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<td>Real-time polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCD</strong></td>
<td>Stearoyl-CoA desaturase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDS</strong></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SREBP1c</strong></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STZ</strong></td>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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Abstract

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a manifestation of metabolic syndrome in the liver. It is the most common chronic liver disease with fast-growing prevalence worldwide that parallels the obesity epidemic and type 2 diabetes (T2D). NAFLD ranges from simple hepatosteatosis to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and may finally leads to liver cirrhosis and failure. NASH is the critical stage in the progression from reversible and asymptomatic hepatosteatosis towards irreversible liver disease with significantly worsen prognosis. However, there is no effective drug for treatment of NASH.

Matrine (Mtr) is a small molecule (MW: 248) originally isolated from plants and it has been used as a hepatoprotective drug in humans with few reported adverse effects. Several lines of evidence suggest that Mtr may be repurposed for the treatment of NASH, including a previous study of this laboratory showing its ability to reduce hepatosteatosis in high fat diet (HFD)-fed mice. However, a single HFD rodent model only replicates simple hepatosteatosis due to increased exogenous lipid overload. Therefore, the overall aim of this thesis was to characterise the therapeutic properties of Mtr for NASH treatment and investigate the underlying mechanism/s. The hypothesis addressed in this study was that Mtr has therapeutic properties for the treatment of NASH by ameliorating hepatic steatosis and inflammation as well as associated metabolic risk factors. These therapeutic effects were examined in three mouse models mimicking different characteristics of NASH.
The first aim was to examine the therapeutic effects of Mtr on hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance induced by an over consumption of carbohydrates. This was assessed in high fructose (HFru) fed mice, a well-characterised model of hepatosteatosis causing an increased in hepatic de novo lipogenesis (DNL) pathway. This study (Chapter 3) showed that treatment with Mtr markedly ameliorates hepatosteatosis (reduced triglyceride content) and glucose intolerance in HFru-fed mice. Further studies revealed that the reduced hepatosteatosis by Mtr is due to its inhibition of hepatic DNL involving the blocking of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress pathway. These effects are associated with an upregulation of heat shock protein 72 (HSP72), the chaperone protein which is known to be protective against metabolic diseases and inflammation. A separate aim was to evaluate the potential efficacy of Mtr in the treatment for hyperglycaemia as hepatosteatosis is an important contributor to hepatic insulin resistance leading to hyperglycaemia. In this study, the antisteatotic effects of Mtr on hyperglycaemia were investigated in a mouse model where type 2 diabetes is induced by HFD in combination with low doses of streptozotocin (HFD-STZ). The results showed that oral administration of Mtr to HFD-STZ mice reverses hyperglycaemia and hepatosteatosis. These findings support the use of Mtr to treat hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis.

The second aim of this thesis (Chapter 4) was to examine the therapeutic efficacy of Mtr for hepatic inflammation and fibrosis. This was investigated in mice fed a methionine choline-deficient (MCD) diet, a well-established mouse model which shares pathologic features of severe NASH in humans, in particular hepatic inflammation and fibrosis. The study showed that Mtr treatment suppresses the increases in TNFα, CD68, MCP-1, NLRP3, and hepatic fibrosis markers (TGFβ and Smad3) induced by MCD
diet. Along with these effects, Mtr inhibits mTOR activation and upregulates HSP72 expression, suggesting a likely role of mTOR-HSP72 pathway in coordinating the therapeutic effects of Mtr for NASH.

The final aim further investigated cellular mechanisms underlying the effects of Mtr on the inflammatory pathway. This was conducted using cultured J774A cells, a macrophage cell line rused here as a model for Kupffer cells believed to be a major source of inflammatory cytokines in the liver. Incubation of J774A cells with LPS stimulated the production of TNFα and increased NLRP3, CD68 and TGFβ expression. Treatment with Mtr also upregulated HSP72, inhibiting inflammation and fibrosis induced by LPS (Chapter 5). Additionally, Mtr suppressed the level of mTOR. These results provide further evidence that Mtr may exert its effect against NASH through the mTOR-HSP72 pathway.

In summary, this thesis employed three different animal models to characterise a wide range of therapeutic effects of Mtr on NASH. Findings from these studies led to the following conclusions. Firstly, Mtr is a promising therapy for hepatosteatosis and associated glucose disorders. Secondly, Mtr can attenuate NASH-associated inflammation and fibrosis. Finally, mTOR-HSP72 may play an important role in mediating the therapeutic effects of Mtr on NASH. Overall, these findings provide strong pre-clinical evidence to support the repurposing of Mtr as a promising new drug for the treatment of NAFLD, particularly on the progression towards NASH.
Chapter 1 Introduction and Literature Review
1.1 Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the accumulation of lipid in the liver that is not due to alcoholic consumption or viral liver infection. Development of NAFLD is generally associated with metabolic disease, and is identified as a hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome. Globally, NAFLD is the most common chronic liver disease. NAFLD includes simple steatosis, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), NASH-related cirrhosis and end-stage liver disease. NASH is considered a critical stage in the transition of simple steatosis to life-threatening cirrhosis. In addition to obstacles in understanding NASH pathogenesis, no effective treatment has yet been approved for the treatment of NASH with existing hepatic inflammation and fibrosis. This literature review focuses on relevant research to provide (1) the general background of NAFLD, including its stages and complications; (2) current treatments and newly developed drugs for NAFLD; (3) the rationale behind repurposing matrine (Mtr) for the treatment of advanced NAFLD; and (4) cellular targets, pathways and animal models for the investigation of new therapeutics for NAFLD. From this review of the literature, gaps in the current knowledge are identified and hypotheses/approaches are developed to guide the research presented in this thesis.

1.2 Fatty liver disease

Fatty liver is the accumulation of triglycerides (TG) in the liver and within liver cells (hepatocytes). Although the liver normally contains fat, when the percentage of this fat deposition exceeds 5% of liver tissue weight, it is characterised as fatty liver disease
[1]. This generally occurs when the amount of synthesis and delivery of fatty acids (FAs) exceeds the amount that is excreted and eliminated [2].

FAs are derived from diet, lipolysis in adipose tissue de novo lipogenesis (DNL) and through the uptake of intestinally derived chylomicron remnants. Increase FAs the major cause of hepatic lipid accumulation, where saturated FAs (SFA), such as palmitic and stearic acids, are the most abundant circulating free FAs [3]. In contrast, supplementation with polyunsaturated FAs (PUFA) can improve lipid metabolism in NAFLD patients [4]. In addition, an imbalance between energy intake and consumption may cause a defect in FA metabolism and eventually fatty liver.

Fatty liver disease may be broadly classified into two types: alcoholic and non-alcoholic [5]. Alcoholic fatty liver (AFL) is hepatic steatosis caused by the excessive consumption of alcohol. NAFLD is a spectrum of diseases that ranges from steatosis to steatohepatitis. Conversely, AFL is caused by the production of toxic metabolites following excessive alcohol intake and is primarily treated by stopping alcohol consumption. The root cause of NAFLD remains unclear and an effective cure has yet to be developed. Less common causes of fatty liver disease include medications—for example, amiodarone, methotrexate and tamoxifen [6]—and chronic diseases such as HIV, hepatitis C virus (HCV) and autoimmune conditions [7]. Fatty liver disease may also occasionally occur during pregnancy and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality rates [8].

Because NAFLD has long been a serious health concern worldwide, it is of great interest in a broad range of medical fields. The past 30 years have seen increasingly
rapid advances in the field of NAFLD research. However, there remains insufficient understanding of NAFLD molecular mechanisms and treatments. Although the underlying mechanisms of NAFLD have been explored in depth in several studies [6, 9], there remains an urgent need to address effective treatment modalities for NAFLD. To date, no reliable drug has been developed towards this end. Hence, this thesis will focus on NAFLD rather than other types of fatty liver disease and intends to explore an appropriate drug for treatment.

1.3 Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)

NAFLD is a metabolic disease characterised by the accumulation of lipid in the liver in the absence of alcohol abuse or hepatic infectious disease [6, 10]. It ranges from simple hepatosteatosis to steatohepatitis and cirrhosis. In humans, an NAFLD patient is diagnosed when deposition of fat exceeds 5% of liver weight based on clinical history, clinical examination and non-invasive laboratory tests [1]. It is now globally recognised as the most common chronic liver disease in developed countries including Australia, the United Kingdom and the United States [6, 11].

NAFLD prevalence has doubled over the past two decades compared with other chronic liver diseases, which have remained relatively stable [12]. NAFLD is closely associated with metabolic disorders and abnormal liver physiology. According to the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), even though NAFLD presents as hepatosteatosis correlated with dyslipidaemia, obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D), its underlying mechanisms are still not fully understood [10, 13].
There are two forms of NAFLD: simple fatty liver and NASH. Simple fatty liver (hepatosteatosis) is defined as an accumulation of lipid unrelated to alcohol consumption or viral hepatitis [10]. It is chiefly caused by excessive deposition of fat in liver cells (hepatocytes) in the absence of inflammation, fibrosis and hepatocyte injury [6]. It has been observed that hepatosteatosis usually presents with high serum TG level without any indication of inflammation, hepatocellular injury or liver injury in NAFLD patients and mice [14].

NASH is a critical and severe stage of NAFLD, defined as the presence of hepatosteatosis and inflammation with or without fibrosis [15]. Unlike liver disease due to viral infections such as hepatitis C, NASH is characterised by steatosis, hepatocyte damage, hepatic inflammation and fibrosis [6, 10]. As shown in Table 1.1, NAFLD can be classified into two major stages: hepatosteatosis and NASH, where NASH is the critical form of the disease because of its potential for progressing to cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and liver failure.

**Table 1.1 Classifications and grades of NAFLD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAFLD stages</th>
<th>Grades</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hepatosteatosis</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Increased TG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH without fibrosis</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Increased TG + inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH with fibrosis</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Increased TG + inflammation (varying degrees of fibrosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH-related cirrhosis</td>
<td>End stage (irreversible)</td>
<td>Scar tissue (fibrotic connective tissue) + liver failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in **Figure 1.1**, NAFLD usually starts with simple fat accumulation within hepatocytes. Under certain conditions, this can progress to hepatic tissue inflammation and fibrosis, causing NASH and finally cirrhosis and even liver cancer (HCC).

**Figure 1.1 Schematic illustration of NAFLD progression presents a spectrum of liver disease.**

The progression of fatty liver from healthy liver to end-stage liver disease is illustrated. The first stage starts with excess fat deposits within hepatocytes causing hepatosteatosis. Then, an increase in inflammatory cytokines causing NASH with or without fibrosis occurs. This leads to an irreversible stage and scar tissue formation in cirrhosis, which leads to the end stage of liver disease. Histological sections are shown as the healthy normal liver progresses through these stages. Based on Cohen *et al.* [1].

Indeed, the pathogenic components of NAFLD are complex and a multitude of factors contribute to the development of this disease. Hepatosteatosis appears to be the first stage of NAFLD development, and the progression of NASH appears linked to hepatic inflammation.
1.3.1 Prevalence of NAFLD

NAFLD is becoming increasingly widespread as a result of modern sedentary lifestyles and the increased incidence worldwide of related metabolic diseases including obesity, T2D and insulin resistance [16]. Obesity and T2D are the major risk factors for NAFLD as reviewed in detail in Section 1.3.2. In addition, NAFLD patients are highly vulnerable to other metabolic diseases and liver-related morbidity and mortality. The overall prevalence of NAFLD has risen significantly over the last two decades along with increased prevalences of T2D and obesity [17, 18].

Several studies have been conducted to estimate the prevalence of NAFLD. Generally, it has been reported that the prevalence of NAFLD is around 30% in the adult population, and that the prevalence of NASH is almost 3% in most Western populations [5]. The prevalence of NAFLD is markedly increased in obese individuals (more than 25%) [5, 6]. In the United States, approximately 90% obese and 75% diabetic individuals have NAFLD, and this may explain the close relationship between NAFLD and metabolic diseases [5]. As shown in Table 1.2, metabolic diseases especially obesity and diabetes are major factors that seem to determine the prevalence of NAFLD.
Table 1.2 The prevalence of NAFLD in different countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region/Country</th>
<th>% in general population</th>
<th>% in population with obesity</th>
<th>% in population with T2D</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>25–31</td>
<td>70–78</td>
<td>20–32</td>
<td>[20, 21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe/Italy</td>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>80–90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50–70</td>
<td>15–30</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>9–32</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>30–60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>80–90</td>
<td>45–75</td>
<td>[5, 25, 26]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the Gastroenterological Society of Australia and the Australian Liver Association, NAFLD was the most common liver disease, affecting an estimated 5.5 million Australians, in 2012. The number of estimated deaths from NAFLD correlated to the prevalence rate of NAFLD was 2,264 deaths. In 2008, the Australian Bureau of Statistics expected that the prevalence and mortality rates could increase to 7.7 and 4.5, respectively [19] (Table 1.3). Further, in the United States, NASH is expected to be the leading cause of liver transplant procedures by 2020 [27].
Table 1.3 Prevalence of NAFLD and mortality in Australia in 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>2,713,372</td>
<td>2,825,305</td>
<td>5,538,677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>1,110</td>
<td>2,264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data adapted from the Gastroenterological Society of Australia and the Australian Liver Association in 2012 [19].

Multiple confounding factors affect the prevalence estimation of NAFLD in the general population. These factors include the rapid development of NAFLD and the rather vague classification of the disease stages; in addition, the lack of specific NAFLD markers is an important factor that can lead to further variations. Despite a lack of standard diagnostic tools, and diversity in sample population culture, age, ethnicity and gender, an abundance of studies have reported the incidence of NAFLD linked to these factors [28]. For example, the incidence of simple hepatosteatosis differs in gender and culture and is significantly higher in Hispanic, male and aged populations [5]. It also seems that high prevalence of NAFLD in developed countries may be at least in part due to dietary factors.

The significance of obesity and T2D in the prevalence of NAFLD has been globally recognised [5], and these are strong predictors for this pathological condition in the liver. It has been reported that the incidences of NAFLD in patients with obesity (BMI >30) and T2D are about 90% and 75%, respectively [5, 25]. Further, the increased incidence of progression from hepatosteatosis to NASH is associated with the ongoing epidemic of obesity and diabetes [6]. In addition, the different degrees of NAFLD associated with metabolic syndrome can affect the estimate of NASH. Numbers of patients with mild hepatosteatosis associated with the metabolic syndrome are
significantly high compared with patients without the metabolic syndrome. Moreover, the selection between stages of NASH and different diagnostic criteria between countries affects the estimated prevalence of NAFLD.

1.3.2 Relationship between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and the metabolic syndrome

NAFLD is regarded as a manifestation of the metabolic syndrome in the liver [29], and is highly prevalent in patients with the metabolic syndrome [30]. This is clearly seen in NAFLD patients with hepatic insulin resistance despite the presence of normoglycaemia and mild increased body weight [31]. Further, NAFLD has been associated with not only poor glycaemic control but also hypertriglyceridaemia, low plasma high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol levels, increased waist circumference and high blood pressure (Figure 1.2).

The presence of NAFLD is associated with increased risk of obesity, insulin resistance and cardiovascular diseases [29, 32], and its development is accompanied by lipid metabolism alterations [6, 29]. In addition, the ailment goes beyond mere liver disease to become an underlying cause of metabolic syndrome related to failure of other organs in the body. It has been discussed that insulin can inhibit lipolysis and increase TG synthesis in adipocytes and hepatocytes, respectively [33]. Accordingly, excess hepatic fat can induce impairment of glucose and lipid metabolism [31, 34]. This indicates that obesity and insulin resistance are associated with abnormalities in lipid metabolism associated with NAFLD. It has also been reported that the metabolic syndrome is associated with a higher risk of NASH developing in patients [29].
Mechanisms of NAFLD development can be seen by dissecting the metabolic and nutritional pathways involving FAs and glucose sensors [6]. In humans, NAFLD is significantly increased among patients with T2D or hyperlipidaemia compared with non-diabetic or non-obese patients [25, 29]. It has been reported that NAFLD patients have high fasting plasma glucose levels with early metabolic derangement compared with healthy populations [35]. Conversely, the reversal of hepatosteatosis by reduction in hepatic TG content increases insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism [36]. In further studies, various animal models often exhibit similar pathological features of NAFLD and associated metabolic diseases [37, 38]. Therefore, animal species and strains may be a major factor that influences the development of NAFLD and its association with metabolic syndrome in non-human models [39].

NAFLD is also linked to increased cardiovascular disease, which is a leading cause of death worldwide [40]. Progression of NASH increases the risk of cardiovascular complications and may be related to increased mortality [15]. A recent study has revealed that patients with NAFLD are more likely to have greater myocardial dysfunction than are those without NAFLD [41]. Higher incidences of metabolic syndrome complications including hypertension, hypertriglyceridaemia, hypercholesterolaemia and atherosclerosis have been seen in NAFLD patients with higher BMI [32]. Further, individuals with NAFLD are generally accepted as surrogates for the risk of developing nephropathy and retinopathy [42]. Therefore, NAFLD is increasingly found associated with a more severe adverse outcome and the reversal of NAFLD in the early stages can often alleviate these chronic conditions.
NAFLD is likely a key indicator of chronic diseases associated with obesity, T2D and insulin resistance. Further, NAFLD is usually associated with increased risk of cardiovascular complications including hypertension, hypertriglyceridaemia and hypercholesterolaemia.

The impact of dietary factors and nutritional differences in NAFLD-associated metabolic syndrome has been widely studied using animal studies. An increase in free FAs due to dietary overconsumption can result in TG accumulation in the liver and therapeutic hepatosteatosis [2]. A study conducted in rats has clearly indicated that there is a strong relationship between NAFLD and metabolic syndrome [43]. Rats fed a high-fat diet (HFD), high-fructose (HFru) diet or both have induced hyperglycaemia, hyperinsulinaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia associated with hepatosteatosis. The impact of diet on hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance has been demonstrated by Ren and colleagues [44], who have shown that mice fed a HFD or HFru diet have increased hepatosteatosis-induced glucose intolerance. Dietary fructose is almost entirely metabolised via the liver in its first pass, mainly as a substrate for DNL in both animals
[45-47] and humans [48-51]. In contrast, dietary fat directly provides FAs to produce hepatosteatosis.

1.3.3 Pathogenesis of NAFLD

The study of pathogenesis of NAFLD is complicated due to the spectrum of diseases arising from various cellular interactions within the disorder [6]. The first stage is a hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome represented by hepatosteatosis. NASH is a critical and advanced form of NAFLD characterised by hepatocyte damage, release of inflammatory cytokines, and various degrees of fibrosis secondary to hepatosteatosis [1]. Due to the multiple pathways involved in this disease, there are no approved and effective treatments for patients with NAFLD. A better understanding of the complex pathogenesis of NAFLD will help to identify an effective treatment that prevents or helps to delay the development of NAFLD and the progression of NASH.

NASH pathogenesis has been established in literature by the two hit hypothesis [52]. According to Day et al, the first hit primarily manifests as an excessive TG accumulation in the hepatocytes and promotion of hepatosteatosis. As shown in Figure 1.3, the second hit has been suggested to be the main reason for the progression from hepatosteatosis to steatohepatitis. This hit may be caused by inflammatory, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and cell injury mediators directly related to activation of inflammation, oxidative stress and apoptosis, respectively. Progression of NASH could irreversible depending on the severity of the disease [6, 52]. The exact mechanism behind NASH causation is multifactorial and its understanding is still incomplete [53]. During the progression of NAFLD, interaction of different pathways such as oxidative
stress, inflammatory cytokines, lipid peroxidation and mitochondrial dysfunction may be able to induce steatohepatitis [15, 44]. It has been claimed that the activation of inflammatory cytokines in hepatic kupffer cells (KCs) plays an important role in NASH development [6].

![Diagram of NASH and two-hit hypothesis](image)

**Figure 1.3 The hallmark of NASH and the two-hit hypothesis.**

The first hit is caused by TG accumulation in the hepatocytes and promotion of hepatosteatosis. The second hit has been suggested to result from the activation of distinct pathways leading to simple steatosis and NASH, respectively [1].

In current literature, different pathways potentially involved in the pathogenesis of NASH have been investigated. One of the proposed mechanisms is the chronic stimulation of inflammatory cytokines, mainly tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα) and interleukin 6 (IL-6). The activation of inflammatory mediators aggravates liver cell injury via pro-fibrogenic transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ), IL-6, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1) and TNFα [54] (Figure 1.4). From this pathway, it appears that inflammation is a dominant
factor involved in the process of the progression of NASH; this will be discussed in detail in Section 1.6.4.

Another important factor claimed to be involved in the pathogenesis of NAFLD is oxidative stress [54]. In general, excessive TG accumulation in the liver causes metabolic stress on the mitochondria and ER and the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS). It has been reported that there is a significant increase in the products of lipid peroxidation among NAFLD patients compared with healthy controls [55]. Increased hepatic oxidative stress markers have also been found in severe inflammation in NASH induced in mice by feeding them methionine and choline-deficient (MCD) diet [56]. Further, several studies have reported that ER stress may be an essential factor in both the development of hepatosteatosis and the progression to NASH [57-59]. Indeed, it has been shown that attenuation of ER stress prevents the development of hepatosteatosis and progression of NASH in vivo and in vitro [60, 61].

