promotion of carer/self-management, education and linkage to an integrated the healthcare system, comprising of acute and community based healthcare providers. Patients’ use of acute hospital services (Emergency Department presentations, Admissions and Bed-days) pre- and post-recruitment were compared using Wilcoxon signed rank tests. The Paediatric Asthma Care Givers Quality of Life Questionnaire was used to assess changes in health and quality of life. The patients displayed a 57% reduction in Emergency Department Presentations, 74% in Admissions and a 71% reduction in bed-days. Whereas a comparator group displayed 27%, 32% and 14% increases respectively. Patients also reported significant improvements in quality of life domains of: Activity Limitation (+5.6, p<0.001) and Emotional function (+9.1, p<0.001).

The reduction in the use of Hospital Services was attributed to the aversion of preventable presentations and admissions, via the enhancement of carer/self-management and access to community health services. These outcomes were supported by indicators of improved patient health and quality of life, and comments by the participant’s carers.

Key words: Paediatric Asthma, Care facilitation, Model of care, Emergency department presentations
Background

In recognition of the growing demand on its hospital services, between 2001 and 2005 the Victorian State Government (Australia) invested $582 million into the implementation of a ‘Hospital Demand Management’ strategy. Of this, $150 million were for projects aimed at reducing the demand on hospital services and improving patient health through new approaches to patient management (Department of Human Services, 2006). These projects were collectively known as the Hospital Admissions Risk Programme (HARP) and in the 4 years immediately following its establishment, further funding ($53 million) was provided in 2005-06 to enable the successful models of care to be implemented and delivered more broadly across the state (Department of Human Services, 2006). This paper describes the patient and hospital demand outcomes of a project that was established by a consortium (Table 1) of acute and community health care providers, based in the western suburbs of Melbourne, for patients with Paediatric Asthma and their carers.

Project description and model of care

Paediatric Asthma patients were identified as a group who frequently presented to hospital Emergency Departments (ED), and for whom it was perceived that their use of hospital services could be reduced and general health improved via the implementation of a new model of care. The rationale for targeting this group was a perception that some of their presentations and subsequent hospital admissions could be prevented by the patients’ carers, and for the older children the patients themselves, improving their
understanding of their condition, better carer or self-management of their condition (Clark et al., 2009, Spiegel et al., 2006), effective use of care and action plans, and facilitated access to community based health services (Department of Human Services 2003; Department of Health and Ageing 2005). These perceptions concurred with the findings of Reeves et al. (2006) who in a US study found that it was common for the carers of asthmatic children to not possess a spacer or written action plan, not to attend follow-up appointments after an Emergency Department (ED) visit, and to go directly to ED when needing asthma care.

Other factors liable to be contributing to this overuse of the acute sector services in the region include its socio-economic demographic, with areas characterised with mild socio-economic disadvantage (Department of Human Services, 2005; Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2001). Consequently, many of the presenting patients were from low income families who viewed the hospital as a free or low cost service. This phenomenon of overusing the hospital emergency departments was likely to have been accentuated by the relatively low number of General Practitioners and other health providers in the region (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2003) and a decreasing number of General Practitioners in the region who ‘bulk bill’, since it has been reported that low-income clients, who did not wish to pay for services, are disinclined to visit their GP and are more likely to present to the hospital, even if they would have been reimbursed eventually (Hopkins and Speed, 2005). (The policy of bulk billing provides free GP services for the patient at the point of delivery and time of service, the alternative is to charge the patient who then seeks a rebate). Furthermore, the region is the most culturally
diverse area in Victoria (Department of Human Services, 2002; Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2001) with over one third of the region’s population having been born overseas, or speaking a language other than English at home. Consequently many people from the area experience difficulties in understanding the health care system, the options available to them, and how to access these services. Inevitably therefore, the local acute hospitals, being very visible institutions, are seen by them as the primary locations for seeking all health advice and intervention.