Figure 1.4 Proposed ‘multiple hits’ in the pathogenesis of NASH.
The initial hit is through increased production of free FAs and hepatic fat deposition, usually due to the metabolic syndrome, resulting in hepatosteatosis. Second hits such as inflammation, oxidative stress and ER stress are involved in the progression of steatosis to NASH. Such multiple factors may include oxidative damage, increased inflammation and fibrosis leading to NASH [62].

To date, several studies have highlighted the multiple-hit theory that is associated with the development of NAFLD. Recent evidence suggests that gut-derived bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) plays a key role in the development and progression of NASH [63]. It has been observed that there is an increase in the growth of LPS bacteria in patients with NASH compared with controls. Several studies in rodents have shown that LPS/toll-like receptor 4 (LPS/TLR4) and LPS-induced TNFα production are involved in the progression of NASH in rats and mice, respectively [64, 65]. Further, LPS stimulation with high caloric intake leads to hepatic inflammation and progression of NASH in C57BL/6 mice [66]. Interestingly, therapeutics that target LPS elevation such as Mtr might ameliorate diet-induced steatohepatitis, particularly fibrosis progression [67]. Gut microbiota-triggered LPS-induced hepatic inflammation and NASH are vital regulators of NAFLD pathogenesis.

Understanding these NAFLD progression mechanisms will help to develop drugs that target hepatosteatosis and NASH that arise along these pathogenesis pathways. Further studies may be beneficial to not only dissect these pathways but also present potential new therapeutic agents that resolve NAFLD. New approaches have been explored to develop appropriate therapeutics that target various elements in the pathogenesis of NAFLD and progression of NASH [68]. There is great interest in identifying molecular targets for the treatment of this condition, making the outlook of NASH therapy more
optimistic. The use of treatments that target predictive risk factors such as obesity and T2D has become prominent, but is insufficient [68]. Current medications targeting these pathways will be discussed in detail in Section 1.9.

1.4 Economic burden of NAFLD

The burden of NAFLD is associated with tremendous clinical, economic and health-related quality-of-life costs. The increased prevalence of this disease has led to greater analysis of the quantifications of clinical and economic burdens of this condition [69]. NAFLD has a high financial cost, which affects the patient’s health and treatment system, and the overall economy, and needs to be addressed through healthcare and prevention policies. The disease’s progression and related illnesses put a strain on national medical services. Hence, estimating economic costs and health burden of fatty liver disease is extremely important in monitoring the incidence of NAFLD and other related health problems. It is also essential to provide standard classifications in outlining the burden of fatty liver, morbidity and deaths affecting individuals and the society. This is aggravated by the limited availability of non-invasive and accurate diagnostic tools—one of the greatest challenges in NAFLD diagnosis [6].

There are currently insufficient data available on the cost-effectiveness of prevention and treatment of this disease [70]. Data reveal that pharmacological treatments in addition to standard lifestyle modifications in NASH patients with advanced fibrosis is likely more cost-effective than in NASH patients without advanced fibrosis. Another study has reported a total cost of 2,521 purchasing power parity (PPP) for each urban NAFLD patient per year including health services, diagnosis and drug treatment [5].
Despite the short-term follow-up, including minimum cost and narrow spectrum of healthcare cost, the study reports that the total cost of NAFLD in Iran is 1 billion PPP yearly.

The economic burden of NAFLD including diagnosis and treatment is extremely high worldwide. Statistics show that NAFLD is the most prevalent liver disease in Australia, with an estimated 5.5 million people affected, including 40% of all adults aged 50 years and over [19]. NAFLD is also associated with the highest number of deaths, with an estimated 2,264 in 2012. According to the same report by the Gastroenterological Society of Australia, the Australian government has spent around $5.44 billion on patients with liver diseases [19]. The prevalence rate of NAFLD continues to rise significantly worldwide including Australia. It is projected that NAFLD will affect more than 7 million Australians in 2030 [19]. A recent study has shown that the high prevalence of NAFLD has a marked effect on the economy of both the United States and Europe, outlining a comprehensive model estimating the clinical and economic burdens of NAFLD [69]. From the data reported in the study, the number of NAFLD patients in the United States and Europe is 60 million and 50 million patients with an annual cost of about $103 billion and €35 billion, respectively.

The enormous economic burdens associated with NAFLD will continue to increase as its prevalence rises. Indeed, it is time to improve awareness of NAFLD at a social level and give more consideration to NAFLD in health policies worldwide to secure a better future for coming generations. Formal cost–benefit analyses in the context of NAFLD are needed for better management and treatment. Clearly, defining the natural history of NAFLD would be beneficial for the early detection and prevention of NAFLD
progression and complications. In turn, the effect of novel treatments on decision-making may be beneficial to avoid high future healthcare costs related to progression of NAFLD.

It is worthwhile to note that lack of awareness of the economic burden of NAFLD among hepatologists and non-hepatologists is putting individuals and society at elevated risk. This is likely due to underestimated prevalence of NAFLD and its implications. One of the most effective ways to monitor development and progression of NAFLD is to estimate the economic burden of this disease. Undertaking a study of the economic burden of NAFLD has been highly recommended by hepatologists and hepatic clinical practitioners to develop a comprehensive understanding of the liability of this disease.

1.5 Hepatosteatosis

Hepatosteatosis (also called fatty liver) is defined as the accumulation of TG in the liver, where the risk of progression to fibrosis and liver failure in the absence of additional insults is relatively small [10]. It is considered a benign stage of NAFLD but a primary mediator of most common fatty liver diseases [6] because of excessive lipid accumulation in the liver both directly and indirectly through liver-related diseases such as obesity and T2D. Simple hepatosteatosis is characterised by a hepatic TG content greater than 5% of liver weight in the absence of liver injury, inflammation and fibrosis (Figure 1.6). When left untreated, hepatosteatosis may progress to NASH, where the risk of fibrosis and cirrhosis is dramatically increased [69].
There are four major causes of hepatosteatosis, including increased dietary fat (exogenous fat), increased DNL (endogenous fat synthesised in the liver), reduced fat oxidation and impaired fat export out of the liver [2, 71]. Indeed, dysfunction of the liver may cause improper trafficking of lipids, resulting in a higher rate of TG synthesis than TG secretion [6]. This prolonged impairment of hepatic TG secretion promotes inflammation, oxidative stress and fibrosis in the liver to form NASH.

### Table 1.4 Major features differences between simple hepatosteatosis and NASH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Hepatosteatosis</th>
<th>NASH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipid accumulation</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver injury</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic inflammation</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic fibrosis</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of cirrhosis</td>
<td>Minimal risk</td>
<td>High risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(cirrhosis &lt;0.7%)</td>
<td>(cirrhosis &gt;12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>Lower mortality</td>
<td>Higher mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(20%)</td>
<td>(42%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAs can also be generated from carbohydrates through DNL, a process initiated by the carboxylation of actyl-CoA that is catalysed by acetyl-coA carboxylase (ACC) [6]. It has been shown that DNL is promoted by hyperinsulinemia or high consumption of carbohydrates [72, 73]. Previous studies also showed DNL is increased in the liver of high-fructose fed mice [45].

FAs are the precursor of TG and play an important role in the development of hepatosteatosis. Several mechanisms contribute to increased FAs subsequently causing hepatosteatosis. It has been shown that several putative signalling mechanisms, including inflammatory cytokines, ER stress and oxidative stress, are activated as a result of excessive FAs supply [6]. Regulation of FAs pathways in both adipose tissue
and the liver are essential to maintain TG synthesis and secretion, which is essential for lipid metabolism in relation to hepatosteatosis [9].

### 1.5.1 Hepatic lipid metabolism

Liver TG is formed from FAs and glycerol via hepatocellular long-chain FAs bound to coenzyme A to form fatty acyl coenzyme A (acyl-CoA) [35]. FAs are generally derived from circulating free FAs, DNL, lipoprotein uptake and TG breakdown [6]. Of the TG present in the liver, approximately 59% comes from circulating free FAs, 26% from DNL and 15% from the diet [2]. Thus, hepatosteatosis can be considered a heterogeneous condition. Our laboratory and others have found that excessive DNL represents a key feature of fatty liver from high-carbohydrate diet [6]. These sources contribute towards TG synthesis, which is stored and metabolised within the liver then exported and stored in adipose tissue.

Dysregulation of lipid metabolism in the liver is strongly correlated with TG accumulation within hepatocytes, causing hepatosteatosis [15]. As indicated previously, an imbalance between free FA formation and utilisation (lipid synthesis and oxidation) can lead to an increase in hepatic free FA concentration, resulting in hepatosteatosis [35].

One important cause of increased fat uptake is excess FA intake, such as with a Western diet or HFD, directly leading to excessive accumulation of fat in the liver and eventually hepatosteatosis [44, 74]. A possible explanation for this connection may be
that circulating FAs mainly derive from digested dietary fat and adipose tissue lipolysis, leading to increased lipid deposits in the liver [6].

Another possibility is that insulin resistance in muscle due to impaired insulin-mediated glucose uptake leads to deviation of glucose to the liver for DNL [75]. Conversely, increased synthesis of TG through non-esterified FAs (NEFAs) and DNL promotes lipid droplets in circulation and in the liver, respectively [6]. During TG lipolysis, NEFAs are transported from adipose tissue to the liver and re-esterified with glycerol to form TG. The other cause of increased fat synthesis through the highly regulated DNL pathway will be discussed in Section 1.5.2.

Dysregulation of FA metabolism is likely caused by FA oxidation-reduction [76]. In this pathway, mitochondrial regulated FA oxidation through carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT1), which is the key enzyme in FA β-oxidation, facilitates the transport of acyl-CoA into mitochondria. It has been shown that an increase in CPT1 alleviates HFD-induced lipid metabolism disorders in a genetic obesity rat model [77]. Indeed, prevention of free FA-induced hepatosteatosis increased mitochondrial β-oxidation in HepG2 cells and in MCD rats with a carnitine-supplemented diet [78]. In contrast, it has been suggested that overexpression of enzymes such as CPT1 increases FA oxidation and alleviates HFD-induced hepatosteatosis and inflammation [76].

Another factor that contributes to the aggravation of NAFLD is mitochondrial dysfunction. For example, a decrease in the regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 alpha (PGC1α), results in impaired lipid metabolism and hepatosteatosis development [79]. Dysregulated
mitochondrial metabolism involved in oxidative stress, ER stress and inflammation constitutes risk factors that progress NASH in humans and rodents [80, 81]. Hence, developing drugs that increase mitochondrial function could ameliorate NASH [82]. This indicates that mitochondrial dysfunction has an essential contribution to the development of NASH.

Very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) secretion, which is a mechanism of TG removal from the liver, is another mechanism of hepatosteatosis [83]. Inhibition of VLDL secretion induces hepatosteatosis, suggesting that VLDL production plays a significant role in hepatic lipid metabolism and hyperlipidaemia [83]. It has been suggested that VLDL secretion deficiency can promote NAFLD progression [6]. Impaired VLDL export in a genetic NASH mice model exhibits excessive hepatic TG and increased expression of inflammatory markers [84]. In Zucker rats, increased TG secretion from the liver by circulation has been shown to prevent the development of hepatosteatosis [85]. Impairment of TG export and deficiency in VLDL synthesis leads to TG accumulation in liver cells, which may lead to hepatosteatosis. The TG exported from the liver is packed into VLDL and released into the blood stream, which is a mechanism of hyperlipidaemia [6]. The main cause of reduction in VLDL secretion in the liver is lack of microsomal TG transfer protein (MTTP), which plays a vital role in the synthesis of apolipoprotein B in VLDL formation. Deficiency of these proteins results in hepatosteatosis and NASH exacerbation in mice [86] and humans [87].

Hyperinsulinaemia has been associated with an increase in lipid synthesis to result in hepatosteatosis. Forkhead box protein O1 (FoxO1) is a key mediator in the insulin signalling pathway, and acts as a master transcription factor regulating hepatic DNL
and gluconeogenesis [88]. Prolonged insulin stimulation restores FoxO1 expression, while insulin receptor substrate 2 (IRS-2) is involved in the inhibitory effects of insulin on FoxO1 [89, 90]. The expression of FoxO1 regulates lipid metabolism through reduced transcriptional activity of sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1c (SREBP1c) in vivo and in vitro [91].

Mitochondria play an essential role in hepatic lipid metabolism and are affected by upstream signalling pathways involved in hepatosteatosis [92]. FA oxidation is a process to shorten FAs into acetyl-CoA and plays a vital role in hepatosteatosis and lipid metabolism. Impairment in FA oxidation and lipid export can increase hepatic DNL and thereby trigger hepatosteatosis. It has been suggested that stimulation of mitochondrial FA oxidation in the liver may ameliorate hepatosteatosis, inflammation and fibrosis and protect against hepatosteatosis [81, 93]. It seems that mild reduction in the rate of FA oxidation induces hepatosteatosis and significant reduction of FA oxidation exacerbates NASH.

### 1.5.2 De novo lipogenesis

The liver is a major metabolic organ responsible for the homeostasis of lipids in the whole body. DNL is a metabolic process for the conversion of an excess of carbohydrates into FAs, which are ultimately esterified with glycerol 3-phosphate to form TG [89]. DNL is thought to contribute to the development of NAFLD and related metabolic diseases [2]. Excess intake of carbohydrates especially fructose that exceeds liver utilisation capacity stimulates the lipogenic pathways and the production of DNL, lipids by disposing of glucose and calories [94]. The conversion of excess
carbohydrates regulated by the DNL pathway is a critical mechanism involved in lipid homeostasis in the liver [1]. Elevated DNL is one important source of hepatic lipid accumulation and the development of hepatosteatosis [6].

Fructose contributes to lipid synthesis via the influx of fructose carbons into lipogenic precursors. The role of dietary fructose has been implicated as an important risk factor for DNL promotion and NAFLD progression [95]. SREBP1c expression in rodents caused by fructose feeding promotes hepatic lipid synthesis [96]. Hepatic lipogenesis is affected by multiple factors including liver X receptor (LXR) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α (PPARα) [97, 98]. These elements play a vital role in regulating lipid metabolism by reducing the clearance of FAs through oxidation, consequently further increasing fat accumulation in hepatocytes [6]. The major transcriptional regulators and enzymes involved in hepatic DNL, including SREBP1c, carbohydrate-responsive element-binding protein (ChREBP), fatty acid synthase (FAS), ACC and stearoyl-coenzyme A desaturase-1 (SCD1), have garnered significant attention over recent years [95].

SREBP1c and ChREBP are two master transcriptional regulators that are widely expressed in the liver and drive DNL [89, 99]. Both these DNL transcriptional regulators are elevated in the liver during over consumption of fructose [100, 101]. Consumption of fructose has distinct effects on the expression of these lipogenic transcription factors (ChREBP and SREBP1c) and has been associated with poor metabolic outcomes, compared with glucose-fed mice [102].
ChREBP is one of the major regulators of DNL in adipose tissue implicated in hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance [103]. It can be activated by high glucose levels and inhibited by cAMP [71]. It is a key determinant of lipid synthesis as a glucose-responsive transcription factor in the liver [103]. Deficiency of ChREBP in the liver of genetically obese \textit{ob/ob} mice markedly improves insulin resistance and hepatosteatosis [99]. Rodents fed HFru diet display a significant increase in ChREBP expression [104].

SREBP1c regulates the expression of genes encoding enzymes responsible for DNL, such as FAS [89]. A strong relation between the increased levels of nuclear SREBP1c and the elevation rates of hepatic FA synthesis has been previously reported [105]. In other words, elevated levels of hepatic SREBP1c lead to increased expression of genes for the synthesis of FAs, which in turn is associated with the development of hepatosteatosis.

It has been shown that increased SREBP1c expression contributes to the development of hyperglycaemia and hepatic steatosis in diabetic mice [105]. As the activation of SREBP1c expression is not accompanied by lipogenic gene upregulation in adipocytes [106], this transcriptional factor seems to be a dominant regulator of DNL particularly in the liver. The expression of SREBP1c can be stimulated by fructose, glucose, insulin and ER stress [89]. Inhibition of SREBP1c levels by reducing ER stress decreases hepatic lipogenesis and markedly improves hepatosteatosis and insulin sensitivity in obese rodents [107].

Downstream of the enzymes SREBP1c and ChREBP in the lipogenic pathway for hepatic lipogenesis are ACC, SCD1 and FAS [71, 108]. These key lipogenic enzymes
can be targeted for the treatment of NAFLD. For example, mutant mice lacking ACC1 are protected against hepatosteatosis [109]. Additionally, ACC inhibitors reverse hepatosteatosis in rats with diet-induced NAFLD [110]. Further, mice with liver-specific SCD1 knockout are protected against hepatosteatosis induced by high-carbohydrate diet [111], and FAS inhibition leads to a significant reduction in liver TG levels and improved insulin sensitivity in \textit{db/db} mice fed HFrue diet [112].
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\textbf{Figure 1.5 Effect of carbohydrate overfeeding on DNL-induced hepatosteatosis.}

The conversion of glucose into FAs through DNL is nutritionally regulated by glucose and insulin signalling pathways, which induce lipogenic gene expression. Glucose activates the transcription factor ChREBP, which is required for the transcriptional induction of FAS and ACC. Insulin activates the transcription factor SREBP1c, which is required for the transcriptional induction of FAS and SCD1. Activation of lipogenic enzyme causes dysregulation of lipid metabolism, leading to hepatosteatosis and ultimately NAFLD development.

The activity of the lipogenic pathway is dependent upon nutritional conditions [95]. A diet rich in carbohydrates, mainly fructose, stimulates the lipogenic pathway in the liver, where fructose is cleared from the blood [101]. Several studies have suggested that HFrue diet may increase DNL, which contributes to the pathogenesis of NAFLD.
The transcription of lipogenic enzymes is regulated by insulin and glucose. Carbohydrate feeding promotes DNL, as summarised in Figure 1.5.

Hepatic ER stress pathways have been implicated in the development of hepatosteatosis during HFrU feeding in mice [46]. It has been reported that ER stress can lead to hepatosteatosis associated with glucose homeostasis by upregulation of SREBP1c, ACC, FAS and SCD1 [45]. Alleviating ER stress and inhibiting DNL has a significant impact on improving hepatosteatosis involved in NAFLD [57]. Studies in rodents suggest that alleviating ER stress protects against an increase in hepatic lipogenesis and hepatosteatosis [57, 107]. Thus, targeting ER stress might be a good candidate for NAFLD or NASH treatment.

1.5.3 Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and hyperglycaemia

Data from several human studies suggest that the presence of NAFLD promotes the consecutive development of T2D and is a strong predictor of the metabolic syndrome [35]. T2D and obesity are closely associated with increased delivery of FAs to the liver and hence are the most common risk factors for the development of NAFLD. They have been proposed as major risk factors in T2D with a twofold to fivefold increased risk of developing NAFLD [113]. Factors associated with hepatosteatosis, including glucose intolerance and insulin resistance, can aggravate to severe NASH [6].

The liver is largely involved in whole-body insulin resistance and fasting hyperglycaemia in T2D [35]. Excessive TG accumulation in the liver may be associated with hyperinsulinaemia and dyslipidaemia and can contribute to elevated lipogenesis in
obesity and NAFLD [6]. In addition to the liver, adipose tissue plays an important role in NAFLD development and is associated with higher risk of mortality. A link between intestinal microbiota and development of obesity with its metabolic consequences including T2D has been suggested to be involved in NAFLD progression [63].

Dietary factors significantly contribute to hepatic TG accumulation and hyperglycaemia associated with NAFLD. In NAFLD patients with or without obesity or insulin resistance, roughly 15% of hepatic FAs originate from diet. During dietary over consumption of fructose, hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance occur at a very early stage [48, 114]. Dietary fructose in particular has been shown to have a distinct tendency to induce hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance in experimental animals. For instance, HFru feeding results in hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance induced by excessive DNL [44]. The study also suggested that activation of ER stress pathways may play an important role in DNL and subsequent hepatosteatosis.

Interestingly, reduction of hepatosteatosis in diabetic patients has been shown to markedly improve glycaemia control and insulin sensitivity [36]. The reversal of hepatosteatosis and hyperglycaemia via lifestyle modification may be beneficial for the prevention of NAFLD and its associated metabolic diseases. For example, a study in experimental animals has indicated that improvement in hypertriglyceridaemia and insulin resistance induced by fructose protects against developing NAFLD [115]. It has been reported that PUFAs ameliorate many of the adverse changes in lipid and glucose metabolism responsible for NAFLD development [4].
As previously mentioned, hepatic lipogenesis represents a critical mechanism for hepatosteatosis associated with glucose homeostasis [44]. An important reason for the activation of this lipogenic pathway in the liver is a diet rich in carbohydrates. Post-translational and transcriptional mechanisms control the activities of hepatic lipogenic enzymes. The transcription of lipogenic enzymes is regulated by insulin and glucose as shown in Figure 1.5.

There is clear evidence suggesting a strong relationship between hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance in the presence or absence of obesity. Because NAFLD and T2D share some common pathological features, most therapeutic approaches for NAFLD target the major pathways thought to be essential in the metabolic syndrome [35]. Among various stages in the progression of NAFLD, there is increasing interest in the prevention of NASH development as it is crucial for the control of fibrosis and disease prognosis.

There are many different anti-diabetic drugs that act on the same mechanism in increasing insulin sensitivity and decreasing hepatic glucose production. Although metformin is one of these drugs because of its efficacy in T2D [116], it is necessary to develop an efficient therapy for fighting or preventing NAFLD.

### 1.6 Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)

NASH is a severe and progressive stage of NAFLD, in which hepatocyte damage, inflammation and fibrosis are present [10]. Unlike simple hepatosteatosis, NASH may
become a more prominent public health issue in the near future with the potential of becoming the second most common indication for liver transplantation [6].

The pathogenesis of the transition from hepatosteatosis to NASH is complicated and, although it has been extensively studied in humans and animals, it remains unclear. It has been proposed that inflammation, ER stress, oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction may be the dominant mechanisms in this disease [6]. Histologically, prolonged inflammation associated with ballooning degeneration of hepatocytes is a strong feature for defining NASH [54]. Other signs such as collagen deposition contribute to the severity of the disease.

Numerous indications characterise the pathogenesis of NASH but these signs may vary considerably in most cases. For example, TG level and plasma levels of liver enzymes (aspartate aminotransferase [AST] and alanine aminotransferase [ALT]) have been used as markers for diagnosis of NASH [117]. Other markers proposed by Walenbergh et al. indicate that oxidised low-density lipoprotein (oxLDL) is a substantial risk factor for NASH [118]. It seems that dysfunctional hepatic lipid metabolism may be a key factor in progression to NASH [119]. However, it is generally agreed that abnormal lipid metabolism alone is insufficient to cause liver injury and NASH [10, 80].

On the basis of the two-hit hypothesis of NAFLD pathogenesis, hepatosteatosis is classified as a first hit but a second hit is required to advance it to NASH [52]. Although it is not well understood how NASH occurs, several factors that seem to contribute to the progression of NASH have been reported in a number of studies [6]. These factors—cell injury, inflammation, ER stress, oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction—are associated with the severity of NASH and progression to NASH with
fibrosis, while other factors yet to be identified contribute to the progression to severe fibrosis. Despite the difficulty in determining NASH due to the lack of definite pathogenesis, animal studies have been used to identify the factors critically involved in the progression of NASH [120, 121]. NASH characterisations according to the AASLD will be discussed in the following sections.

1.6.1 Steatosis

Hepatosteatosis is the hallmark of NAFLD resulting from excessive accumulation of lipids in hepatocytes, which can result from increased DNL, reduced utilisation of lipids or inhibited export of lipids from hepatocytes [6]. The amount of TG accumulated in the liver and other lipids such as FAs, diacylglycerols, phospholipids, sphingolipids and cholesterol is influenced by diet, physical activity, age and ethnicity. Thus, hepatosteatosis has heterogeneous causes, which are obvious risk factors for NASH [122, 123].

The two-hit hypothesis considers steatosis a first hit to the liver in NASH pathogenesis; however, not all patients with steatosis develop NASH: approximately only one-third will develop NASH [6]. In animals, a diet rich in fat, carbohydrate or both has been shown to cause simple hepatosteatosis [120]. Animal models and diets will be discussed in Section 1.8.

Understanding the mechanism involved in the progression of hepatosteatosis to NASH is important for the prevention of NASH that can lead to cirrhosis or cancer. According to AASLD guidelines, hepatosteatosis does not require pharmacological treatments
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[10]. However, hepatosteatosis should not be neglected because it is a risk in the pathogenesis of NASH.

1.6.2 Cell damage

Hepatocytes are the most predominant cells in the liver and account for 70–80% of healthy liver mass. Hepatocytes are the major storage site for excessive TG accumulation in the highly metabolised liver cell [124]. Hepatocyte injury is a key feature that distinguishes hepatosteatosis from NASH [6]. It is strongly linked to the pathogenesis of NASH because of the ability of hepatocytes to release factors that promote inflammation and fibrosis in the liver (Figure 1.6).

It has been suggested that repetitive or chronic liver injury may cause dysregulation in wound-healing response, promoting progressive hepatic cell damage, which leads to cirrhosis [6]. In humans, there exist highly significant correlations between the hepatocyte damage and the severity of NASH [125]. Mice fed with special diets such as choline-deficient L-amino acid-deficient (CDAA) or MCD show liver injury and display more pronounced features of NASH [120].

Hepatocyte damage induces several factors that activate signalling cascades that result in cell death and aggravate NASH development. Fat deposition, ER stress, apoptosis and inflammation in the liver are directly or indirectly caused by cell injury. These factors associated with cell damage induce signalling pathways that contribute to the pathogenesis of NASH. It has been shown that excess lipid in mouse and human hepatocytes induces liver injury, inflammation and fibrosis with NASH [126].
Increased lipid droplets cause hepatic inflammation via cholesterol loading of macrophages in the liver [127].

**Figure 1.6 Major cell types involved in the pathogenesis of NASH.**

Hepatocytes are the most predominant cells in the liver and play a vital role in liver injury. KCs are macrophages present in liver responsible for the release of inflammatory cytokines. HSCs are considered a major source of liver fibrogenic cells.

**1.6.3 Macrophages activation and infiltration**

Macrophages are a main component of the innate and adaptive immune systems present in all living tissues. It has been shown that KCs in NASH are associated with stimulation of the immune and inflammatory systems to detect and protect against the
disease [6, 128]. Activation of KCs and infiltration of macrophages from the blood circulation have been proposed to be essential in NASH and NASH with fibrosis [129].

Activation of KCs has been identified as a critical factor in NASH pathogenesis, mediating inflammation and exacerbating fibrosis (Figure 1.7). It has been found to be increased in both humans and animals with NASH [129]. Secretion of inflammatory cytokines by activated KCs is a key event in the initiation of NASH [130, 131]. It also plays a pivotal role in activation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) towards hepatic fibrosis and NASH-related fibrosis. It has been reported that targeted deletion of KCs completely prevents TNFα production and TGFβ mRNA expression in MCD diet-fed mice [131].

Polarisation of KCs has been demonstrated in prevention of the progression of NASH [130]. KCs are classified as M1 when responsible for releasing inflammatory properties and M2 when releasing anti-inflammatory properties. Chronic HFD feeding increases KCs with M1 phenotype, hence increasing inflammatory cytokines, while KCs polarisation switch from M1 to M2 leads to prevention of the development of NAFLD in HFD mice [132]. These studies suggest that pharmacological interventions targeting M2 KCs polarisation may provide an effective therapy in the prevention of inflammatory hepatic injury and hepatic fibrosis in NASH.

1.6.4 Inflammation

Hepatic inflammation plays a critical role in the development of NAFLD and a crucial aspect in NASH pathogenesis [15, 133]. It has been reported that macrophage
activation causing inflammation leads to NASH in mice [134]. LPS has been shown to promote the progression of NASH because of stimulation of inflammatory gene expression [135]. Alternatively, inhibition of inflammation by drugs may improve diet-induced NASH [136, 137].

Activation of KCs induces the production of inflammatory cytokines generated by LPS-induced signalling that is dependent on macrophage activation [138]. Inflammatory cytokines have two roles: first, to increase the recruitment of inflammatory cells and, second, to exacerbate the progression of NASH. The balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory acting cytokines is an acknowledged regulator of liver diseases including NASH [54].

The major inflammatory cytokine TNFα plays diverse roles in the pathogenesis of NASH [15]. The expression levels of TNFα and IL-6, two important inflammatory cytokines, are hugely increased in the liver of NASH subjects [139, 140]. Crespo et al. report increased hepatic expression of TNFα and tumour necrosis factor receptor 2 (TNFR2) in patients with NASH compared with healthy people [139]. In NASH patients, more advanced fibrosis is also accompanied by increased hepatic expression of TNFα. In line with these results, TNFα plasma levels have been shown to correlate positively with the grade of liver fibrosis assessed by ultrasound-guided liver biopsy in patients with advanced stages of NAFLD.

The release of numerous inflammatory cytokines, including TNFα, mediates inflammatory production and liver cell death—inflammation and cell damage are known to be involved in the pathogenesis of NASH. An earlier study has revealed that
overexpression of TNFα induces elevation of inflammatory and fibrotic signalling pathways in patients with more advanced NASH [139]. On the contrary, there are no significant differences in the levels of TNFα in NASH patients compared with simple hepatosteatosis [141]. However, it is generally agreed that inflammation plays a major role in the progression of this disease [133].

Recently, reduction of TNFα has been shown as an independent predictor for positive NASH prognosis [139]. Anti-inflammatory drugs can decrease the activity of this cytokine, and thus prevent NASH [67, 142]. In humans, such therapies represent an improvement in histological features of this disease through reduction in hepatosteatosis and inflammation [143, 144]. The anti-inflammatory effects of pentoxifylline reduce the increase in TNFα-induced NASH-like phenotype in mice fed HFD with cholesterol or MCD diet [145].

Activation of inflammatory cytokines also drives activation of inflammasome, which contributes to steatohepatitis [146]. Inflammasome and interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β) activation are required for the pathogenesis of NASH [147, 148]. Identification of the inhibitors of NOD-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome is an important step in the process of developing effective drugs for the treatment of NASH in which inflammation is a known component [149, 150]. It has been reported that the inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome activation reduces liver injury, inflammation and fibrosis in MCD diet-induced steatohepatitis and in foz/foz mice with NASH [149].
Another factor contributing to inflammation of the liver involved in the progression of this disease is iron accumulation. Hepatic iron deposition is strongly associated with hepatocyte injury and inflammation in NASH patients [151]. It has been found in one-third to half of patients with NAFLD and ranges from mild elevations to about 1000–1500 ng/mL accumulated within hepatocytes. Accumulation of iron has been also seen in KCs, which increases inflammatory and fibrotic cytokines [152]. The mild degree of body iron excess compared with markedly raised serum ferritin concentrations suggests that iron overload in patients with NAFLD results from a combination of alimentary and inflammatory-driven iron loading and retention [153, 154]. This is in line with the current evidence that NAFLD is both a metabolic and an inflammatory disease. Indeed, iron depletion is effective in the treatment of NASH, including improving hepatic enzymes and steatosis and reducing inflammation and oxidative stress [154].

1.6.5 Fibrosis

Liver fibrosis is a complicated pathological process that leads to the destruction of hepatocytes and impairment of liver functions, and it is strongly associated with all-cause or liver-related mortality in NASH [155]. It is another hallmark of advanced NASH where KCs induce inflammatory responses for the subsequent steps towards fibrosis. It has been shown that inflammatory cytokines secreted by KCs play a key role in fibrogenesis [156]. In fact, several drugs targeting ER stress, inflammation, oxidative stress and apoptosis may cause activated HSCs [6, 157].

At the cellular level, progression to NASH is associated with activated HSCs, the primary cells that drive the fibrogenic process [15]. Activation of HSCs leads to
increased secretion of fibrotic proteins, including TGFβ, collagen 1, alpha smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) and Smad signalling, and other extracellular matrix proteins causing the formation of scar tissue and fibrosis in the liver [158]. Several studies have shown that diet-induced HSCs activation increases these fibrotic cytokines, promoting the development of NASH fibrosis [159, 160].

The most commonly used model of liver fibrosis in experimental rodents is MCD diet [161]. The MCD mouse model represents the progression of NASH and the development of liver fibrosis. Rodents fed an MCD diet have significantly increased TG and ALT levels, indicators of steatosis and liver injury, respectively [120, 162]. TGFβ-1, α-SMA and type 1 collagen expression levels also increase among mice fed MCD diet for 8 weeks [14, 159].

Advanced fibrosis has become a central focus in clinical studies that address fibrosis and liver-related clinical endpoints in NASH, but the treatment remains the main challenge for scientists [155]. The only treatment available for NASH patients with fibrosis is liver transplantation—commonly used but unsatisfactory because of increased risk of disease recurrence after transplantation [163]. An antifibrotic drug that is safe, potent, bioavailable and specific to the liver is not yet available. Currently, several therapies are being scanned for their efficacy in the treatment of liver fibrosis via regulation of the activity of collagen deposition and HSCs in humans and animals [67, 157, 164].
1.7 Cirrhosis and liver cancer

NASH is a complex pattern of fatty liver disease in the absence of alcohol intake. It is one of the highest liver morbidity and mortality diseases worldwide with poor prognosis and progression of irreversible diseases such as HCC [165]. NASH is now recognised as the most common cause of cirrhosis and may progress to liver cancer [166]. Cirrhosis and liver cancer are characterised by the replacement of healthy liver tissue by scar tissue or cancer cells, respectively. The severity of the disease in humans differs depending on the liver biopsy and clinical and pathological severity. The recent increase in NASH and its impact on liver cirrhosis incidence highlight the need for effective screening and the development of drugs to halt liver disease progression in persons with NASH. A statistical study has revealed that approximately 10% to 29% of NASH advances to cirrhosis within 10 years [1]. In addition, it has been suggested that NASH significantly increases the incidence rate of HCC. A previous report has shown that patients with NASH cirrhosis are at roughly 2.6% increased risk of HCC [167].

NASH is significantly involved in the progression of cirrhosis and primary liver cancer [6]. Some patients suffer NASH-related cirrhosis and liver-related death [168]. Mice fed CDAA with carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) have been developed to help understand mechanisms of carcinogenesis in NASH [169]. It has been suggested that cirrhosis and cancer represent a late complication of NASH-related fibrosis because progressive fibrosis increases the risk of cirrhosis and HCC [6].
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1.8 Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis animal models

Animal models of NASH have been used extensively and discussed in several reviews [120]. The ideal model of NASH should mimic the aetiology and histopathology of NASH in humans. However, there is no single animal model that can completely replicate this disease in humans. It is important to develop an animal model that mimics human disease with respect to disease pathogenesis. It has been recommended that at least two individual NASH models should be used for the assessment of anti-NASH drugs [170].

Hepatosteatosis and NASH stages have distinct histopathological features that differ from each other. For example, the hallmark of hepatosteatosis is an increase in fat droplets within hepatocytes without any damage to hepatocytes. The degree of steatosis varies, with mild (≤30%), moderate (31–60%) and severe (≥61%) in humans [10]. Conversely, liver NASH shows hepatocellular ballooning, with or without Mallory-Denk bodies, accompanied by inflammation in the presence of macrosteatosis [6].

An NAFLD animal model has been a hot topic for scientists interested in NAFLD pathogenesis and treatment. Each of the NAFLD stages has distinctive histopathological features [6]. As mentioned earlier, hepatosteatosis is characterised histologically by excessive fat droplets within hepatocytes. As steatosis progresses to NASH, hepatocyte injury (ballooning and Mallory bodies), inflammation and collagen depositions develop. Thus, metabolic with inflammatory NASH models are highly applicable for preclinical drug testing in NASH [120].
These two features are not always present in one animal model of NASH—that is, the presence of the metabolic syndrome features, including central obesity, hyperglycaemia, insulin resistance and hypertriglyceridaemia, together with the features of hepatic inflammation with or without fibrosis. Apparently, the selection of appropriate animal models is challenging because no rodent displays these features [120].

Some animal models currently used for NAFLD/NASH present only one stage, either hepatosteatosis or NASH. For example, HFD, high-fat high-carbohydrate (HFHC) and HFru-fed animal models are widely used to produce steatosis, as they have the hallmark features observed in humans with NAFLD, including obesity and insulin resistance. Other animal models have been developed for studying NASH pathogenesis, such as the MCD, CDAA and CCl₄ models.

The following section summarises currently used and recently developed animal models for NASH.

HFD represents the modern Western diets, which directly lead to NAFLD and obesity. This can be replicated in animals fed HFD (containing approximately 45–75% fat, 47% carbohydrates and 18% protein) ad libitum. In HFD rat models, a diet of increased FAs (composed of more than 71% fat) caused hepatosteatosis-associated increased insulin level [121]. However, these animals do not usually show a significant increase in plasma level of ALT [80]. Hepatosteatosis, obesity, hyperglycaemia, insulin resistance and mitochondrial changes are also present in C57BL/6 mice fed HFD [171]. Similarly, male C57BL/6 mice fed HFD (45% fat, 35% carbohydrates and 20% protein) for 10 weeks develop steatosis with minimal increases in gene expression levels of
inflammatory cytokines [172]. However, the degree of NASH appears to depend on the rodent strain and duration of HFD. Overall, HFD animal models represent hepatosteatosis related to the metabolic syndrome and hepatosteatosis. However, the inflammatory and fibrotic features are not displayed in most HFD animals; therefore, they are not considered a typical model of NASH.

Food containing high levels of cholesterol is a major risk factor in the development of hepatic inflammation and progression of NASH in animals and humans. Several studies have suggested that dietary cholesterol increases lipid accumulation and inflammation in the liver of HFD-fed mice [80]. It has been suggested that addition of cholesterol (0.2%; #C75209, Sigma-Aldrich) to HFD can produce the NASH phenotype [173]. Similarly, rats fed the same diet do not show severe inflammation and fibrosis [174]. Atherogenic HFD accelerates the development of steatosis and inflammation after chronic feeding for 24 weeks [62]. Hepatosteatosis and liver injury (minimal fibrosis) may develop in mice fed a diet enriched with high-fructose corn syrup (HFHC-fed mice) [175].

One animal model of T2D has been fed HFD with a low dose of streptozotocin (STZ) injections for 5 consecutive days to partially damage the pancreatic β-cells [176-178]. T2D (HFD-STZ) mice display a significant increase in hyperglycaemia and TG content in the liver [88]. However, the HFD-STZ model of T2D only develops metabolic-related NAFLD, not full-blown NASH. Most rodents fed HFD, HFru and HFHC represent hepatosteatosis [120]. However, inflammatory and fibrotic features are not displayed in most HFD animals; therefore, they are not considered typical models of NASH.
MCD diet is commonly used to produce NASH in animals. The diet is deficient in methionine and choline, which are essential proteins in regulating lipid secretion in the liver [162]. Mice fed MCD diet mimic the pathophysiology of NASH in humans but without the phenotype of metabolic syndrome [161]. The development of fibrosis may occur within 3 weeks of MCD feeding. MCD-induced inflammation is usually associated with an elevated plasma level of ALT [162]. Importantly, animals fed MCD diet do not develop obesity or insulin resistance, as in the metabolic profile of NASH in humans. However, it has been reported that mice lacking either leptin (ob/ob) or the long form of the leptin receptor (db/db) fed MCD exhibit NASH associated with metabolic abnormalities [123], and that HFD promotes NASH in these genetic animal models [120].

Genetic animal models have been widely used in the study of NAFLD/NASH and to identify novel drugs. Genetic models are associated with minor or major contexts of the metabolic syndrome. Animals with a spontaneous truncating mutation, such as acyl-CoA oxidase null (ACOX−/−), methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT)-1A knockout (MATO mice) and liver-specific pten deletion, exhibit severe steatosis associated with inflammatory infiltration. However, these mice do not show features of the metabolic syndrome. Conversely, ob/ob or db/db mice are steatotic and morbidly obese because of hyperphagia but do not display typical characteristics of NASH. Secondary insults such as LPS and MCD may induce steatohepatitis with severe fibrosis, but this depends on mice strain. The liver of HFD-fed foz/foz C57BL6/J mice shows severe inflammation and fibrosis compared with non-diabetic foz/foz BALB/c mice [179]. Another genetic model used for lipodystrophy-associated steatohepatitis is called
SREBP1c transgenic mouse [120]. This model develops steatosis, inflammation and fibrosis where serum leptin levels are usually increased.

A number of environmental factors promote the pathogenesis of NASH. As mentioned earlier, excess exogenous fat, increased endogenous fat and decreased lipid secretion are the main sources of hepatic lipid accumulation. Animal models produced by these three components generally resemble human NAFLD. Firstly, mice fed HFD represent hepatosteatosis related to obesity. Secondly, increased endogenous fat defined as DNL is observed in mice fed HFru diet, as previously explained in Section 1.6.2. However, these two models do not exhibit severe inflammation, hepatocyte injury and fibrosis, as occur in humans. Finally, mice fed MCD diet exhibit decreased lipid secretion and impaired TG excretion. These mice have become the most commonly used model for NASH despite the lack of the phenotype of the metabolic syndrome.

Prevention or treatment of NASH in humans remains a big challenge. Dedicated efforts are necessary to develop an appropriate treatment that is able to improve NASH with hepatoprotective and metabolically favourable advantages. Animal models that recapitulate NASH in humans are important for the evaluation of potential therapeutic agents for the treatment of NASH.