Hence a key objective of the model of care was to breakdown the ‘silo’ structure of the existing healthcare system, whereby each organisation had separate funding and commonly operated with only limited linkages to other health organisations within the region. In particular, effective referral between acute and community healthy providers was deemed essential for this patient group as it would generate coordinated access to all services, and should produce a ‘joined-up’ and coherent health service. This was achieved through project specific funding and the involvement of senior staff from each organisation on the ‘Governance Committee’. This thereby ensured that decisions concerning funding and resources could be made by the committee, and would then be implemented by their respective organisations. The importance of such “Leadership Support” and dedicated funding have been highlighted by Parrish et al. (2009) for the sustainability of such interventions. Additionally, the employment of staff (Care Facilitators) at both acute and community based health organisations, actively ensured linkage between health service organisations, and the Care Facilitators were able to link
patients directly to these services as well as ensuring that their carers were aware of how
to continue accessing them as required in the future.

Hence many of the elements of the model concurred with the requirements for effective
‘Care Transition’ ("...the movement of patients from one healthcare practitioner or
setting to another…", Parrish et al., 2009), which has been identified as an important
factor with the potential to reduce preventable events and readmissions. In particular
previous work has highlighted the risks of poor Care Transition through discontinuity
between the different components of the health-care system (Kripalani et al. 2007) and
has emphasised the importance of collaborative hospital-community partnerships (Parrish
et al. 2009). Other identified key elements for effective Care Transition include:
communication (Kripalani et al. 2007), consideration of the needs of diverse
communities (Parrish et al. 2009), engagement with social support systems (Kripalani et
al. 2007), and a means to address patient difficulties with complex discharge instructions,
medication management and self-care responsibilities (Kripalani et al. 2007), all of which
received attention in the model of care evaluated here.

Recruitment to the model of care

Recruitment was through the identification of frequent presenters (two or more
presentations in the previous 12 months) to the hospitals’ ED departments, or in a few
cases patients identified as being at risk of future frequent presentations. A flagging
system in the hospital records was used for patients fulfilling these criteria, and Care
Facilitators were notified upon their next presentation to ED. A Care Facilitator then
contacted the patient’s carer in the hospital, or if already discharged, by telephone. The Care Facilitator invited them to participate in the new model of care, and if they/their carer agreed to participate, written informed consent was provided.

**Model of Care**

The project team for the model of care included multi-skilled Care Facilitators with professional expertise in nursing and asthma education. The details of related projects have been described elsewhere (Smith *et al.*, 2003), but in summary comprised of:

1. **A ‘Gateway System’**. Recruitment (as described above)

2. **Disease specific streams**. Patients were allocated to the Paediatric Asthma project stream, which was managed by specialist medical practitioners and nurses. Decisions concerning strategies for the stream were discussed and reported at the stream steering committee, which comprised of the medical practitioners, GPs, school nurses, care facilitators, community health staff, project managers and evaluators.

3. **Assessment of needs**. A Care Facilitator performed a comprehensive assessment either in the hospital or in the patient’s home. This included the Paediatric Asthma Care Givers Quality of Life Questionnaire’ (PACQLQ) and/or the Paediatric Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire’ (PAQLQ) for the older children (Juniper *et al.*, 1996a, 1996b).

4. **Care coordination and facilitation**. The results of each patient’s assessment were used to identify issues for the patient, barriers to the effective management of their health, and factors putting the participant at risk of preventable events and
avoidable presentations to the hospital. The assessment results were taken to a multidisciplinary case conference and this information was then used as a basis for the individual care plan.

5. *Education and action plans.* Care Facilitators provided asthma education for carers and patients, including the correct use of preventer medicines, spacers, and the provision of written asthma action plans. They also arranged follow up sessions with the carer and patient, which through being proactive were intended to overcome some of the barriers associated with a low Primary Care follow-up (Zorc *et al.*, 2005), and the risk of preventable events in this patient group.

6. *Facilitated access to a suite of services.* The Care Facilitators then facilitated the patient’s and carer’s access to the health services they required. Examples of services arranged included primary health care assessments, psychology services, occasional child care for siblings whilst follow up appointments were arranged, housing assistance and financial assistance for medical equipment.

In the process of achieving the desired level of facilitation and self/carer-management, the Care Facilitators would arrange between 4 and 7 appointments with the patient/carer, with most of these occurring in the patients’ home, although some could take place in the GP surgery or outpatient clinic if considered more appropriate. Patients were discharged from the project when it was deemed that optimal self/carer-management had been achieved.

**Methods of evaluation**
Assessment of usage of acute hospital services

The patients’ rates of Emergency Department (ED) presentations, Inpatient Admissions and Inpatient Bed-Days before and after their recruitment were calculated from the Hospitals’ patient activity records. A ‘Comparator Group’ was generated from patients who had presented with the same characteristics in the 3 years prior to the inception of the new model of care (comparator group; n = 72). For the Comparator Group a ‘dummy recruitment’ date was allocated to each patient using the date of separation from their second presentation in 12-months, which under the new model of care would be the event to have initiated contact by a Care Facilitator. The Comparator Group was composed of 45 male and 27 female patients, aged 5.1 ± 4.3 years (range 1 to 18 years). They had an average of 3.6 ± 2.0 ED presentations within the period of 12 months prior to their dummy recruitment and by comparison, the HARP-Asthma group (n = 223), had an average of 3.0 ± 1.7 ED Presentations prior to recruitment. Kruskal-Wallis tests did not find any significant differences between the HARP intervention and Comparator groups with regard to standardised PRE-recruitment/dummy recruitment rates of ED Presentations (p = 0.098), Inpatient Admissions (p = 0.936), and Inpatient Bed-Days (p = 0.980) (Table 2). And there was no significant difference between the groups with regard to average age at recruitment (p = 0.097).

Assessment of Patient outcomes

The Paediatric Asthma Care Givers Quality of Life Questionnaire’ (PACQLQ) was completed by the patient’s parent/carer if Aged <7 yrs, or the PAQLQ completed in consultation with the patient if older. An initial assessment was conducted at recruitment
(Ax1), and repeated at intervals until discharge from the project (AxF). The PACQLQ and PAQLQ are designed to measure the limitations and anxieties faced by caregivers of children with asthma and in the case of the later, the child’s perceptions of these issues. They are comprised of 13 items in 2 domains & categories: (i) Activity limitations (4 items), (ii) Emotional function (9 items). Scoring uses a 7-point Likert scales, with 1 indicating severe impairment and 7 indicating no impairment. The publishers of the questionnaire state that a change of 3.5 is considered to be of clinical importance (Juniper et al., 1996a, 1996b, 1997; Guyatt, 1997). In addition to the quantitative data, carers and some of the older patients were interviewed to ascertain their perceptions of the model of care and its efficacy.

Data analysis

The general design of the evaluation and analysis of the outcome measures of the project were similar to those used in the coordinated care trials (Smith et al., 2002) and other HARP projects (Bird et al., 2005, 2007, 2010). Hospital demand data were analysed for patients who were offered participation in the project between February 2004 and 1st October 2007 and, who had been recruited to the project for a minimum of 90-days. The criterion of ninety days was selected subjectively, as it was deemed a suitable minimum duration for the interventions of the project to have an observable impact. The same 90-day criterion and average length of participation were applied to the ‘dummy recruitment’ dates of the Comparator Group. The baseline characteristics of the HARP intervention and comparator groups were compared using Kruskal-Wallis tests. Patient outcomes data
were analysed for patients who had completed a discharge assessment prior to October 2009.

Patient pre-recruitment use of Hospital services, such as the number of Emergency Department presentations, Inpatient Admissions and Bed-days, were determined from Hospital records for the 12 months prior to their recruitment. For the purposes of comparison with post-recruitment data, these data were scaled to rates of service use per day for each patient. Post recruitment rates of Emergency Department presentations, admissions and hospital bed-days were scaled by dividing the number of occurrences by the number of days since the patient had been recruited onto the project. Since data were not normally distributed, pre and post recruitment values were compared using non-parametric Wilcoxon signed rank tests with $\alpha = 0.01$, to adjust for multiple comparisons.

For the PAQOL and PACQOL data the group was divided into the age groups (< 5 years, 5 – 12 years, and >12 years), and their pre-intervention data (Ax1) compared using ANOVA to determine whether any pre-intervention differences were evident. The pre- and post intervention scores were then compared using a split-plot ANOVA with pre/post comparisons as a within subject factor, and age group as a between subjects factor. No PAQOL or PACQOL data were available for the non-HARP comparator group.

The financial implications of the project and potential savings resulting from changes in the use of hospital and community health services were calculated from the budget expenditure reports and the cost of hospital services data derived from Weighted
Equivalent Inlier Separations (WEIS) reports provided by the hospital performance unit. Estimated savings were calculated for the current size of the HARP cohort and adjusted for the percentage change seen in the non-HARP comparator group.