In summary, there is no optimal NASH animal model at present. To determine pathogenic mechanisms of the transition from hepatosteatosis to steatohepatitis, different animal models are required. The table summarises rodent models for NAFLD and NASH reported in the literature (Table 1.5).
Table 1.5 Current rodent models of NASH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manipulation</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Metabolic syndrome</th>
<th>Steatosis</th>
<th>NASH</th>
<th>Fibrosis</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dietary</td>
<td>High-fat diet (HFD)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Modern Western diet, depends on rodent strain and feeding duration, long-term feeding, not considered a NASH model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF + cholesterol</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Atherogenic diet, depends on the amount of cholesterol and cholate, insulin sensitive, no significant fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFD + streptozotocin (HFD-STZ)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes model, does not induce inflammatory NASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-fructose (HFrU) diet</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Liver injury may develop, no inflammation, not good model for NASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methionine- and choline-deficient (MCD) diet</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mimics pathological NASH in humans, appropriate model for studying inflammation and fibrosis in NASH, no metabolic profile of NASH in humans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Choline-deficient diet (CDD)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Depends on time of feeding, metabolic alterations in Wistar rats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride (CCL₄)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Short-term fibrosis, toxic and high mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic</td>
<td>ob/ob, db/db mice</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>db/db mice have a defect in leptin signalling, ob/ob mice have a non-functional leptin gene, good models for NAFLD, human metabolic syndrome features, poor models for NASH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manipulation</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Metabolic Syndrome</th>
<th>Steatosis</th>
<th>NASH</th>
<th>Fibrosis</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>foz/foz mice</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Alms</em>1 gene mutation, metabolic syndrome profile, poor model for NASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SREBP1c transgenic mice</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Congenital lipodystrophy mouse model, long-term feeding to develop NASH, severe IR, decreased adiposity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KK-A^2^ mice</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of melanocortin, MCD diet is a second hit required to develop NASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARα knockout mice</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PPARα gene homozygous mutation, steatosis in starved state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOX null mice</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Defective peroxisomal β-oxidation of LCFAs, mice develop HCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zucker fatty rats</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not spontaneously develop steatohepatitis, resistant to liver fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima fatty rats</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>A model for the metabolic syndrome with diabetes, not a suitable model for NASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prague hereditary hypercholesterolaemic rats</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>A model for polygenic hypercholesterolaemia, comparable to human disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAT-1A null (MATO) mice</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Methionine adenosyltransferase-1A mutation, no metabolic syndrome (rare IR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.9 Current treatments of NASH

NAFLD is the most common liver disease in developed countries, leading to an emerging public health crisis that may progress to a more serious situation. Persistent NASH leads to cirrhosis or HCC and is a leading cause of liver transplantation. While NASH is reversible, untreated NASH and transition to advanced stages of cirrhosis are difficult to reverse in most cases. Therefore, NASH is a critical stage in NAFLD broad spectrum of diseases and effective treatment that may halt its transition will be of great benefit for the control of fatty liver disease.

To date, there is no approved specific drug for NAFLD or NASH. There is rapid progress in identification of new therapies, and the development of NASH repurposing of currently available drugs is an effective means for the development of new drugs for NASH. Treatment of NAFLD is especially important because this can prevent the progression to NASH.

Patients with NAFLD and NASH need an effective treatment that can reverse hepatosteatosis development and prevent the progression of NASH. Unfortunately, treatments of the metabolic syndrome are not effective for the treatment of NASH [67]. The lack of non-invasive markers to assess steatosis, liver damage, inflammation and fibrosis is also a barrier for developing effective treatments [180]. As NASH results from complex pathophysiological processes, therapeutic treatments are likely to include a wide array of drugs targeting different mechanisms. Figure 1.7 illustrates the major approaches for these mechanisms. Current treatments for NAFLD include reducing the risk factors that may cause the disease, such as obesity, prediabetes or diabetes and high
cholesterol or TG. Multiple pharmacological agents have been investigated in several studies for the treatment of this disease.

Figure 1.7 Pathways representing possible targets for the treatment of NAFLD.

Understanding the pathogenesis of NAFLD and progression of NASH helps develop effective treatments that target different pathological pathways leading to different stages of NAFLD.

The current management of NAFLD includes lifestyle interventions and drug therapy. Introduction of lifestyle changes including dietary intervention, increased physical activity and reduced weight is usually recommended first for the management of NAFLD. However, it is intended to support the treatment of not only NAFLD but also diseases associated with obesity, insulin resistance, diabetes and dyslipidaemia.
Apart from the above-mentioned lifestyle interventions, some drugs have been considered, including those listed in Table 1.6. These include insulin sensitisers (metformin, troglitazone, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone), lipid-lowering agents (statins), antioxidants (Vitamin E and C), hepatoprotective agents (betaine, ursodeoxycholic acid and pentoxifylline) and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. However, none of these drugs is effective for NASH. Therefore, this thesis aims to investigate the potential use of Mtr for the treatment of NASH.
Table 1.6 Summary of currently drugs used for the treatments of NAFLD/NASH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target/Mode of action</th>
<th>Example of drugs</th>
<th>Hepatosteatosis</th>
<th>Inflammation</th>
<th>Fibrosis</th>
<th>Adverse events and concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss therapies</td>
<td>Loss of body weight</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hard to achieve and poor compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liver histology remains unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-metabolic</td>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No effect on liver histology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rosiglitazone and Pioglitazone</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Weight gain, heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ursodeoxycholic Acid</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No effect on inflammation and fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antioxidant</td>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No effect on hepatic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>Pentoxifylline</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No effect on lipid profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amlexanox</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Safety but require more data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cenicriviroc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Insufficient data for safety and efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antifibrotic</td>
<td>Galectin-3 inhibitor</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Require long-term outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simtuzumab</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ineffective in reducing fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Selonsertib</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Require long-term outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite increasing development of new drugs to keep up with the increasing incidence of NAFLD, there remains an urgent need to identify novel drugs with improved efficacy and fewer side effects for the treatment of NASH.

1.10 Identification of matrine as a potential new drug for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis

In searching for a new therapeutic treatment for NASH, we have taken the approach of repurposing existing drugs for this condition [182]. The main advantage of drug repurposing is safety in humans because these existing drugs have been used without major side effects [182]. A second important advantage is reduction of the duration, risk and cost involved in the drug development pipeline to the clinic and the drug regimens [183].

Several lines of evidence suggest that Mtr may be repurposed for the treatment of NASH. Mtr is a small molecule (Figure 1.8), easy to absorb orally and can be scaled up. As reviewed by Liu et al., Mtr is a safe drug for the treatment of chronic viral infections and tumours in the liver [184]. Another study has shown that high concentrations of Mtr may lead to cytotoxicity of human hepatocytes; however, low concentrations of Mtr have no severe side effects [185]. Moreover, Mtr may prevent progression of HCC via inhibiting overexpression of MMP-9 by reduction of the nuclear factor kappa beta (NF-κB) signalling pathways [186]. Mtr has a different chemical structure and plasma protein binding from other drugs including metformin, which has no effects on TG level, glucose tolerance, lipogenic protein levels (ACC and
FAS), and protein expression or activity of energy metabolism markers. Recently, Zeng and colleagues have found that Mtr is able to reduce hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance in HFD-fed mice. This study has also revealed that the antisteatotic effect of Mtr is associated with upregulation of HSP72 in the liver, suggesting a unique mechanism underlying Mtr’s effect [172].

![The chemical structure of matrine.](image)

**Figure 1.8 The chemical structure of matrine.**

Mtr is likely to work at different mechanistic levels to reduce hepatosteatosis and reverse metabolic dysfunction. It is noteworthy to study the benefits of Mtr in the reversal of hepatic fibrosis and reduction in the progression of NASH.

### 1.10.1 Reported effects of matrine on inflammation

As reviewed previously, hepatic inflammation is one of the major hallmarks of NASH. An early study has shown that Mtr suppresses LPS-induced production of inflammatory cytokines, suggesting Mtr might be an anti-inflammatory drug [187]. Mtr has been shown to inhibit TNFα, IL-6 and NF-κB activation in vivo and in vitro. Consistent with the anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr in BALB/c mice, Mtr significantly reduces the inflammatory gene expression levels in the liver of HFD C57BL/6J mice [172]. Mtr treatment has also been shown to decrease virus-induced TNFα expression and the nuclear translocation of p65 NFκB [188].
1.10.2 Reported effects of matrine on fibrosis

Liver fibrosis leads to the destruction of hepatocytes and impairment of liver functions and is strongly associated with all-cause or liver-related mortality in NASH [155]. Mtr has been shown to inhibit the activation of HSCs, which play a central role in liver fibrogenesis [189]. The antifibrotic effect of Mtr has been proven in CCL₄-induced fibrosis in a rat model by attenuating TGFβ and collagen synthesis.

1.10.3 Heat shock protein as putative targets for matrine

Heat shock proteins (HSPs) are chaperone proteins modified by heat shock response (HSR) to protect the organism against physiological and environmental stresses [190]. HSPs are known to prevent protein aggregation and refolding of damaged proteins through proteasomes, autophagy or protein degradation [191]. HSP90 HSF1 and HSP70 (stress inducible form is HSP72) have been suggested to modulate inflammation [192]. Physiological stress and heat shock activate HSF1 by dissociating it from HSP90 [193]. This allows HSF1 to translocate into the nucleus and transactivate the expression of HSP72. HSP72 is highly inducible and its upregulation has been shown to correlate with attenuation of diabetes, obesity and NAFLD [194]. We have chosen to focus on HSPs because they are ubiquitous chaperone proteins existing in prokaryotes and eukaryotes and they play a major role in inflammatory diseases.

HSP72 is abundantly expressed in the liver under normal conditions [195]. A reduction in HSP72 has been seen in the muscle of T2D patients [192]. It has also been demonstrated that loss of function of anti-inflammatory HSP70 may increase the
progressive liver inflammation influence of NASH [194]. It is possible that HSP72 expression has a critical role in blocking hepatic inflammation and KCs activation.

The correlation between the progression of NASH and expression of HSPs in the liver has been clearly demonstrated [194]. It has been revealed that activation of KCs and production of inflammatory cytokines may result in downregulated expression of HSP72. In contrast, upregulation of HSP72 improves insulin sensitivity and inflammation contributing to insulin resistance and obesity [196]. In humans, there is a significant reduction in HSP72 in the liver paralleled by inflammatory cells that promote NAFLD development and the progression of NASH [194]. Overexpression of HSP72 in mice prevents HFD-induced JNK phosphorylation and impaired insulin signalling [196]. Consistent with this, another study has suggested that increased hepatic HSP72 results in reductions in glucose intolerance and plasma insulin level, hepatic TG content and adiposity in HFD-induced hepatosteatosis mice [172].

The anti-inflammatory HSP72 pathway has been revealed in many cellular activities and is expressed at low level under metabolic disease states [197]. Overexpression of HSPs is sufficient to inhibit LPS-induced TNFα, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-12 response in the liver [198]. Overexpression of HSP90, a negative regulator of HSP72, increases the activity of hepatitis B virus [192]. Interestingly, HSP72 is involved in the pathogenesis of NASH. Reduction of HSP72 paralleled by similar reductions in HSF1 in KCs may contribute to NAFLD progression and associated ballooned hepatocytes in NASH [194, 199]. Studying the link between compounds and HSP72 is essential to understand how this association can prevent inflammation. Importantly, docking simulation technique has confirmed that there is a strong association between Mtr and HSP72. Further study
suggests that Mtr treatment improves HFD-induced hepatosteatosis in mice, at least in part by upregulating the expression of HSP72 in the liver [172].

As discussed in Section 1.6.4, Mtr is one of the oldest drugs used to treat inflammation, and has been proposed as a possible anti-inflammatory drug [182]. This proof-of-principle study reports the potential efficacy of Mtr for the treatment of hepatic inflammation [200] via HSP72 [172, 201].

1.11 Summary, study aims and hypothesis

This review was conducted to identify gaps in the current literature and the aims of this thesis were suggested. Subsequent studies were dedicated to investigate the therapeutic efficacy and underlying molecular mechanisms of Mtr in this disease.

NAFLD is the most common chronic liver disease, affecting one-third of people globally (Section 1.3.1) with a high rate of progression to NASH (Section 1.6) and serious complications (Section 1.7). Although in vitro and animal models have been developed, and may be appropriate as preclinical models of NASH, there is no single animal model that can fully resemble metabolic NASH in humans (Section 1.8). Different animal models are therefore needed for the proper evaluation of new therapeutics for NASH. While several drugs have been considered for the control of NAFLD and the progression of NASH (Section 1.9), none of them satisfy the requirements for the treatment of this serious condition. In the quest to find an effective and safe treatment for NAFLD and its progressive form, Mtr was selected for the
investigation in this thesis on the basis of its reported pharmacological properties and safety.

Therefore, the overall purpose of this thesis was to investigate the therapeutic effects and mechanisms of Mtr for the treatment of NAFLD and NASH. To address this objective, three specific aims were designed as follows:

**Aim 1**: To investigate the effects of Mtr on lipogenesis-induced hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis (Chapter 3).

**Aim 2**: To investigate the effects of Mtr on NASH associated with inflammation and fibrosis in MCD-fed mice (Chapter 4).

**Aim 3**: To investigate the mechanisms involved in the therapeutic effect of Mtr in NAFLD/NASH (Chapters 3 and 4) including HSP72 and the role of macrophages in LPS-stimulated inflammation (Chapter 5).

The working hypothesis was as follows: Mtr can alleviate hepatic steatosis, inflammation, injury and fibrosis by mechanisms involving HSP72 and the inhibition of inflammation.

The overall design of the studies for these aims is illustrated below. The results from these studies are likely to provide new insight into the therapeutic effects of Mtr in the development of NAFLD and progression of NASH. The findings may help decide
whether Mtr is a promising new drug for the treatment of NAFLD, particularly of NASH.

Figure 1.9 Schematic view of the research aims.
Chapter 2 Research Design and Methodology
2.1 Introduction

This section presents all the common methods and techniques used for the studies presented in Chapters 3, 4 and 5. Special methods and techniques for a particular study are described separately in corresponding Chapters.

2.2 Rodent models

The Animal Ethics Committee of RMIT University approved all experimental procedures (AEC #1012 and #1415) in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Guidelines on Animal Experimentation [202, 203].

All animal studies were conducted in male C57BL/6J mice (10 week old and weight 22-25 g) that were purchased from the Animal Resources Centre (Perth, Australia). The animals were kept in a temperature-controlled room (22 ± 1°C) on a 12-h light/dark cycle. Before any procedure was performed, mice were first allowed to acclimatize for at least one week while they were fed ad libitum with a standard normal chow diet. The standard chow diet (CH; Meat Mouse Diet) was purchased from Specialty Feeds, Western Australia. It contains 12% calories from fat, 23% from protein, and 65% from carbohydrate. The digestible energy in this diet is 3.34 kcal/kg.

The study animal models were undertaken separately for each animal model Chapter. The number, group and statistical analysis were determined for each model to address the specific
research questions on the hypotheses. Three animal models design including high fructose, HFD-STZ and MCD mice models were demonstrated in Chapter 3 and 4 respectively.

### 2.3 Measurement of plasma and tissue parameters

Blood samples were collected using heparinized capillary tubes (SteriHealth Laboratory Products, Australia) to prevent clotting. Blood samples were collected from the tail tip and then immediately mixed with the same volume of saline and stored on ice. Plasma separated by centrifugation (13,000 RPM for 1 min) was transferred to a new Eppendorf tube and stored at -80°C for subsequent measurements.

Mice were killed by cervical dislocation and liver samples were immediately freeze-clamped and stored in -80°C. Next, freeze-clamped liver tissue were weighed and placed in different sets of Eppendorf tubes depend on the experimental requirements; such as western blotting set for 30 and 20 mg of liver tissue for western blotting and RT-PCR technique, respectively.

### 2.4 Glucose determination

The body weight of mice was measured in the afternoon one day before the glucose tolerance test (GTT) for the calculation of glucose loads. On the day of GTT, food removed from mice cages for 5-7 hours before glucose was injected i.p. at a dose indicated in each study. Blood glucose levels were analysed at described time (0, 15, 30, 60 and 90 min) points for the
measurement of blood glucose using an Accu-Chek glucometer (Roche Diagnostics, Australia). The absolute volumes used were depended on the experimental requirements.

2.5 Extraction and determination of tissue triglyceride

The triglyceride level in plasma and liver extracts were determined by a Peridochrom triglyceride GPO-PAP kit (Roche Diagnostics), according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche Diagnostic, Australia). Triglyceride reagent (300 μl) added to all plasma samples (5 μl), and then incubated at 37°C for 10 min. A FlexStation microplate reader (Molecular Devices, USA) was used for reading the absorbance on microtiter plate at 485 nm. A standard curve was created using internal standards (glycerol solution, 0.21 mg/ml, Roche, Catalogue No.166588).

With regard to measuring liver triglyceride, liver samples were immediately freeze-clamped and stored in -80°C after mice were killed by cervical dislocation. Triglyceride extraction started with a pre-weighed sample of tissue (30 mg liver). Liver tissue was homogenised in 2 ml of chloroform/methanol (2:1) using a glass homogeniser. After transferring the homogenate to a clean 15 ml tube, the homogeniser was rinsed with another 2 ml of chloroform/methanol (2:1) and added to the homogenate. To ensure the complete solubilisation of the triglyceride, the tubes were tightly capped and rotated at room temperature overnight. A sodium chloride (0.6% NaCl, 2 ml) was added to the tubes followed by centrifugation at 2,000 RPM for 10 min to separate the aqueous from the organic phases. The lower chloroform layer contained triglycerides was carefully extracted and then
transferred into a glass vial and dried completely under air at 45°C. An absolute ethanol (500 μl) dissolved in the extract and then triglyceride concentration was determined by a Peridochrom triglyceride GPO-PAP reagent (Roche Diagnostics, Catalogue No. 11730711).

2.6 Protein quantification

Protein concentrations were determined through use of commercially available colorimetric bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein kit (Sigma-Aldrich, #B9643). Usually, 1 μl tissue lysate was added to 19 μl dH2O to dilute lysate, then 200 μl BCA reagent mix (50 parts reagent A to 1 parts reagent B) was added to detect concentration of protein in the each sample. Solutions were mixed and incubated at 37°C for 30 mins prior to the determination of absorbance by spectrophotometry at 562 nm using a Polarstar Optima microplate reader (BMG Lab Technologies, Germany). In every BCA assay, varying dilutions of BSA protein were included to create a standard curve between the ranges of 0 μg/ml to 2.0 μg/ml
2.7 Western Blotting

2.7.1 Reagents / Buffers

The table below showed the main buffers and solution used in western blotting technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Preparation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIPA buffer</td>
<td>65 mM Trizma® base (Tris, Sigma-Aldrich, #T1503), 150 mM NaCl, 5mM EDTA, 1% Nonide-P 40 Substitute (NP-40, Sigma-Aldrich, #74385), 0.05% Sodium-deoxycholate (Sigma-Aldrich, #D6750), 0.1% (w/v) Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS, Sigma-Aldrich, #L4390), 10% Glycerol (Sigma-Aldrich, #49770), pH 7.5 and stored at 4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysis buffer</td>
<td>10 mM Sodium fluoride (NaF, Sigma-Aldrich, #S7920), 1 mM Sodium orthovanadate (Na3VO4, Sigma-Aldrich, #S6508), 1 mM Phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, dissolved in 100% Ethanol, Sigma-Aldrich, #78830), and 10 µl/ml Protease/Phosphatase inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich, #P5726) in RIPA buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4xLaemmli’s buffer</td>
<td>8.2 g SDS, 40ml Glycerol, 50 ml 0.5 M Tris, 500 µl 1% Bromo-phenol blue (Sigma-Aldrich, #114391) in dH2O, pH 6.8 and stored at -20°C. Before use, added 6.2 mg DL-Dithiothreitol (DTT, Sigma-Aldrich, #D9779)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running gel</td>
<td>1.5 M Tris Buffer (pH 8.8), 30% Acrylamide/Bis-acrylamide (Sigma-Aldrich, #A3574), 10% (w/v) SDS, dH2O, 10% Ammonium persulfate (APS, Sigma-Aldrich, #A9164), Tetramethylethylene diamine (TEMED, Sigma-Aldrich, #T9281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacking gel</td>
<td>0.5 M Tris Buffer (pH 6.8), 30% Acrylamide/Bis-acrylamide, 10% (w/v) SDS, dH2O, 10% APS and TEMED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x Running buffer (1L)</td>
<td>30 g Tris, 144 g Glycine (Sigma-Aldrich, #G8898) and 10 g SDS in dH2O, pH 8.8 and stored at room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x Transfer buffer (1L)</td>
<td>30 g Tris, 144 g Glycine in dH2O and stored at room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x TBS (1L)</td>
<td>24.2 g Tris and 80 g NaCl in dH2O, pH 7.6 and stored at room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1x TBS-Tween (TBST, 1L)</td>
<td>100 ml 10x TBS buffer and 500 µl Tween® 20 (Sigma-Aldrich, #P9416) in 900 ml dH2O and stored at room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocking buffer</td>
<td>3% (g/100ml) Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich, #A9418) in 1x TBST and stored at 4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stripping buffer (1L)</td>
<td>6.25% 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 6.7), 10% (w/v) 20% SDS in dH2O and stored at room temperature. Added 100 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, #M7154) before use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7.2 Sample preparation

Tissue samples were homogenized with a pestle mixer in an ice-cold RIPA buffer containing 10 μL/mL protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, #9599), 10 μL/mL phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, #P5726), 10 mM NaF (Sigma-Aldrich, #S7920), 1 mM Na3VO4 (Sigma-Aldrich, #450243) and 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich, #78830). Tissue lysates were then centrifuged at a speed of 20,000 × g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The protein concentrations in the supernatant were determined by bicinchoninic acid assay (Sigma-Aldrich, #B9643). Protein samples were then diluted with water and mixed with 4× Laemmli buffer together with 1 mM DTT. Finally, samples were boiled at 95°C for 5 minutes to denature the protein.