**Results**

*Hospital demand data (Tables 2 and 3)*

Data were analysed for 223 patients who had agreed to participate in the HARP project. This comprised of 90 female and 133 male patients, with an average age at recruitment of 5.3 ± 3.5 years (female 5.4 ± 3.8 years and male 5.3 ± 3.3 years), and ranged from 1 to 17 years. Pre-recruitment they had an average of 3.0 ± 1.7 ED Presentations per patient per year, and 184 participants (82.5%) had a history of 2 or more ED Presentations in the year prior to recruitment. At the time of this evaluation; 67 patients (30%) were still actively recruited to the project, 146 had been discharged to GPs and/or other services (65.5%), 5 withdrawn (2.2%) and 5 were no longer contactable. Patients initially declining recruitment when contacted by a Care Facilitator, but consenting to recruitment following a later presentation to ED and contact with a Care Facilitator are included in the HARP group, with their date of recruitment being the date of discharged from the event at which recruitment was successful. Consequently, the HARP group includes some patients with pre- recruitment rates of presentation that are greater than 3 in the previous 12 months.
Overall, the HARP patients had been recruited to the project for an average of 252.6 ± 122.7 days. The main reason for discharge from the project was the intervention resolving the patients’ health care issues, usually through the project facilitating the attainment of the required level of support from community based healthcare services and/or improved self-management.

The Comparator group consisted of 72 patients aged 5.1 ± 4.3 years (range 1 - 18 years). It was comprised of 45 male (4.6 ± 4.2 years) and 27 female (5.9 ± 4.4 years) patients. The patients from the Comparator group had an average of 3.6 ± 2.0 ED presentations per patient per year within the period of 12 months prior to their dummy recruitment. All had a history of 2 or more ED presentations in the year prior to their dummy pre-recruitment.

When comparing the pre-recruitment data on use of hospital services (Table 2), no significant differences were identified between the HARP intervention and the Comparator group for: rates of ED Presentations (p = 0.098), Inpatient Admissions (p = 0.936), and Inpatient Bed-Days (p = 0.980). Additionally, there was no significant difference between the groups with regard to average age at recruitment (p = 0.097). Hence, at the time of recruitment/dummy recruitment, the HARP intervention and Comparator groups were not found to differ in their use of hospital services.

The HARP participants (n = 223) accounted for 688 ED presentations at the Hospitals within 12 months prior to recruitment and 206 ED presentations post-recruitment. When
these data were scaled to the patients’ length of time on the project, this revealed a statistically significant, 57% reduction in ED presentation rates per day post recruitment (Wilcoxon’s Z = -9.7, p<0.001) (Table 3), with 115 having no ED presentations post-recruitment. This was in contrast with the data for the comparator group, which displayed a 26.5% increase in ED presentations. Likewise, similar changes and differences were detected in inpatient admissions and hospital bed-days, with the HARP group recording 220 admissions and 342 bed-days in the 12-months pre-recruitment, and declines of 74% and 71% respectively, post-recruitment. This was in contrast to the comparator group which, post dummy-recruitment, showed 32% and 14% increases respectively. And as a consequence of these diverging rates, the post-intervention rates of ED presentations, Inpatient Admissions and Inpatient Bed-Days of the HARP and Comparator groups were statistically significantly different (p < 0.001) (Table 2).

*Patient outcome data (Table 4)*

Data were analysed for four hundred and twenty four participants who had been recruited to the HARP project between October 2004 and September 2008 (155 female and 269 male; aged 4.45 ± 3.09 years, range 0.11 – 17.21 years), of whom 331 (4.69 ± 3.19 years, range 0.85 – 17.22 years) had completed a final assessment (AxF). These were completed a mean of 186 ± 101 (range 29 – 919) days after their first assessment.
ANOVA comparisons of Activity Limitation (Ax1) data for the three age groups detected no statistically significant differences in their pre-intervention scores for Activity Limitation ($P > 0.9$) or Emotional Function ($P > 0.6$). Nor were there statistically significant differences for the length of time between Ax1 and AxF (< 5 years = 188 days; 5 – 12 years = 174 days, and >12 years = 196 days; $p = 0.704$).

The split-plot ANOVAs showed a statistically significant and clinically important (>5 points) improvement (pre vs post-intervention) in both Activity Limitation ($p < 0.001$) and Emotional Function ($p < 0.001$). And the analyses did not detect any age group by time interaction for either domain ($p = 0.841$ and $p = 0.795$ respectively), which indicates that whilst the entire group displayed improvements in both domains, the three age groups were not found to differ in the magnitude of change. However, it is acknowledged by the authors that in the absence of a control group and/or data from the Comparator group these changes cannot be attributed to the model of care and may have occurred naturally over time and/or be related to the timing of patient recruitment into the project, i.e. recently following an ED presentation.

When the cost of the project ($400k - $600k) and additional services were compared alongside the estimated savings ($597k) attributed to fewer ED presentations, Admissions and Bed-Days, the financial outcome was found to be between cost neutral and an annual saving of $200k. This broad range spans the worst to best case scenarios, since in this evaluation it was not possible to calculate a more precise figure due to a
number of the resources and management staff being shared across parallel projects for patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Chronic Heart Failure, and Frail Aged (Bird et al., 2007, 2010).

Discussion

The overall results indicate that carers/patients who participated in this model of care displayed a reduced demand for acute hospital services post-recruitment compared to a similar group who had presented to the hospital in the 3-years prior to the new model of care. Whilst the study design cannot provide irrefutable proof that it was the model of care that caused these improvements there are strong indicators to support a claim that it made a substantive contribution. The reasons for these declines in demands were attributed to carers being better informed of their child’s condition, and better prepared to prevent an episode and/or deal with one, as illustrated by the comment by one parent in an interview:

“I feel much more in control of my child’s asthma”

These findings concur with those of Sockrider et al. (2006), who reported that following a family tailored self-management intervention, there were significant improvements in carer’s self-confidence to deal with an episode, and a reduction in ED visits in the twelve months follow-up. These outcomes are also similar to those described by Khan et al. (2004) who reported increased use of asthma action plans and improved parental asthma knowledge scores to be associated with improved asthma outcomes.
Based on the interview data, an essential component of the success of the model in this evaluation was the development of the professional and trusting relationship between the Care Facilitator and patient/carer. Carers reported feeling that the Care Facilitator understood their circumstances and likewise through the pre-arranged repeat visits the Care Facilitator developed a strong appreciation of the patient’s situation and needs for optimal quality health care. This ensured the most appropriate referral to community health services occurred and enabled the carer(s)/parent(s) to attain the level of understanding of their child’s asthma, care plan, and action plan that were needed to optimise their health and a planned, coherent delivery of health services. The importance of the planned repeated contact with the Care facilitator is an interesting issue for many reasons, not least being its potential contribution towards improving carer understanding and subsequent compliance with discharge instructions, in light of the well-established phenomenon of patients with a wide variety of health issues failing to recall much of the discharge instruction provided at ED (Sanderson et al. 2009). It was also likely to facilitate ongoing accessing of the required services, as carers’ fostered their own understanding and appreciation of those available to them. Such empowerment is likely to promote a higher quality ‘Transition of Care’, as identified by Coleman and colleagues (Kripalani et al., 2007; Parrish et al., 2009) and greatly enhancing the overall effectiveness of health service provision. This it should be noted, did not require substantive changes at the point of delivery by GPs or other specialists, but ensured optimisation of their existing services for the benefit of the patient, and through enhanced use of existing relevant health care reduced the need for acute services.
The magnitude of the reductions in ED presentations, admissions (hospitalizations) and Bed-days (total days in hospital) are similar to that reported in other studies (Cree et al., 2006; Newcomb, 2006; Shelledy et al., 2005) and consequently the model described here provides additional support for such preventative models of care, which can pro-actively reduce hospital demand through an enhanced continuity of care, self-management, and overcoming the barriers to follow-up primary care (Zorc et al., 2005).

Furthermore, whilst it is likely that the study failed to capture all of the patients’ use of services pre-recruitment, when for example they may have presented to another hospital, the researchers believe that during the post-recruitment phase, the regular contact between patient and Care Facilitator, which included regular phone monitoring, would result in minimal data loss. Consequently it is possible that any bias in data loss may result in an underestimate of the beneficial impact of the project.