2.7.3 PAGE gels preparation

Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate PolyAcrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) is a standard technique used for separating proteins according to their molecular weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein size (kDa)</th>
<th>Gel percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25-200</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-100</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-70</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-50</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.3 Composition of running gel and stacking gel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>8%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>12%</th>
<th>14%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5M Tris Buffer, pH 8.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30% Acrylamide/Bis-acrylamide</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10% SDS</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>dH₂O</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10% APS</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5M Tris Buffer, pH 6.8</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30% Acrylamide/Bis-acrylamide</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10% SDS</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>dH₂O</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10% APS</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7.4 Immunoblotting

Following the gel preparation, the denatured protein samples (20 μg/well) and standard protein ladders (5 μL/well, Bio-Rad, #161-0374) were loaded to the gel and separated at 120 V until the protein ladder above or below the protein of interest were well separated. Subsequently, proteins in the gel were transferred to the PVDF membrane
(Bio-Rad, #162-0177) in a transfer buffer at 100 V for 2 h. The PVDF membrane was incubated in 3% BSA (in TBST buffer, Sigma-Aldrich, #A9418) at room temperature for 1 h to block the non-specific binding and then incubated with the primary antibody overnight at 4°C. After 1 h of wash in TBST, the membrane was incubated in the horseradish peroxidase conjugate secondary antibody (Santa Cruz, #sc-2004 for rabbit, #sc-2005 for mouse) and followed by 1 h of wash in TBST. Enhanced chemiluminescent (Perkin Elmer, #NEL113001EA) was used for the detection. Densitometric analysis was performed using Image Lab software 5.0 (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The primary antibodies are listed in Table 2.4. Antibodies from Cell Signaling and Abcam were diluted 1:1000 and these from Santa Cruz were diluted 1:500, with a TBST buffer containing 1% BSA, 0.02% sodium azide (Sigma-Aldrich, #71289) and 0.0025% phenol red (Sigma-Aldrich, #32661). The bound antibody was detected using a chemiluminescence system with western lighting ultra solution (Perkin Elmer, #NEL113001EA). The membranes were exposed in a ChemiDoc (Bio-Rad LaboratoriesInc., USA) for images capturing for a sufficient time. Densitometry analysis was performed using Image Lab (version 4.1; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) [45, 88].
2.7.5 Antibody list

Table 2.4 list of common antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Catalogue No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipolysis</td>
<td>SREBP1c</td>
<td>ChEBP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ChEBP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>Cell signaling</td>
<td>3662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCD1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER stress</td>
<td>eIF2α</td>
<td>Cell signaling</td>
<td>9722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>Santa cruz</td>
<td>sc-793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IRE1</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab37073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>NLRP3</td>
<td>AdipoGen</td>
<td>20B-0006-C100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>Cell signaling</td>
<td>2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosi</td>
<td>TGFβ</td>
<td></td>
<td>3709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smad3</td>
<td>Cell signaling</td>
<td>5678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caspase-1</td>
<td>Santa cruz</td>
<td>G2914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading control</td>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>Cell signaling</td>
<td>2118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tubulin</td>
<td></td>
<td>3873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.8 Real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

2.8.1 Isolation of RNA from mice

Liver tissues (20-30mg) were homogenised in 1 ml TRIZOL® reagent (Invitrogen, Catalogue No.15596026). The homogenate was mixed with 200 μl of Chloroform
(VWR, Catalogue No.22711324) by inverting several times, and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The homogenates were subsequently centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The upper aqueous phase containing RNA was collected and mixed with 500 μl of isopropanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Catalogue No.I9516). After another centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C, the supernatant was removed. The remaining RNA precipitate was washed twice with 500 μl 75% ethanol with vortex. The ethanol was removed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. The air-dried RNA pellet was dissolved in 100 μl of DEPC-treated water (Invitrogen, Catalogue No.AM9916) for the measurement of RNA concentration.

### 2.8.2 Measurement of RNA concentration

The liver RNA purity and concentrations were assessed using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (Eppendorf Thermo Scientific, Australia) at the absorbance of 260 and 280 nm, with DEPC water as a blank. Each RNA sample (1.0 μl) was loaded onto the sampling platform for the measurement of RNA concentration. The absorption ratio of 260/280 nm is used to assess the purity of RNA samples (Ratio should be between 1.8 and 2.0).

### 2.8.3 Complimentary DNA synthesis by reverse transcription

Complimentary DNA synthesis by reverse transcription Purified RNA with known concentrations was used to generate the complementary DNA (cDNA) using a Reverse Transcription System (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA) with random primers according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentration of each sample
was normalised to 1 μg/8 μl with DEPC water on ice. To remove DNA contamination, 1 μg of RNA was mixed with 2 μl of DNase I (Invitrogen, Catalogue No.18068-015), and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. After the removal of DNA, 1 μl of 25 mM EDTA was added and incubated for 10 min at 65°C to inactivate DNase I. The purified RNA (2 μl) was reverse transcribed using a high capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Life Technologies, Catalogue No. 4374967) (2 μl of reverse transcription buffer, 0.8 μl of dNTP mix, 2 μl of random primers, 1 μl of reverse transcriptase and 12.2 μl of DEPC water). Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction was carried out using the following steps: equilibrated at 25°C for 10 min, 37°C for 2 hr, 85°C for 5 sec, and finally maintained at 4°C. The cDNA products from reverse transcription reactions were stored at 4°C to use for RT-PCR analysis.

2.8.4 Real-time polymerase chain reaction

The cDNA samples were analysed for genes of interest by RT-PCR using the SYBR Green RT-PCR system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA). A reaction master mixture (1x IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA; Catalogue No.170-8882), 500 nM forward primers and 500 nM reverse primers, DEPC water to a final volume of 24 μl) for each gene of interest was prepared and added to each 1 μl cDNA sample in a sterile 96-well plate. The plate was placed in a controlled-temperature heat block equilibrated at 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 3 min and 40-50 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds. The gene expression from each sample was analysed in duplicates and normalised against the ribosomal housekeeper gene 18S (GeneWorks, Australia). All reactions were performed on the iQ™ 5 RT-PCR Detection System.
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(Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA). The results are expressed as relative gene expression using the ΔCt method. Primers used for specific genes are in Table 2.5.

Table 2.5 Primer sequences for measurements of gene expressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18S</td>
<td>Forward: 5’-CGCCGCTAGAGGTGAAATTCT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reversed: 5’-CGAACCTCCGACTTTTCGTTCT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td>Forward: 5’-CACAAGATGCTGGGACAGTGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reversed: 5’-TCCCTTGATGGTGTTGCATGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1β</td>
<td>Forward: 5’-GACGGCACACCCACCT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reversed: 5’-AAACCGTTTCTCTCTCTCTTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>Forward: 5’-ATTCCAGAAACCGCTATGAAGTTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reversed: 5’-GTCACCAGCATTACGTCCCAA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD68</td>
<td>Forward: 5’-TGACCTCTCTCTCTCTGCTACA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reversed: 5’-TCACGTTGCAAGAGAACAT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen</td>
<td>Forward: 5’-CTGCTGTGAGAGAGGTGAAC-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reversed: 5’-ACCAAGGTCTTCCAGGAAC-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each Chapter has designed with measuring specific and interest gene for diagnosis.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Throughout the thesis, results are presented as means ± SEM. For the comparison of only two groups, a Student’s t test was used. One-way analysis of variance was used to assess the statistical significance across all groups. When significant differences were found, the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons post-hoc test was used to establish differences between groups. Differences at $P \leq 0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant and $P \leq 0.01$ were considered to be highly significant.
Chapter 3 Effects of Matrine on Hepatosteatosis and Associated Disorders in Glucose Homeostasis
3.1 Introduction

The liver plays an important role in regulating whole-body lipid metabolism and glucose homeostasis. Excess accumulation of lipids (namely hepatosteatosis), either from endogenous DNL and/or influx of exogenous FAs, can disturb glucose homeostasis, increasing the risk of type 2 diabetes (T2D) [16, 113]. Although the degree of hepatosteatosis and T2D are not necessarily tightly coupled [204], inhibition of excess hepatic DNL has been shown to ameliorate hepatosteatosis and associated glucose intolerance [112]. Shulman and colleagues have also demonstrated that correction of hepatosteatosis in patients with T2D is important for hyperglycaemia control [36]. In a search for new therapeutic agents for the treatment of hepatic steatosis from DNL, we have taken the approach of repurposing existing drugs for these conditions [182, 205]. One main advantage of this approach is most existing drugs are well-known safety and pharmacokinetic profiles.

Mtr is a small molecule (\(M_w: 248\)) found in Sophora and is structurally different from the drugs currently used to treat T2D [7, 172, 184]. Mtr has been used clinically as a hepatoprotective drug for the treatment of tumors and viral hepatitis [184], where DNL is often increased [206]. A recent study from our laboratory found that Mtr is able to reduce hepatosteatosis, fasting blood glucose and glucose intolerance in high fat (HF)-fed mice [172]. However, the HF model does not exhibit the characteristics of DNL-induced hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance because the accumulation of triglyceride (TG) in the liver is due to a direct influx of lipids into the liver from the HF diet [44, 45].
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The liver is a major site of DNL production from carbohydrates [44] and interestingly the inhibition of hepatic DNL reduces hepatosteatosis and hyperglycaemia [112, 207]. It has been suggested that an increase in DNL is the second major source of lipid accumulation in the liver after circulating FFAs and contributes about 26% of patients with hepatosteatosis [2]. In mice, a high-fructose (HFr) diet induces hepatosteatosis as early as one day [46] prior to the development of glucose intolerance [44, 48, 114]. Dietary fructose is almost entirely metabolised in the liver in its first pass, and serves mainly as a substrate for DNL in both animals [46, 47] and humans [48-51]. HFr diets increase the expression of lipogenic transcription factors, sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP1c) and carbohydrate response element binding protein (ChREBP) which upregulate lipogenic genes. Upregulation of these lipogenic transcription factors can result in hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance via promoting DNL [99, 105]. Notably, HFr-stimulated hepatic DNL via SREBP1c is dependent on the activation of the ER stress pathway [44].

The primary aim of the present study was to investigate whether the hepatoprotective drug Mtr can limit hepatosteatosis and the associated glucose intolerance that usually results from increased DNL in HFr-fed mice. If established, the second aim was to investigate whether the action of Mtr is mediated via the ER stress pathway. Finally, we also evaluated whether Mtr assists glycemic control in a T2D mouse model generated by a combination of HF and streptozotocin (STZ) where an increased DNL via SREBP1c is also involved [88, 176].
3.2 Materials and methods

3.2.1 Animals and diets

The experiments described in this study were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of RMIT University (Application ID: 1012) and conducted in compliance with the guidelines of the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia for Animal Experimentation. C57BL/6J mice aged 10-12 weeks and weighing 21-24 g were obtained from the Animal Resource Centre Pty. Ltd. (Perth, Australia). The animals were housed in a temperature-controlled room (22 ± 1°C) on a 12-h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. Mice were fed ad libitum for 1 week on a normal chow diet (~70% calories from starch, ~10% calories from fat, and ~20% calories from protein; Gordon's Specialty Stock Feeds, Yanderra, Australia). Mtr (≥ 98% by HPLC) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

Two sets of animal experiments were performed. In the first set of experiments, mice were fed a HFru diet (35% fructose, 35% starch, ~10% fat and ~20% protein) to generate hepatosteatosis. Mice were fed for 8 weeks with or without Mtr at a dose of 100 mg/kg every day as a food additive in the last 4 weeks as described previously [7, 172]. Body weight gain and food intake were measured twice a week. For blood glucose levels, blood samples were collected from the tail tip and measured using a glucometer (AccuCheck II; Roche, New South Wales, Castle Hill, Australia) after 2 weeks of Mtr treatment. In the second set of experiments, the effects of Mtr on hepatosteatosis and hyperglycaemia were examined in a T2D model induced by HF feeding in combination with low doses of STZ as previously reported [88, 208, 209].
Briefly, mice were fed a HF diet (45% calorie from lard, 20% calories from protein and 35% calories from carbohydrate) for 14 weeks to induce insulin resistance. After 8 weeks of HF feeding, mice were injected with STZ at a low dose (40 mg/kg per day, ip) for 5 consecutive days to reduce the level of plasma insulin by ~50% [88, 208, 209]. One week after the last injection of STZ, fasting blood glucose was usually increased by 50-100% (Hyperglycaemia, defined as T2D). The T2D mice were then divided into 2 groups: one group receiving Mtr added in the HF diet (100 mg/kg/day) for 4 weeks (T2D-Mtr) whereas the other group was fed HF alone (T2D-Con) for the same period of time. During the period of Mtr treatment, fasting blood glucose was monitored once a week. A normal control group of mice (CH-Con) was included for the same period. At the end of both sets of experiments, mice were killed by cervical dislocation and liver tissues were collected and freeze-clamped immediately for further analysis.

3.2.2 Assessment of the effect on hepatosteatosis

Hepatosteatosis was assessed by measuring TG content in the liver. Mice were fasted for 5-7 h before being killed; the liver was collected and freeze-clamped immediately. As described previously [45, 88] plasma and liver TG levels were determined with a Peridochrom triglyceride GPO-PAP biochemical kit (Roche diagnostics). The method of lipid extraction from liver with chloroform/methanol has been described previously [46].
3.2.3 Assessment of the effect on hepatic FA oxidation

FA oxidation was assessed in fresh liver tissue *ex vivo* as described [44, 45]. Briefly, fresh liver samples were homogenised in an isolating medium which contained 100 mM sucrose, 50 mM Tris, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 0.1 mM EGTA, 0.2% FA-free BSA at pH 7.0. The liver homogenate was incubated with [$^{14}$C]-palmitate and [$^{14}$C]-CO$_2$ produced from the incubation was collected in 1 M sodium hydroxide. Palmitate oxidation rates were determined by counting the $^{14}$C radioactivity of captured CO$_2$ and acid-soluble metabolites and oxidation rate were expressed as nanomoles of CO$_2$ per gram of wet weight per hour [44].

3.2.4 Assessment of the effects on DNL and ER stress

DNL and ER stress were assessed by immunoblotting with specific anti-bodies for the key proteins in the DNL, ER stress and heat shock protein (HSP) pathways based on our recent work [7, 44-46, 172]. Briefly, freeze-clamped liver was homogenized in ice-cold lysis buffer supplemented with fresh protease inhibitor and phosphatase inhibitor (Sigma Aldrich). The key proteins in the DNL pathway included SREBP-1 (Santa Cruz), ChREBP (Abcam), acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC, Upstate), fatty acid synthase (FAS, Abcam) and stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 (SCD-1, Cell Signaling). The key proteins measured in the ER stress pathway included inositol-requiring kinase 1 (IRE1, Abcam), eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2α (eIF2α, Cell Signaling) and CHOP (Santa Cruz). The effect on the HSP pathway was assessed by heat shock protein 72 (HSP72, Abcam) based on our recent work [7, 172]. Proteins were quantified using a
Chapter Three – Effects of Matrine on Hepatosteatosis

ChemiDoc and densitometry analysis was performed using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA).

3.2.5 Statistical analysis

As described in Chapter 2 Section 2.9.

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Effects on body weight, adiposity, hepatosteatosis and glucose tolerance in HFru-fed mice

HFru feeding is a well-defined model of hepatosteatosis, visceral adiposity and glucose intolerance resulting from increased DNL in the liver [44]. As expected, HFru feeding moderately increased the mass of epididymal fat (by 40%, \(P < 0.01\)) without altering body weight or food intake (\(P > 0.05\)) (Figure 3.1A-C). The TG content (indicative of hepatosteatosis) was increased dramatically in the liver (by 3 fold) but only moderately in muscle (~35%) (Both \(P < 0.01\); Figure 1D). As shown in Figure 3.1E, HFru-fed mice also showed moderate in glucose intolerance.

Administration of Mtr prevented the moderate body weight gain (8-10%) in HFru-fed mice during this period of time (Figure 1A). It corrected HFru-induced increases in epididymal fat, liver TG content and glucose intolerance (all, \(P < 0.01\) vs untreated HFru-fed mice) to the levels similar to CH-fed normal mice (Figure 1B-E). Although
not significantly reduced, muscle TG content in Mtr-treated HFrufed mice was no longer different from the value of CH-fed normal mice (Figure 3.1D).

Figure 3.1 Effects of Mtr on body weight gain, visceral adiposity, hepatosteatosis and glucose tolerance in HFrufed mice.

Mice were fed a high-fructose (HFruf) diet for 8 weeks and matrine (Mtr, 100 mg/kg per day in diet) was administered in the last 4 weeks. A glucose tolerance test (GTT at 3g
glucose/kg BW, ip) was conducted after 2 weeks of treatment with Mtr. Epididymal (Epi) fat weight and liver TG content were determined at the end of the study. (A) Body weight gain, (B) Epididymal fat weight as a percentage of body weight, (C) Caloric intake, (D) Glucose tolerance and (E) TG content in liver and muscle. **P < 0.01 vs CH; †P < 0.05, ††P < 0.01 vs HFr (n=7-8 mice/group).

3.3.2 Effects on FA oxidation and DNL in the liver of HFr-fed mice.

We first examined whether Mtr treatment may promote FA oxidation in the liver of HFr-fed mice. As shown in Figure 3.2A, palmitate oxidation by the liver homogenates was not affected by the treatment with Mtr, suggesting that the reduced hepatosteatosis by Mtr is not likely to be due to an increased FA oxidation in the liver. We next examined the DNL pathway because HFr-induced hepatosteatosis is believed to result from the stimulation to this pathway in the liver [45-47]. As expected, HFr-fed mice exhibited dramatic increases in DNL proteins in the liver (Figure 3.2B-E), including SREBP-1c (by 2-fold), ChREBP (by 33%) ACC (by 3-fold), FAS (by 3.4 fold) and SCD-1 (by 4-fold) (all P < 0.05). Interestingly, these lipogenic proteins except for ACC were significantly reduced by the treatment with Mtr, including SREBP-1c (by 45%, P < 0.01), ChREBP (by 33%, P < 0.05), SCD-1 (by 32%, P < 0.01) and FAS (by 24%, P < 0.05). These results suggest that the reduced TG content in the liver by Mtr can be attributed to its inhibitory effect on HFr-induced increase in hepatic DNL.
Figure 3.2 Effects of Mtr on FA oxidation and DNL pathways in the liver of HFru-fed mice.

FA oxidation was detected by incubating fresh liver homogenates with [14C]–palmitate and DNL was assessed by the protein expression of palmitate in this pathway. (A). Liver lysates from mice were immunoblotted with the mature form of SREBP-1c and ChREBP (B), SCD-1 (C), FAS (D) and ACC (E) and then quantified for statistical
analysis. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$ versus CH-Con; †$P < 0.05$, ††$P < 0.01$ versus HFru-Con, n = 7-8 mice per group.

### 3.3.3 Effects on ER stress and HSP72 in the liver of HFru-fed mice

By promoting DNL in the liver, the activation of ER stress represents a key step in the pathogenesis of hepatosteatosis [44, 59, 210]. As shown in Figure 3.3A, HFru-fed mice exhibited significant increases of the mature form of eIF2α (by 2-fold), CHOP (by 2.3-fold) and IRE1 (by 2-fold) along with the upregulation of the DNL pathway in the liver. Treatment with Mtr markedly reduced the protein levels of these hepatic ER markers towards the levels seen in CH fed mice. These results indicate that Mtr induced-suppression of ER markers may be associated with the improvement in lipogenesis, which could account for its beneficial effects on hepatosteatosis. Recent studies indicate that HSP72 is likely to mediate the effect of Mtr on hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance [172, 192, 196]. As shown in Figure 3B, there was ~50% suppression of HSP72 ($P < 0.05$ vs CH fed mice) in the liver of HFru-fed mice and this reduction was reversed following treatment with Mtr ($P < 0.05$ vs untreated HFru-fed mice).
Figure 3.3 Effects on ER stress and HSP72 in the liver of HFru-fed mice.