Based on the size of the current group the calculated reductions in hospital demand of the HARP group compared with the recorded increases by the comparator group, estimated annual savings were approximately: 550 ED presentations, 220 hospital admissions, and 300 Bed-days. And despite the aforementioned lack of precision concerning the financial implications of the project, the financial indications appear encouraging, and since this will be an important consideration for healthcare organisations when assessing the potential of new healthcare interventions (Parrish et al., 2009), a more detailed economic evaluation has been proposed. Based upon these and other findings, this project and
similar ones for other patient groups have subsequently been mainstreamed into the region’s healthcare system.

**Limitations**

When reporting the results of this project it is appreciated that the findings could be criticized due to the lack of a randomised control group, particularly in the context of changes over time due to maturation. In generating the Comparator Group the authors acknowledge that there are issues relating to the different timeframe of the HARP intervention and non-participant (Comparator) groups, yet the ‘real-world’ nature of the project prohibited a randomized control design. This was also an issue faced by those evaluating the coordinated care trials (Esterman and Ben-Tovim, 2002) and the Sharing Health Care Initiative Demonstration Projects (Department of Health and Ageing, 2005).

**Conclusion**

Compared with the previous delivery of ‘all usual care’, patients recruited to the HARP project demonstrated substantial reductions in their demand for hospital services and clinically important improvements in their Activity Limitation and Emotional Function scores of the PACQOL and/or PAQLQ. These improvements were attributed to improved carer and/or self-management, linkages to community services, and improved Transition of Care, the combination of which resulted in an overall improved quality of health care.
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**Table 1:** Member organizations of the HARP Western Consortium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Healthcare role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Health</td>
<td>Acute sector with 3 hospitals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISIS Primary Care</td>
<td>Primary Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shire of Melton Council</td>
<td>Local Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djerriwarrh Health Services</td>
<td>Health Service, inc. Primary Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westgate Division of General Practice</td>
<td>GP Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Melbourne Division of General Practice</td>
<td>GP Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westgate Health Co-op</td>
<td>GP Practice and Primary Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal District Nursing service</td>
<td>Primary Care Nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Region Health Centre</td>
<td>Primary Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbay Alliance PCP</td>
<td>Primary Care Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Acute Facilitation Unit (PACFU)</td>
<td>Sub-acute services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Hospital demand data. Standardised Ratios of ED presentations, Inpatient Admissions and Bed-days per patient per day for HARP Intervention group vs Comparator Group, for Pre- and Post- recruitment/dummy recruitment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>HARP</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED Presentations</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed-days</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED Presentations</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>244%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>429%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed-days</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>367%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Hospital demand data. Standardised Ratios of ED presentations, Inpatient Admissions and Bed-days per patient per day Pre- versus Post- recruitment/dummy recruitment: Wilcoxon’s Signed Ranks Tests, and pre-intervention rates (HARP v Comparator)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HARP (N = 223)</td>
<td>ED Presentations</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>-57.0%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Admissions</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>-74.0%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bed-days</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>-71.4%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator Group (N = 72)</td>
<td>ED Presentations</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>+26.5%</td>
<td>0.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Admissions</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>+32.1%</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bed-days</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>+14.3%</td>
<td>0.246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 4.** Changes in PACQOL/PAQOL for the domains of Activity Limitation and Emotional Function for the whole group and subdivided in age groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Ax1</th>
<th>AxF</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity Limitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5 yrs</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>20.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>25.5 ± 4.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 12 yrs</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>19.1 ± 7.2</td>
<td>25.0 ± 5.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 12 yrs</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.8 ± 8.5</td>
<td>25.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>19.8 ± 7.1</td>
<td>25.4 ± 5.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Emotional Function |     |       |       |                 |     |
| < 5 yrs           | 230 | 48.9 ± 11.9 | 58.0 ± 9.1 | 9.1             |     |
| 5 – 12 yrs        | 89  | 47.5 ± 12.7 | 56.9 ± 9.6 | 9.4             |     |
| > 12 yrs          | 12  | 46.3 ± 14.6 | 53.1 ± 11.4| 6.8             |     |
| Total             | 331 | 48.4 ± 12.3 | 57.5 ± 9.4 | 9.1             | <0.001 |