Liver lysates from mice were immunoblotted for (A) eIF2α, CHOP and IRE1 and (B) HSP72 and quantified for statistical analysis. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 versus CH-Con; †P < 0.05, ††P < 0.01 versus HFru-Con, n = 7-8 mice per group.
3.3.4 Effects on hyperglycaemia in T2D mice

To investigate the relationship of the effect on hepatosteatosis with glycemic control, we examined the metabolic effects of Mtr in T2D mice generated by a HF diet in combination with low doses of STZ [88, 172, 176]. The body weight was reduced in HFD-STZ-induced T2D mice (by ~15%) and treatment with Mtr had no effect on the body weight (Figure 3.4A), while visceral adiposity remained unchanged in T2D-Con mice compared to CH-fed mice Mtr significantly reduced epididymal fat in T2D mice ($P < 0.05$, Figure 3.4B). T2D-Con mice displayed typical fasting hyperglycaemia and Mtr treatment significantly reduced the degree of the hyperglycaemia over the period of 4 weeks (by 20-30%, Figure 3.4C). As expected, HFD-STZ-induced T2D showed severe glucose intolerance but this was not attenuated by the treatment with Mtr (Figure AD).
Figure 3.4 Effects of Mtr on body weight, visceral adiposity, blood glucose and glucose tolerance in T2D mice.

T2D was generated by a high-fat (HF) diet plus low-dose of STZ injections. After the development of hyperglycaemia, Mtr (100mg/kg per day in diet) was administered to diabetic mice for 4 weeks. Body weight at the end of the study (A). Epididymal (Epi) fat weight (B). Blood glucose levels (after 5-7 h of fasting) (C) were monitored once a week. An ipGTT (1.0g glucose/g body weight) was performed after 2 weeks of treatment with Mtr (D). **P < 0.01 vs CH-Con; ††P < 0.01 vs T2D-Con (n=7-8 mice/group).
3.3.5 Effect on TG levels in T2D mice

Recent studies indicate that hepatosteatosis can contribute to hyperglycaemia and hepatic insulin resistance [30, 44]. To determine whether the reduced hepatosteatosis by resulting from Mtr treatment is associated with the control of hyperglycaemia in T2D mice, we measured the TG content in the liver. As shown in Figure 3.5A and B, T2D mice exhibited hypertriglyceridemia and hepatosteatosis; however Mtr significantly reduced these conditions ($P < 0.05$). Together, these results clearly indicate that Mtr reduces the T2D-induced hepatosteatosis that is associated with hyperglycaemia and this could account for its beneficial effects on the regulation of lipid metabolism.

![Figure 3.5](image)

**Figure 3.5 Effects of Mtr on TG level in the plasma and livers of T2D mice.**

Plasma levels of TG were measured from blood samples collected in week 2 of the treatment (A). Liver TG content was determined from freeze-clamped samples obtained at the end of the study (B). $**P < 0.01$ vs CH-Con; $††P < 0.01$ vs T2D-Con (n=7-8 mice/group).
3.4 Discussion

The present study investigated whether the hepatoprotective drug Mtr can treat the hepatosteatosis and associated glucose intolerance in HFru-fed mice resulting from increased DNL. Consistent with previous studies from our laboratory [45, 46, 211], HFru-fed mice developed hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance by promoting ER stress-associated DNL. Treatment of these mice with Mtr ameliorated hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance. Within the liver, Mtr decreased the protein expression of DNL enzymes concomitant with reduced ER stress. This study further examined the effects of Mtr on hepatosteatosis in relation to glycemic control in T2D mice, which display a phenotype of hyperglycaemia and hepatosteatosis associated with increased DNL [88, 176]. The results showed that Mtr treatment reduced hepatosteatosis and improved hyperglycaemia. Collectively, these findings suggest that Mtr has the potential to be repurposed for the treatment of hepatosteatosis resulting from increased DNL and associated disorders in glucose metabolism.

Overconsumption of dietary fructose can lead to DNL and hepatosteatosis [44, 95], which in turn can result in glucose intolerance and contribute to hyperglycaemia [48, 75]. Therefore, correction of hepatosteatosis is beneficial for improving glucose homeostasis in the metabolic syndrome. For example, in obese patients with T2D, a reversal of hepatosteatosis can improve hepatic insulin action and glycemic control [36]. This study has investigated drugs that have previously been used for the treatment of liver conditions, in order to determine whether they can be repurposed to treat hepatosteatosis [182]. One such candidate is Mtr chosen because liver has been shown to be the major target site of Mtr [212, 213]. Indeed, the study from our laboratory has
demonstrated that Mtr is able to attenuate the increased fasting blood glucose and improve glucose tolerance in insulin resistant mice induced by a HF diet [172]. The same study found that these anti-diabetic effects of Mtr appears to result from its effect in reducing hepatosteatosis without affecting HF diet induced lipid accumulation in muscle.

Mtr is clinically used for treatment of chronic liver conditions including hepatocellular carcinoma and viral hepatitis with minimal adverse effects [172, 182, 184]. Interestingly, both hepatocellular carcinoma and viral hepatitis are associated with an increase in DNL [7, 214, 215]. Indeed, previous findings in 3T3L1 adipocytes have shown that Mtr can reduce DNL and lipid accumulation within the cells [216]. Although recent results from our group [172] have shown that Mtr is able to reduce hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance in mice that have been fed a HF diet, the source of hepatosteatosis in this mouse model is from the exogenous FA due to the intake of dietary fat rather than endogenous FA from an increased DNL. Therefore, it is not clear yet whether Mtr is effective for metabolic disorders by that involve an increased hepatic DNL [44].

Several studies have demonstrated that DNL enzymes are over-expressed during the development of hepatosteatosis [71, 105]. HFru-fed mice are a well-defined animal model of DNL-induced hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance [44, 45], and DNL-induced hepatosteatosis can be observed as early as one day after HFru feeding [211]. Indeed, the present study showed that chronic HFru feeding resulted in hepatosteatosis (increased TG level) by promoting DNL (indicated by SREBP1c, ChREBP, acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) and fatty acid synthase (FAS) and stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1.
(SCD-1)) without affecting FA oxidation, as indicated by unchanged levels of \(^{14}\text{C}\)-palmitate in the liver. However, reduced hepatic FA oxidation and mitochondrial enzyme activity has been demonstrated to occur prior to the appearance of hepatosteatosis, it has been shown that DNL is a primary cause of the development of hepatosteatosis \([44, 77]\). As expected, treatment with Mtr significantly reduced steatosis in the liver but not in muscle because of the high distribution of Mtr in the liver after oral administration \([213]\) and the associated glucose intolerance in these mice. Levels of key lipogenic enzymes were then measured and it was found that SREBP1c, ChREBP, SCD-1 and FAS in the liver were all reduced in HFrU-fed mice treated with Mtr. These results suggest that Mtr is likely to reduce hepatosteatosis via inhibition of the DNL pathway.

As an increase in FA oxidation can also attenuate hepatosteatosis \([217]\), this study next examined whether the reduction of hepatosteatosis caused by Mtr in HFrU-fed mice results from an increase in liver FA oxidation. However, Mtr did not increase oxidation of \(^{14}\text{C}\)-palmitate in the liver, indicating that the FA oxidation pathway was not activated in HFrU-fed mice. These findings add further support to our interpretation that Mtr reduces hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance in HFrU-fed mice by inhibiting DNL rather than by stimulating FA oxidation in the liver.

It has been shown that the ER stress pathway plays a critical role in HFrU-induced DNL and hepatosteatosis \([59, 211]\). For example, in \(ob/ob\) mice hepatosteatosis is largely due to increased DNL as a result of hyperphagia in an ER stress-dependent manner \([107]\). The same study also showed that alleviation of hepatic ER stress by overexpression of GRP78 reduces hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance by inhibiting DNL. Similarly, in
HFrufed mice, inhibition of ER stress by tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) and Betulin suppress DNL and improve insulin signalling in the liver [45-47]. To investigate whether the inhibition of hepatic DNL by Mtr involves the ER stress pathway, the major ER stress markers in response to HFruf-induced DNL was examined. Interestingly, the results showed that HFruf-induced ER stress (indicated by eIF2α, CHOP and IRE1) were all inhibited by Mtr. These findings suggest that attenuation of ER stress may be a novel mode of action for the inhibitory effect of Mtr on DNL and the resultant hepatosteatosis.

In terms of the possible cellular target of Mtr, published studies from our laboratory suggested that a downregulation of HSP72 contributes to lipid accumulation in vivo [172], and in vitro [216]. Indeed, Mtr is able to increase HSP72 expression and protect against lipid accumulation and glucose intolerance in the liver. Consistent with this observation, the present study found that liver tissue from HFruf-fed mice had significantly lower concentrations of HSP72 protein, and this reduction was prevented by Mtr treatment. HSPs have been implicated in the regulation of diverse metabolic disorders including hepatosteatosis (the major metabolic defect of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease) and insulin resistance (the major metabolic defect of T2D) [194, 196, 218]. It has been reported that an enhanced expression of HSP72 can block the activation of the stress kinase JNK by TNFα [219]. Collectively, the data from this study suggest that Mtr may inhibit the ER-DNL axis by up-regulating HSP72 to reduce hepatosteatosis and the associated glucose intolerance.

Hepatic DNL and hepatosteatosis also occur in transgenic diabetic mice such as db/db [105]. Therefore, the present study explored whether Mtr is able to reduce
hepatosteatosis in a mouse model of T2D induced by HFD-STZ [88, 176]. Results from previous studies showed that Mtr reduced epididymal fat and lowered hyperglycaemia, indicating that Mtr may have the potential to control hyperglycaemia in T2D. Although Mtr showed no effect on normal fasting blood glucose, glucose tolerance or liver TG content in chow-fed mice [172], the anti-diabetic effects of Mtr could be attributed to its effect in reducing hepatosteatosis.

In summary, this thesis reports a potential novel application of the hepatoprotective drug Mtr for the treatment of hepatosteatosis and associated abnormal glucose homeostasis. This study is the first to evaluate the effect of Mtr on hepatosteatosis induced by the ER stress-DNL signalling pathway in HFru-fed mice. As suppression of ER stress can reduce hepatosteatosis by inhibiting DNL [2, 211], it is likely that Mtr may exert these beneficial effects by suppressing ER stress-induced increase in hepatic DNL. This study hypothesises that the upregulation of the chaperon protein HSP72 may play a critical role in suppressing ER stress (as illustrated in Figure 3.6) but this hypothesis requires validation by further studies using HSP72 knock-down animal model. Together with recent findings in HFD-fed mice [172], results from this Chapter suggest that Mtr may be repurposed for the treatment of hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis including T2D.
Figure 3.6 Proposed mechanisms underlying the therapeutic effects of Mtr for hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis.
Chapter 4 Effects of Matrine on Methionine and Choline-Deficient Diet-Induced Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis Associated with Inflammation and Fibrosis
4.1 Introduction

As described in Section 1.9, treatments for NASH fail to improve all aspects of this disease because of its diversity and the risks of available drugs. Alternatively, with its predictable efficacy in hepatosteatosis-associated disorders in glucose homeostasis (Chapter 3) and safety profile raises an attractive possibility that this hepatoprotective drug may have therapeutic potential for NASH.

NASH is a severe condition of NAFLD, the most common chronic liver disease. It is characterised by liver damage, inflammation and variable degrees of fibrosis, which may lead to cirrhosis and HCC [6]. The inflammatory component of NASH may induce hepatic fibrosis, which aggravates the progression of this disease [15]. To date, there is no drug approved specifically for the treatment of NASH [220].

As described in Chapters 1 and 3, Mtr has several well-recognised pharmacological effects targeting the liver, including anti-inflammatory, anti-tumour and antiviral activities [184, 221]. One of the well-characterised anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr is inhibition of the inflammatory cytokine production such as TNFα; a key player in the pathogenesis of NASH [143]. Moreover, Mtr treatment significantly inhibits inflammation upon challenge with LPS in vivo and in vitro [187, 222] and improves liver damage due to reperfusion injury in rats [200]. Recently, it has been found that treatment with Mtr attenuates hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance induced by HFD in mice [172]. Further, the results in Chapter 3 show that Mtr is therapeutically effective in reducing HFru-induced hepatosteatosis.
However, mice fed with either HFD or HFru diet do not exhibit the inflammation and fibrosis in characteristic of NASH. Although MCD diet does not induce the metabolic syndrome as commonly seen in humans, this diet is still considered the most reliable candidate for diet-induced model of NASH in rodents, with liver damage, inflammation and fibrosis—a spectrum of changes that mimic the hepatic pathology of NASH [220, 223].

The results presented in this Chapter demonstrate the therapeutic efficacy of Mtr in the treatment of NASH, which was accompanied by a significant improvement in inflammation and fibrosis—major NASH components. In this study, we investigated the effect of treatment with Mtr on MCD diet-induced NASH in mice. In addition, metformin which has been suggested to be useful for the treatment of NASH [136, 224], was used in this study for comparison.

4.2 Materials and methods

4.2.1 Animal care, diets and experimental design

Male C57BL/6J mice (10 weeks old) were purchased and acclimatised for at least 1 week. Mice were randomly assigned to four groups: feeding ad libitum with a standard chow diet (CH-Con; Gordon’s Specialty Stock Feeds, Yanderra, NSW, Australia); MCD alone (MCD-Con); MCD with Mtr treatment as a food additive (MCD-Mtr; Mtr: 100 mg/kg/day); and MCD with metformin treatment as a food additive (MCD-Met; Met: 250 mg/kg/day) for 6 weeks, as indicated in Figure 4.1. Body weight and food
intake were monitored daily throughout the experiment. Before the start of the study and at 5 weeks, following 5–7 h of food removal, tail vein blood was collected for glucose measurement with a glucometer (AccuCheck II; Roche, Castle Hill, Perth, Australia). Before the start of the study and at the end of the study, plasma was collected and stored at −80°C for subsequent biochemical testing. Mice were anaesthetised with a ketamine/xylazine mixture (up to 100 mg/kg body weight ketamine and 20 mg/kg body weight xylazine) was administered via intraperitoneal injection. Mice fixation was then performed via transcardial perfusion with heparinised phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 10–20 mL/mouse) followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; 10–20 mL/mouse; #C007, ProSciTech). At the completion of the PFA perfusion, the right lobe of the liver was dissected and immersed in 4% PFA-filled glass scintillation vials for further analysis.

All experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of RMIT University (#1415) in accordance with the guidelines of the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia. Mtr (purity >99.5%) was a gift from Professor Li-Hong Hu from the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica; metformin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.
Male C57BL/6J mice were fed a chow (CH-Con) or MCD diet for 6 weeks. The MCD diet-fed mice were assigned into three groups: MCD diet-fed mice without treatment (MCD-Con); MCD diet-fed mice with Mtr (100 mg/kg/day) (MCD-Mtr); and MCD diet-fed mice with metformin (250 mg/kg/day) (MCD-Met). ipGTT: intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.

4.2.2 Assessment of the effect on hepatic steatosis

Hepatosteatosis was assessed by measuring TG contents in the liver using the method of Folch and a colorimetric assay kit (Triglyceride GPO-PAP; Roche, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia), as described previously [44].

4.2.3 Evaluation of total body fat content

Total body fat content in mice was evaluated using the EchoMRI™-100H body composition analyser (EchoMRI). Mice were restrained live inside a tube during this harmless and non-invasive analysis. The principle of measuring the whole-body fat
composition using the EchoMRI™-100H was based on the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technique measuring live body composition such as fat tissue, lean tissue and free fluid.

4.2.4 Assessment of the effect on liver damage

To examine the effects of Mtr on liver damage, the second component of NASH, plasma ALT and AST were measured at baseline and at Week 6 using commercial kits (ALT/SGPT Liqui-UV; Australia) [80]. Food was removed from mice cages for 5–7 h, and blood was collected from the tail vein (50 μL blood + 50 μL saline; fast spin for 1 min), and then mixed with 200 μL reagent (R1:R2 = 5:1, as described in the manufacturer instructions). The absorbance was measured at 340 nm using a FlexStation (Molecular Devices, Australia).

4.2.5 Quantitation of total and non-heme iron

The total iron, and heme and non-heme iron, contents of liver samples were determined colorimetrically [225] because iron deposition is also regarded as a characteristic of NASH [226, 227]. As described previously [228], the fixated liver tissue (50–60 mg) was homogenised with 50 mM NaOH (using suitable volume to provide uniform homogenisation). The sample was incubated overnight at 75–80°C, and then separated into two aliquots for determination of the total and non-heme iron contents. The total iron was determined by adding reagent A (a freshly mixed solution of equal volumes of 1.4 M HCl, 4.5% (w/v) KMnO₄ and 40% TCA in H₂O), and the non-heme iron was
determined by adding reagent B (same as reagent A without KMnO$_4$), to the sample [229].

The iron content of the sample was calculated by comparing its absorbance to that of a range of standard concentrations of equal volume. Standards (five diluted standards) were prepared as a mixture of FeCl$_3$ in 10 mM HCl and 50 mM NaOH. The standard value was measured using lysis reagent that either contained or lacked permanganate [229]. The mixture was transferred into 96-well plates and its absorbance was measured at 550 nm.

**4.2.6 Real-time polymerase chain reaction of liver RNA**

Total RNA was isolated from mouse liver using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, #15596026) as previously described [88]. Reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was carried out using the IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) for interest genes and analysed by real-time PCR using specific primer sets. Target gene expression levels were normalised to a housekeeping gene (18s). Primer sequences are listed in Table 4.1.
Table 4.1 Primers used in quantitative RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18S</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-CGCCGCTAGAGGTGAAATTCT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-CGAACCTCCGACTTTTCGTCT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-CACAAGATGCTGGGACAGTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-TCCTTGATGGGTGGTGCATG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-GACGGCACACCACCCT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-AAACCGTTTTTCCATCTTTTT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD68</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-TGACCTGCTCTCTCTAAGGCTACA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-TCACGGTTGCAAGAAACATG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen 1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-CTGCTGGTGAGAGGTAAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-ACCAAGGTCTCCAGGAACAC-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.7 Western blotting

Liver lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with specific antibodies [45]. Antibodies listed in Table 4.2 were diluted 1:1000 with a TBST buffer containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.02% sodium azide (Sigma-Aldrich, #71289) and 0.0025% phenol red (Sigma-Aldrich, #32661). Ponceau Red ensured equal loading of blots staining (Roth). Proteins were quantified using a ChemiDoc, and densitometry analysis of bands was performed using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA).
Table 4.2 List of antibodies used in western blotting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Catalogue no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NLRP3</td>
<td>AdipoGen</td>
<td>20B-0006-C100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSF1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>4356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSP72</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>C92F3A-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSP90</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>ADI-SPA-840HRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>TGFβ</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>3709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smad3</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>9523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autophagy</td>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>2983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading control</td>
<td>Tubulin</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>3873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>2118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary antibody</td>
<td>Goat anti-mouse</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>sc-2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goat anti-rabbit</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>sc-2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goat anti-rat</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Sc-2065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.8 Histopathological examination

The liver samples were perfused using PFA and sliced into 5 μm sections. Free-floating sections were stained with picrosirius red for liver fibrosis, and then quantified in five non-overlapping fields of view per animal by using an Olympus BX41 microscope with a 20x objective lens and an Olympus DP72 digital camera (Olympus, Australia) [80, 230]. The mean value was calculated for each experimental group using the threshold function in the ImageJ software package (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). Data are represented as percentage (%) of positive area per field. To obtain statistical significance, at least five random-field images were taken per slide, and at least seven mice per group were scored (n = 7).
4.2.9 Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out as described in Chapter 2, Section 2.9.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Effects on adiposity, hepatosteatosis and plasma glucose

MCD diet feeding is a common nutritional model of NASH despite lack of the metabolic phenotype [162]. As expected, MCD diet-fed mice showed reduced body weight and body weight gain but no significant changes in food intake, calorie intake and blood glucose level. As shown in Table 4.3, the TG content (indicative of hepatosteatosis) was increased dramatically in the liver of MCD-Con compared with CH-Con mice (by 1.5 fold, \( P < 0.01 \)). The difference in weight gain between chow, MCD alone and MCD with treatments was maintained throughout the treatment period of 6 weeks.

Mtr had no effect on body weight gain and calorie intake in MCD diet-fed mice. Consistent with previous studies [161, 231], MCD diet-fed mice did not show any glucose intolerance or metabolic abnormality. Glucose levels in Mtr-treated MCD diet-fed mice did not differ from the value of CH-fed mice. Associated with these effects, Mtr had no effect in reducing hepatic TG content in MCD diet-fed mice (Table 4.3). Similarly, metformin did not show any effect on any of these parameters in MCD diet-fed mice.
Table 4.3 Effects of Mtr and metformin on body weight, total food intake and liver triglyceride

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CH-Con</th>
<th>MCD-Con</th>
<th>MCD-Mtr</th>
<th>MCD-Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>24.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>23.5 ± 0.2**</td>
<td>22.6 ± 0.1††</td>
<td>22.7 ± 0.1††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight gain (g)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2**</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/kg/day)</td>
<td>80.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>85.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>86.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>85.6 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caloric intake (kcal/kg/day)</td>
<td>268.2 ± 7.5</td>
<td>313.7 ± 9.0</td>
<td>319.7 ± 10.0</td>
<td>334.8 ± 18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting blood glucose (mM)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver TG (mmol/g)</td>
<td>13.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>31.6 ± 3.3**</td>
<td>28.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>31.2 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mice were fed a chow (CH-Con), MCD alone (MCD-Con), MCD treated with matrine 100 mg/kg/day (MCD-Mtr) or MCD treated with metformin 250 mg/kg/day (MCD-Met). Body weight and food intake were measured twice a week. Blood glucose measurement was performed at Week 5. Plasma was collected before tissue collection at Week 6 for subsequent analysis of ALT and AST. **P < 0.01 vs. CH-Con; ††P < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con (n = 8 mice/group).

4.3.2 Effects on body composition using magnetic resonance imaging

MCD diet feeding resulted in a lack of metabolic phenotype possibly because of significantly decreased body weight (Figure 4.2A). Total body fat content using the EchoMRI analyser was measured in all mice. As illustrated in Figure 4.2B, in spite of the reduction in body weight by MCD diet feeding, there was a significant increase in fat mass in MCD diet-fed mice compared with the chow-fed group. MRI analysis also revealed that MCD diet-fed mice and mice treated with either Mtr or metformin had a significant decrease in lean mass compared with the chow group (Figure 4.2C). However, Mtr and metformin had no effect on fat mass.
Figure 4.2 Effects of Mtr on body composition in MCD mice.

The body composition of CH-Con, MCD-Con, MCD-Mtr and MCD-Met was determined by EchoMRI analyser at Week 4. (A) Lean + fat mass; (B) Fat mass; (C) Lean mass. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs. CH-Con; †P < 0.05 vs. MCD-Con; ns: not significant (n = 8 mice/group).

4.3.3 Effects on plasma levels of liver enzymes and iron deposition

Liver damage has been suggested as an important factor that distinguishes NASH from hepatosteatosis [129]. The present study examined whether Mtr treatment might inhibit liver damage of MCD diet-fed mice. As shown in Figure 4.3A and B, along with the increase of hepatosteatosis in the liver, MCD-fed mice exhibited marked increases in ALT (by 68%, P < 0.01) and AST (by 40%, P < 0.05) levels, resulting from liver
damage. Treatment with Mtr or metformin markedly decreased ALT levels (±80% and 25%, respectively). In addition, Mtr treatment but not metformin, further decreased AST levels ($P < 0.05$) in MCD diet-fed mice, indicating Mtr may reduce liver damage, a hallmark in the progression of NASH. We further examined the effects of Mtr on iron levels in the liver of MCD-fed mice. Neither Mtr nor metformin treatment altered the accumulation of heme and non-heme iron induced by MCD diet (Figure 4.3C and D). This was likely due to an excessive overload of iron in the liver among mice fed MCD diet.

Figure 4.3 Effects of Mtr on liver damage and iron level.
After 6 weeks of feeding and drug treatment, blood samples were collected for the measurement of (A) ALT and (B) AST levels. Liver tissue was collected for iron measurement (C) heme iron and (D) non-heme iron. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.05$ vs. CH-Con; †$P < 0.05$, ††$P < 0.01$ vs. MCD-Con ($n = 7–8$ mice/group).

### 4.3.4 Effects on hepatic inflammation

An important pathological characteristic of NASH is hepatic inflammation, and MCD diet has previously been shown to cause marked hepatic inflammation [10, 120]. Key inflammatory proteins, including TNFα, IL-1β, MCP-1, cluster of differentiation 68 (CD68) and NLRP3 inflammasome, are particularly associated with liver inflammation and the progression of NASH [53, 232]. To examine the anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr in MCD diet-fed mice, the protein expression levels of these inflammatory markers was measured. Consistent with other studies [56, 149, 162], MCD feeding resulted in a marked inflammatory response in the liver as evidenced by increased expression levels of TNFα and CD68 (±50% and 80%, respectively; both $P < 0.05$), an indicator of KCs activation [233]. Further, livers of MCD-Con mice with NASH exhibited a substantial increase in MCP-1 and NLRP3 expression (both 38% vs. CH-Con). Mtr treatment normalised the expression levels of TNFα, CD68 (both $P < 0.05$ vs. MCD-Con) and MCP-1 ($P < 0.01$ vs. MCD-Con), as shown in Figure 4.4A, B and D. However, no significant differences were detected in the expression of IL-1β among the experimental groups (Figure 4.4C). It has been suggested that NLRP3 blockade reverses advanced stage liver inflammation and fibrosis in MCD diet-induced NASH [149]. Consistent with the previous study, there was ±65% reduction in NLRP3 inflammasome expression ($P < 0.05$ vs. CH-Con) (Figure 4.4E). In contrast to Mtr, metformin had no
effect on the expression levels of inflammatory markers including TNFα, CD68, MCP-1 and NLRP3 (Figures 4.4A-E).

Figure 4.4 Effects of Mtr on hepatic inflammation in MCD diet-fed mice.
(A) TNFα mRNA, (B) CD68 mRNA, (C) IL1β mRNA, (D) MCP-1 (E) NLRP3 and (F) representative western blot images. *P < 0.05 vs. CH-Con; †P < 0.01, ††P < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con; ns: not significant (n = 7–8 mice/group).

4.3.5 Effects on expression of genes involved in hepatic fibrosis

Liver fibrosis is another hallmark of advanced NASH [15]. An ideal anti-inflammatory approach to NASH treatment would not only abolish inflammation but also reverse established liver fibrosis. Therefore, the effect of Mtr on hepatic fibrosis was examined. As shown in Figure 4.5A-C, MCD diet-fed mice exhibited marked increases in the expression of key proteins of the pro-fibrotic pathway in the liver, namely collagen 1, TGFβ and Smad3 (all P < 0.05 vs. CH-Con), and these results were consistent with others [14, 149]. Treatment of MCD diet-fed mice with Mtr inhibited hepatic expression of these proteins (±55%, 65% and 45%, respectively) towards the levels seen in CH-Con mice. In contrast, metformin treatment had no effect on MCD diet-induced liver fibrosis. There were no significant changes in caspase-1 protein expression between groups (Figure 4.5D). These results revealed that treatment with Mtr attenuated MCD diet-induced fibrosis in the liver, which may support its beneficial effects in NASH with fibrosis.
Figure 4.5 Effects of Mtr on hepatic fibrosis in MCD-fed mice.

(A) A hepatic fibrosis gene of collagen 1 was determined by quantitative RT-PCR analysis. Liver lysates from mice were immunoblotted for (B) TGFβ, (C) Smad3 and (D) caspase-1 and quantified for statistical analysis. *P < 0.05 vs. CH-Con; †P < 0.05, ††P < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con; ns: not significant (n = 7–8 mice/group).
4.3.6 Effects on liver fibrosis using picrosirius red stained liver sections

MCD diet caused extensive fibrosis at 6 weeks, evidenced by collagen accumulation combined with thickened hepatocytes cell membranes (Figure 4.6A). Picrosirius red staining of the liver sections for collagen confirmed the beneficial effects of Mtr treatment on hepatic fibrosis. In agreement with the gene expression and western blot data, treatment with Mtr resulted in a robust reduction in collagen accumulation. As shown in Figure 4.6B, there was an increase in liver fibrosis of ±83% (P < 0.01 vs. CH-Con) in the liver of MCD-fed mice and this increase was reversed following treatment with Mtr (reduction of ±66%, P < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con). In comparison, no obvious hepatic fibrosis changes were observed in mice fed MCD diet with metformin treatment.
Figure 4.6 Effects on extent of liver fibrosis stained with picrosirius red.

(A) Representative images showing collagen staining with picrosirius red in liver sections from CH-Con, MCD alone and MCD treated groups (scale bar = 200 µm (top set) and 50 µm (below set), 10× magnification). (B) Mtr-treated mice had significantly reduced fibrosis area compared with MCD diet-fed mice. **$P < 0.01$ vs. CH-Con; ††$P < 0.01$ vs. MCD-Con (n = 7–8 mice/group).
4.3.7 Effects on hepatic mTOR and heat shock protein expression

Dysregulation of mTOR signalling has been implicated in fatty liver diseases [234]. Inhibition of mTOR has previously been shown to be efficacious in improvement of NASH-induced by MCD diet [235-237]. It has been reported that Mtr treatment inhibits autophagy in cell lines by impairing the activity of lysosomal proteases [238]. To elucidate the mechanism underlying mTOR inhibition-induced by Mtr, protein expression using western blotting was performed to evaluate whether mTOR contributes to hepatocyte damage, inflammation, and fibrosis in NASH. Interestingly, treatment with Mtr normalised the protein level of mTOR ($P < 0.01$ vs. MCD-Con) towards the levels seen in CH-Con mice (Figure 4.7A). These results revealed that treatment with Mtr inhibited MCD diet-induced hepatic mTOR expression.

A recent study has examined the effects of Mtr on the expression of HSP72 [172]. This study has shown that the antisteatotic effect of Mtr is associated with the upregulation of HSP72 in the liver of HFD-fed mice. To determine whether Mtr treatment is associated with the upregulation of HSP-induced improvement in NASH, HSP90, HSF1 and HSP72 expression was measured in the liver. As shown in Figure 4.7B-D, HSP90 and HSP72 expression levels were blunted (50% reduction vs. CH-Con, $P < 0.05$) by MCD diet feeding, but there was no significant effect on the protein levels of HSF1. Treatment with Mtr upregulated hepatic HSP72 and HSF1 expression levels ($P < 0.01$ vs. MCD-Con) in MCD diet-fed mice, but had no significant effect on HSP90 expression. Therefore, these results indicated that the beneficial effect of Mtr on the development of MCD diet-induced NASH might be associated with its ability to limit
mTOR and upregulate HSPs. In comparison, metformin treatment significantly rescued HSF1 but had no significant effect on the expression of HSP72 and HSP90.

Figure 4.7 Effects of Mtr on mTOR, HSF1, HSP90 and HSP72 in MCD-fed mice.

Liver lysates from mice were immunoblotted for (A) mTOR, (B) HSP90, (C) HSF1 and (D) HSP72 and quantified for statistical analysis. *P < 0.05 vs. CH-Con; †P < 0.05, †††P < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con (n = 7–8 mice/group).
4.4 Discussion

This study found that Mtr markedly ameliorated MCD diet-induced NASH by suppressing key regulators of hepatic damage, inflammation and fibrosis. Results of this Chapter showed that Mtr treatment was therapeutically effective for the treatment of NASH compared with metformin in MCD diet-fed mice. These effects were associated with an inhibition of mTOR and upregulation of HSPs, suggesting Mtr might be repurposed for the treatment of NASH by a novel mechanism different from those recognised at the present time [67].

Previous studies have reported a potential effect of Mtr in ameliorating hepatosteatosis, fasting blood glucose and glucose intolerance in HFD-fed mice [172]. In addition, Mtr treatment is effective at reversing hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance, probably via reduced ER stress associated with decreased hepatic lipogenesis in mice fed HFrU diet. Despite the possible benefits of Mtr for the treatment of NAFLD-associated glucose intolerance, the therapeutic effects in NASH are not clear because of the absence of NASH features in these mouse models. Therefore, the studies described in Chapter 4 particularly examined the protective effect of Mtr against MCD diet-induced NASH in mice.

MCD diet feeding is a well-recognised model of NASH and rapidly induces steatohepatitis in mice [120, 161]. Although MCD-fed mice do not exhibit metabolic abnormalities such as insulin resistance and hyperglycaemia; MCD diet feeding is a frequently used dietary model because it causes severe NASH with hepatic steatosis, damage, inflammation and fibrosis [162]. This study showed that 6-week Mtr treatment
significantly ameliorated liver damage, inflammation and fibrosis, albeit not hepatosteatosis.

The degree of hepatosteatosis may predict the severity of NAFLD and vary among NASH patients [28]. MCD diet has been shown to induce higher levels of TG in the liver compared with normal chow diet [145]. To investigate the antisteatotic effects of Mtr in the liver, hepatic TG content was measured in MCD diet-fed mice. Treatment of these mice with Mtr or metformin did not change hepatosteatosis in relation to body weight and glucose level in MCD mice. This might be due to the lack of metabolic abnormalities in MCD diet-induced NASH. Secondly, Mtr treatment exerts its beneficial effects on NASH independent of an improvement in hepatosteatosis.

It is suggested that elevations of liver enzymes and hepatic iron levels are strongly associated with hepatocyte injury and liver damage [239]. ALT is used as a marker of liver damage in fatty liver including NASH [240]. Consistent with these reports, feeding mice MCD diet produces a significant increase in ALT levels in the mouse MCD diet model of NASH [241]. Mtr showed a beneficial effect in the inhibition of MCD diet-induced increases in plasma ALT and AST; this indicates that Mtr can attenuate the liver damage without changes in body composition in mice. Conversely, metformin had moderate effect on ALT levels, but did not change AST levels; therefore, it is not strongly recommended for NASH treatment [10, 242, 243].

The activation of inflammatory cytokines plays a vital role in the progression of NASH [244]. The increase in inflammatory cytokines production, in particular TNFα by KCs, resident hepatic macrophages, was suggested to be the key mediator of the progression
of NASH [245]. In line with increased TNFα production, increased CD68 and MCP-1 are associated with the severity of NASH [80, 231]. Alternatively, inhibition of TNFα activity using anti-inflammatory drugs improves liver damage, inflammation and NASH [145, 246]. It is generally believed that the anti-inflammatory activities of Mtr are largely due to its ability to inhibit hepatic inflammation [200, 222].

Iron overload plays a role in liver damage where simple uncomplicated steatosis progresses to fibrotic NASH [153, 154]. It has been suggested that iron overload exacerbates inflammation and fibrosis-induced steatohepatitis in humans [151, 227] and in animals [226, 247]. Further, iron accumulation in tissue is positively correlated with the severity of NASH manifestations in the Tsumura Suzuki obese diabetes (TSOD) mouse model [248]. It appears that reduced iron overload may prevent development and progression of NASH. Despite the inhibitory effects of Mtr on liver enzymes, the elevation of heme and non-heme iron did not change during the treatment period with Mtr or metformin. These findings together raise the possibility that Mtr might offer additional therapeutic effects on manifestations of NASH through reduction of liver enzymes.

Results in this Chapter revealed that Mtr prevented NASH-associated hepatic inflammation and fibrosis after 6 weeks of treatment. Mtr suppressed the increases in TNFα, CD68 and MCP-1, major inflammatory markers in NASH. Another central participator in the development of NASH is the activation of NLRP3 inflammasome [147, 249]. It has been recently reported that blockage of NLRP3 activation reduces liver inflammation and fibrosis in MCD-fed mice [149]. Consistent with the anti-inflammatory effects, a similar reduction in NLRP3 expression in the liver was
observed compared with CH-Con mice. The results support Mtr may reduce NASH via inhibition of inflammatory pathways.

Hepatic fibrosis is known as another hallmark feature of NASH after inflammation, which can cause scaring, resulting in liver cirrhosis and cancer [15, 240]. Because of its severe impact on the prognosis of NASH, the control of hepatic fibrosis is regarded as a key criterion in the treatment of NASH [6]. Although Mtr has been reported to prevent liver fibrosis (TGFβ and collagen synthesis) induced by CCl₄ in rats [189], whether Mtr inhibits fibrosis in MCD-induced NASH remains unclear. To investigate whether the inhibition of hepatic inflammation by Mtr involves the inhibition of fibrosis pathways [147], this study examined the effect of Mtr on the major fibrotic markers in response to MCD diet-induced NASH. Consistent with the reduction in inflammation, our data indicated that Mtr inhibited the activation of fibrosis by suppression of TGFβ, Smad3 and collagen synthesis in the liver of MCD diet-fed mice. These results provide further support for the idea that Mtr might provide a possible mechanism for the inhibition of fibrosis because of its antifibrotic effects. Indeed, this is the first experimental evidence that Mtr can prevent and ameliorate the progression of NASH of not only inflammation but also fibrosis in the liver of a NASH model.

In terms of possible cellular targets of Mtr, a critical role of the activated mTOR pathway in the severity and progression of NASH has become a focus for research [234, 250, 251]. The involvement of the mTOR pathway in MCD-induced advanced NASH has been explored [237]. Recently, Mtr has shown beneficial effects in the inhibition of mTOR in cell lines and primary cells of acute myeloid leukaemia [252]. Mtr treatment decreased the expression of mTOR in the liver of MCD diet-fed mice. In
particular, Mtr may provide a protective effect against the progression of NASH through mTOR inhibition.

Another interesting finding presented in this Chapter was that Mtr is able to modulate HSP expression levels. It has been reported that overexpression of HSP72 may attenuate inflammation and restore homeostasis even in the face of metabolic insult [196], which may likely be attributed to its ability to suppress the production of inflammatory cytokines [253]. A previous study from our laboratory has suggested that upregulation of HSP72 may contribute to hepatosteatosis induced by HFD or HFr feeding in mice [172]. Consistent with this observation, the present study found that liver tissue from MCD diet-fed mice had significantly lower levels of HSP72 and HSP90, and this reduction was rescued by Mtr treatment. It is noteworthy that upregulation of HSPs by Mtr treatment has been associated with the improvement of NASH. Importantly, Mtr exerts these effects through a distinct pathway different from that of metformin without any significant change in mTOR or HSP72. These data suggest that the therapeutic effects of Mtr in treating NASH may involve dysregulation of mTOR or HSPs.

This studies reported in this chapter have provided direct support for our hypothesis that Mtr treatment markedly ameliorates NASH via inhibiting the inflammatory and fibrotic pathways. Therefore, Mtr has the potential to be a therapeutic drug for the treatment of NASH, possibly by inhibiting mTOR expression and upregulating HSP72. Importantly, anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic effects of Mtr are related to the inhibition of key aspects of NASH (Figure 4.8).
In summary, the study in this Chapter demonstrated that Mtr may be useful as an effective drug to prevent NASH-associated inflammation and fibrosis. It is possible that the improvement of inflammation and fibrosis by Mtr treatment occurs via the inhibition of mTOR, which appears associated with the upregulation of HSP72. Compared with metformin, Mtr demonstrates clear superiority for the treatment of NASH. Further works are needed to explore whether the anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic effects of Mtr result directly from its effects on the hepatic mTOR-HSP72 pathway, given that previous studies from our laboratory have excluded the commonly recognised mechanisms.
Figure 4.8 Schematic diagram illustrating the proposed mechanism underlying the effects of Mtr against MCD diet-induced NASH.
Chapter 5 Effects of Matrine on Lipopolysaccharide-Stimulated Inflammation in Macrophage Cells
5.1 Introduction

As reviewed in Chapter 1, macrophages including hepatic KCs play an important role in perpetuating the inflammatory phase of NASH by the release of inflammatory and fibrogenic mediators [130, 254]. It has been shown that LPS stimulates the inflammatory activation of KCs, which contributes to NASH [255]. Because macrophages are critical in initiating liver damage and inflammation, targeting macrophages activation may guide the development of effective treatment for the inflammation in NASH [129]. For example, mice with lack of hepatic TLR4 stimulated with LPS are protected from hepatosteatosis and inflammation via impaired hepatocytes and activated KCs [256]. J774A.1 is a macrophage-like cell line that is used as a model for KCs in the liver and the macrophages in the circulation. Activation of J774A.1 by LPS produces inflammatory cytokines and promotes the fibrogenic process [257].

LPS or endotoxin comprises a major portion of the cell wall of gram-negative bacteria and is a potent inducer of the inflammatory response in macrophages via its receptor the CD14/TLR4 complex [258]. It has been proposed that LPS may be a ‘second hit’, which contributes to the progression of simple hepatosteatosis to NASH [54]. Activation of macrophages by LPS induces the expression of TLR4, which mediates the secretion of inflammatory cytokines such as TNFα [138, 259]. The release of TNFα can cause severe liver inflammation and contribute to pro-fibrotic activity and eventually NASH [129]. An increase in the synthesis of inflammatory cytokines including TNFα has been reported in patients [129, 131, 139] and rodents [142, 245].
with NAFLD/NASH. Indeed, inhibition of LPS-induced TNFα production reduces inflammatory cytokine activation and decreases inflammation [260].

The studies reported in Chapter 4 revealed that Mtr treatment inhibits the elevated expression of TNFα and related inflammatory and fibrotic signals in the liver. Chapters 3 and 4 have described the ability of Mtr to reduce lipid accumulation, inflammation and fibrosis in the liver. However, the underlying cellular mechanisms of the effects of Mtr in these conditions are not clear because of multiple interactions among different cells in the organ. As cell lines are homogenous, and are easily manipulated and propagated for the study of signalling pathways, they can be used to provide a clearer understanding of the cellular mechanisms of Mtr on specific cell types for NASH. This Chapter investigates the cellular mechanisms underlying the effects of Mtr on inflammatory and fibrogenic pathways in a cell line that represents the major cell type responsible for the inflammatory cytokines in NASH.

5.2 Materials and Methods

5.2.1 Cell Culture

J774A.1 a murine reticulocyte sarcoma cell line derived from a female BALB/c mouse, was purchased ATCC® TIB-67™ and maintained in RPMI (Gibco/Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin and 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated foetal bovine serum in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere at 37°C. Cell culture medium was changed every two
or three days and used at passages numbers 6–15 for all experiments. Briefly, cells were subcultured at 1 in 10 dilutions when cells reached 80–90% confluence. Subculture was formulated by rinsing the cells first with warm 1× PBS, and then adding 2–3 mL 1× trypsin-EDTA solution to detach the cells from the T75 flask. Cells were then centrifuged at 100 × g for 5 min and re-suspended in ±10 mL fresh growth medium, and the desired amount of cells was transferred into a new T75 flask containing fresh RPMI growth medium.

5.2.2 Chemicals and drugs

LPS was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (O127:B8; Australia). It was dissolved in water to obtain a stock concentration of 10 mg/mL. Mtr (≥98% by high performance liquid chromatography) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Mtr (purity >99.5%) was a gift from Professor Li-Hong Hu from the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica. Quercetin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Q4951; Australia), dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (0.1% DMSO) and stored at −20°C. Bay 11-7082 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (B5556; Australia).

5.2.3 Treatments of J774A.1 macrophage cell line

To induce inflammation in J774A.1 cells, a serial dilution of LPS was prepared and 1 mg/mL stock LPS solution was kept at −80°C for further experiments. On the day before testing, the cultured cells were confirmed as having a confluence of >90% and cell viability of >90% by microscopic examination and LDH release cytotoxicity assay, respectively (Section 5.2.5). Medium was aspirated from the cell culture flask and
10 mL fresh RPMI medium added to the flask. Cells were removed using a cell scraper and 0.5 (× 2) mL was used to start a new passage, while the remaining 9 mL was placed into a 15-mL conical tube. Cells were counted using trypan blue at a 1:10 dilution. The desired volume of the cell-containing medium (at a density of 2 × 10^5 cells per well) was transferred into a 24-well culture plate with fresh growth medium. Two hours prior to inflammatory stimulation, each prepared drug was added to the appropriate well at a indicated concentration. The plate was then returned to the incubator for the indicated periods of time. Then, LPS was added to appropriate wells to induce inflammation. After 2 h of incubation, the cell supernatant was collected in 1.5-mL Eppendorf tubes for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Cell lysates were harvested after washing with cold PBS and kept at −80°C for further analysis.

### 5.2.4 Determination of TNFα, IL-1β and IL-6 production

The production of the inflammatory cytokines, TNFα, IL-1β and IL-6, in the culture medium of J774A.1 was determined using commercially available ELISA kits (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer protocol. The experimental design is illustrated in Figure 5.1.

![Figure 5.1 Schematic diagram of the in vitro experimental protocol.](image-url)
Cells were harvested and seeded at $2 \times 10^5$ cells/mL per well into 96-well plates. After cells were treated with selected compounds, followed by incubation with or without 3 ng/mL LPS at 37°C for 2 h, the culture supernatants were collected into microfuge tubes and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 2–3 min at 4°C. J774A.1 cells were lysed after washing with ice-cold 1× PBS buffer.

### 5.2.5 Determination of lactate dehydrogenase release

The cytotoxicity was assessed by measuring the activity of released lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in the medium. The enzyme activity in the whole cell lysate and medium was determined using a CytoTox 96® Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay kit (#G1780; Promega) according to the manufacturer protocol. Briefly, 50 μL diluted cell medium (1:5) or cell lysate (1:10) was transferred into a 96-well plate before the addition of 50 μL reconstituted substrate mixture. The plate was then covered with foil and incubated at room temperature for 30 min; subsequently, 50 μL stop solution was added to each well before measuring absorbance. The absorbance was read at 490 nm using a POLARstar OPTIMA microplate reader (BMG Lab Technologies, Germany). The LDH released into the medium (a measure of cells viability) was expressed as a percentage of the total LDH activity (ratio of LDH in lysate and in the medium) [216].

### 5.2.6 Determination of protein concentration

As described in Section 2.6, protein concentration in J774A.1 cells was measured through the use of a colorimetric bi-cinchoninic acid (BCA) protein kit (#B9643; Sigma-Aldrich). First, 1 μL diluted tissue lysate (1 part lysate to 9 μL dH$_2$O) was added to 200 μL BCA reagent mix (50 parts reagent A to 1 part reagent B). Solutions were
then mixed and incubated at 37°C for 30 min prior to the determination of absorbance at 562 nm using a POLARstar OPTIMA microplate reader (BMG Lab Technologies, Germany). In each BCA assay, varying dilutions of BSA protein were included to create a standard curve in the range of 0–2.0 µg/mL.

### 5.2.7 Other methods

Other methods used in this study are as described in Chapter 2.

### 5.3 Results

#### 5.3.1 Inflammatory response of J774A.1 cells to the stimulation of LPS

We firstly determined the effective concentration of LPS (1–100 ng/mL) to induce inflammatory cytokines in J774A.1 cells. As shown by the inflammatory cytokines in cell culture media, at 1 ng/mL, LPS had no effect on the production of TNFα, whereas at 3–100 ng/mL LPS increased TNFα production in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 5.2A). As shown in Figure 5.2B and C, however, there was no significant difference between vehicle and LPS-treated J774A.1 in the production of IL-1β and IL-6 at this range of concentrations. On the basis of these results, 3 ng/mL LPS was used in further experiments and TNFα was used as the readout of cell inflammation.

To determine the optimal Mtr concentration, cells were treated with different concentrations of Mtr in the absence of LPS. Mtr had no effect on the basal production
of TNFα (Figure 5.2D). In contrast, in the presence of LPS, Mtr (1–50 µM) significantly reduced LPS-induced increase in TNFα production in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 5.2E). The minimum inhibitory concentration of Mtr to suppress LPS-induced TNFα production in J774A.1 macrophages was 1 µM. Its efficacy was similar to that of the well-known inflammatory inhibitor Bay 11-7082 at its maximum concentration (15 µM).
Figure 5.2 Effects of Mtr on LPS-induced production of inflammatory cytokines in J774A.1 cells.

J774A.1 cells (2x10^5 cells/mL) were treated with 1–100 ng/mL LPS for 2 h to stimulate the production of inflammatory cytokines. Levels of (A) TNFα, (B) IL-1β and (C) IL-6 in culture media were determined by ELISA. Cells were pretreated with
different concentrations of Mtr (0.1, 1, 10 and 50 µM) and 15 µM Bay 11-7082 for 2 h, and then treated (D) without or (E) with 3 ng/mL LPS for 2 h. At the end of treatment, each cell culture medium was collected and the production of TNFα was determined by ELISA as described in Section 5.2.4. Results are expressed as ±SEM and represent at least three independent experiments. **P < 0.01 vs. Veh; ††P < 0.01 vs. LPS-untreated group; ns: not significant. Veh: vehicle.

5.3.2 Effects on the viability of J774A.1 macrophages

To exclude a possibility that the inhibition of inflammation by Mtr resulted from cytotoxic effects, we determined LDH activity in the culture medium as an indicator of cell damage. As shown in Figure 5.3A, LPS and Mtr caused no significant elevation in LDH level at 3 ng/mL and 1 µM, respectively, compared with the positive control causing elevation of LDH activity. Consistent with the negative results of LDH release, the cells displayed normal morphology in response to these treatments. Similarly, cells treated with Mtr or LPS remained similar to the vehicle without cell damage observed (Figure 5.3B).
Figure 5.3 Effects of Mtr on cell viability and morphology in J774A.1 cells.

(A) J774A.1 cells (2 × 10^5 cells/mL) were treated with 3 ng/mL LPS or 1 μM Mtr for 2 h, and cell viability was determined by LDH assay in the cultured medium. (B) Cells were examined under a light microscope (magnification, ×100). Results are expressed as ±SEM and represent at least three independent experiments. **P < 0.01 vs. Veh; ns: not significant. Veh: vehicle.
5.3.3 Changes in mTOR and heat shock proteins

As shown in Chapters 3 and 4, the anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr are associated with the downregulation of mTOR and upregulation of HSP72. To assess the potential involvement of mTOR and HSPs in the effects of Mtr in LPS-activated J774A.1 macrophages, we measured the mTOR, HSP72, HSF1 and HSP90 expression levels in the cell lysate using western blotting. Consistent with the results from the previous Chapters, treatment with Mtr reversed the increased protein level of mTOR \((P < 0.01\) vs. LPS) to normal levels as seen in the vehicle (Figure 5.4A).

Interestingly, HSP72 expression was significantly suppressed (40% reduction vs. vehicle, \(P < 0.01\)) following stimulation with LPS with a trend to reduce HSF1. However, HSP90 was not affected. Pretreatment with Mtr upregulated HSP72 and blocked the suppression of LPS on HSF1 protein expression with no significant effect on HSP90 expression (Figure 5.4B-D).

To elucidate the potential mechanism by which Mtr upregulates HSP72 in LPS-activated J774A.1 macrophages, the HSP72 inhibitor quercetin was examined for comparison in this Chapter. Cells were pretreated with quercetin (50 μM) for 2 h, and then treated with LPS (3 ng/mL) for 2 h. Interestingly, quercetin significantly increased mTOR expression in the presence of LPS compared with the vehicle (Figure 5.4A). As expected, quercetin significantly decreased HSP72 \((P < 0.01\) vs. vehicle) expression in the presence of LPS (Figure 5.4B), whereas there were no significant changes in HSF1 and HSP90 expression levels (Figure 5.4C-D). These data suggest that the reversal of LPS-induced upregulation of mTOR may be dependent on an upregulation of HSP72.
Figure 5.4 Changes in mTOR, HSF1, HSP90 and HSP72 in J774A.1 macrophage cells. Cells (2 × 10^5 cells/well) were pretreated with 1 μM Mtr or 50 μM quercetin for 2 h, and then treated with 3 ng/mL LPS for 2 h. Cell lysates were immunoblotted for (A) mTOR, (B) HSP72, (C) HSF1 and (D) HSP90 and quantified for statistical analysis. Results are expressed as ±SEM and represent at least three independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs. Veh; †P < 0.05, ††P < 0.01 vs. LPS; ns: not significant. Veh: vehicle; Q: quercetin.
5.3.4 Effects of matrine on lipopolysaccharide-induced changes in inflammatory markers

The anti-inflammatory effect of Mtr was previously explored by measuring secretion of TNFα in the cell culture medium. In line with this, the effect of Mtr on CD68 mRNA expression was examined by quantitative RT-PCR and the protein expression levels of MCP-1 and NLRP3 inflammasome were detected by western blotting. As shown in Figure 5.5A-C, LPS at 3 ng/mL significantly increased the levels of these inflammatory markers in cell lysate (all $P < 0.05$ vs. vehicle). As expected, Mtr completely reversed all of these increases induced by LPS. In contrast, treatment with the HSP72 inhibitor quercetin in the presence of LPS did not show any significant reduction in any of these inflammatory markers.
Figure 5.5 Effects of Mtr in LPS-induced inflammatory markers in the lysate of J774A.1 macrophage. Cells were pretreated with 1 μM Mtr or 50 μM quercetin for 2 h, and then treated with 3 ng/mL LPS. Quantitative RT-PCR results were normalised against 18s mRNA for (A) CD68 mRNA expression. Western blotting was analysed with densitometry for (B) MCP-1 and (C) NLRP3. Results are expressed as ±SEM and represent at least three independent experiments. *P < 0.05 vs. Veh; †P < 0.05, ††P < 0.01 vs. LPS. Veh: vehicle; Q: quercetin.
5.3.5 Effects of matrine on lipopolysaccharide-induced fibrogenic markers

The data presented in Chapter 4 showed that Mtr significantly inhibited MCD diet-induced fibrosis in the liver. To determine the potential mechanisms, the effect of Mtr on LPS-induced fibrogenic protein expression in J774A.1 cells was determined. As shown in Figure 5.6A and B, exposure to LPS stimulated the expression of key proteins of the fibrogenic pathway, namely collagen 1 and TGFβ (all \( P < 0.01 \) vs. vehicle). Mtr inhibited the increased expression of these proteins in LPS-activated J774A.1 macrophages (±55% and 56%, respectively) towards levels seen in the vehicle control. In contrast, the HSP72 inhibitor quercetin showed no effect on the increased expression of both proteins induced by LPS (\( P < 0.05 \) vs. vehicle). There were no significant changes in Smad3 and caspase-1 protein expression levels between groups (Figure 5.6C and D) during the treatment with LPS, Mtr or quercetin. These results suggest that Mtr may attenuate LPS-stimulated fibrogenic pathways and that this effect is associated with an upregulation of HSP72 as previously shown.
Figure 5.6 Effects of Mtr on LPS-induced fibrogenic markers in J774A.1 macrophages. Cells were pretreated with 1 μM Mtr or 50 μM quercetin for 2 h, and then treated with 3 ng/mL LPS. Quantitative RT-PCR results were normalised against 18s mRNA for (A) collagen 1 mRNA expression. Western blotting was analysed with densitometry for (B) TGFβ, (C) Smad3 and (D) caspase-1. Results are expressed as ±SEM and represent at least three independent experiments. *P < 0.05 vs. Veh; ††P < 0.01 vs. LPS; ns: not significant. Veh: vehicle; Q: quercetin.
5.4 Discussion

This Chapter investigated the effects of Mtr on LPS-induced activation of the pathways of inflammation and fibrogenesis at the cellular level in J774A.1 macrophages. The results showed that Mtr markedly ameliorated LPS-induced stimulation of cellular inflammatory and fibrotic activities in J774A.1 macrophages. The inhibitory effect of Mtr was associated with downregulation of mTOR and upregulation of HSP72. One consistent pattern of the effect of Mtr to inhibit LPS-induced increases in mTOR and markers of inflammatory and fibrogenic pathways was the upregulation of HSP72.

Macrophages play a major role in both inflammation and fibrosis in NASH. The macrophages present within the liver come from the activation of KCs (resident macrophages within the liver) and circulating monocyte-derived macrophages [261]. Once activated, macrophages produce inflammatory and fibrogenic cytokines to cause liver inflammation and fibrosis [262]. The results from this Chapter show that a low LPS concentration level can activates TNFα production in J774A.1 after 2 h. This is consistent with the report that stimulation of J774A.1 murine macrophages with LPS markedly increases TNFα production [263]. It has been suggested that the presence of LPS in KCs accelerates liver injury and aggravates the progression of NASH [128, 264]. The increase in TNFα production enhances inflammation and fibrogenesis in J774A.1 macrophages. Interestingly, the present study showed that low LPS concentration increased TNFα, but not IL-1β and IL-6, production. This suggests that TNFα is the major inflammatory cytokine observed after LPS stimulation. This is consistent with previous reports showing that Mtr inhibits the release of TNFα induced by KCs activation in cold ischaemia–reperfusion injury [200]. These data together
suggest that the inhibitory effect of Mtr on hepatic inflammation observed in Chapter 4 is likely to be a result, at least in part due to its effects on macrophages including KCs.

Several studies have suggested that upregulation of HSP72 is associated with the inhibition of inflammation [265, 266]. Earlier studies have indicated that upregulation of HSP72 by Mtr or other substances significantly protects against TNFα production, inhibiting inflammation [219, 267, 268]. Consistent with results from Chapters 3 and 4, the anti-TNFα effect of Mtr was associated with upregulation of HSP72 in J774A.1 cells. Given that upregulation of HSP72 might play a role in the anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr, the upregulation of this protein may be a potential cellular mechanism leading to reduction of inflammation and fibrosis. In this Chapter, protection by Mtr was correlated with quercetin, an HSP72 inhibitor, to further investigate the cellular mechanism of the anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr. These data add support to the role of HSP72 in Mtr preventing inflammation. These findings together raise the possibility that Mtr might offer distinct therapeutic effects to manifestations of NASH.

Another potential cellular target for the inhibitory effect of Mtr is mTOR. Inhibition of mTOR in experimental liver fibrosis significantly decreases fibrosis progression [164]. The results in this Chapter showed that Mtr also independently inhibited mTOR protein in J774A.1 macrophages, in agreement with the effect on mTOR in NASH mice. This is also consistent with recent clinical observations that Mtr inhibits mTOR expression in acute myelocytic leukaemia [252]. Further, the effects of Mtr on mTOR were evident even after treatment with the HSP72 blocker quercetin.
Consistent with the increased TNFα production following LPS stimulation, increased inflammatory markers including CD68, MCP-1 and NLRP3 were observed in activated J774A.1 cells. These findings are novel because there are no reports regarding the effect of Mtr on inflammation marker levels in LPS-stimulated macrophages. Further, the anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr could inhibit the inflammatory cytokines, consistent with the previous observations from Chapter 4. Quercetin however increased the expression of these proteins and exacerbated inflammation after stimulation with LPS. Blocking of HSP72 by quercetin can make cells more vulnerable to inflammatory and mTOR activity. Indeed, upregulation of HSP72 by Mtr was accompanied by inhibition of TNFα in LPS-stimulated inflammation. These results suggest that the induction of HSP72 by Mtr may confer protection against LPS-induced inflammation at least in part by inhibiting production of key inflammatory cytokines.

Another protective effect of Mtr is the prevention of the increased expression of fibrogenic pathways induced by MCD diet in mice (Chapter 4). In this Chapter, Mtr inhibited TGFβ and collagen 1–associated LPS-induced stimulation of cellular fibrogenesis, whereas quercetin further increased both cytokines after stimulation with LPS. This is consistent with previous findings that Mtr inhibits TGFβ and prevents liver fibrosis in vitro and in vivo in other animal models [189]. These results provide further support for the idea that inhibition of fibrogenic pathways by Mtr might provide a possible mechanism for its antifibrotic effects.

In summary, the results in this Chapter have revealed the possible mechanism—and promising novel anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic properties—of the beneficial effect of Mtr. Consistent with the previous Chapters, the major target of this mechanism
appears to be the mTOR-HSP72 axis. In addition, treatment with quercetin inhibited HSP72 and increased mTOR, supporting this explanation. It is likely that Mtr confers protection against LPS-induced inflammation and fibrosis at least in part by upregulating HSP72 and inhibiting mTOR. These findings suggest that the anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic effects of Mtr in macrophages are important mechanisms for the therapeutic effects in NASH as discussed in previous Chapters.
Chapter 6 General Discussion
6.1 Introduction

This Chapter will summarise the key findings from Chapters 3, 4 and 5 and discuss the potential implications and limitations of the study. It concludes by providing suggestions for further research based on the novel findings in this thesis.

6.2 Major findings

Chapter 1 reviewed literature reports on the research of NASH and current status of the treatments for NASH. Secondly, it elaborates the rationale for the selection of Mtr as a candidate for the study in this thesis to investigate its effects and mechanisms for the treatment of this disease with distinct properties different from others.

In brief, NAFLD is an umbrella term for metabolic liver disease, with a global increase in prevalence accompanied by increased metabolic diseases such as obesity and T2D. It is the most common cause of chronic liver disease and regarded as a manifestation of the metabolic syndrome in the liver. NAFLD has different stages based on its natural history and the disease pathogenesis. It has been widely recognised that excessive lipid accumulation in the liver causes the first hit. This benign stage can progress to NASH (steatohepatitis) with additional inflammation, cell damage and/or fibrosis in the liver, and may further deteriorate to cirrhosis and liver failure. However, treatment of NAFLD is currently unsatisfactory. One challenge in the study of therapeutics for NASH is the lack of a single animal model that fully reflects the pathological characteristics as presented in humans.
Current drugs used for the treatment of NAFLD are inadequate because of various adverse effects or unreliable effects on inflammation and fibrosis. Indeed, it is important to identify a novel therapeutic for NAFLD, and drug repurposing may be an effective strategy. This approach has been increasingly used in recent years because of existing knowledge of bioavailability and more reliable safety. Using this approach, this thesis selected Mtr as a candidate drug to examine its therapeutic effects for the treatment of NAFLD.

Chapter 3 investigated the potential of Mtr for the treatment of NAFLD in HFru-fed and HFD-STZ models in mice. Mtr treatment was able to attenuate hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis. Chapter 4 examined the therapeutic effects of Mtr in the treatment of hepatic inflammation and fibrosis, two other characteristics of NASH. Chapter 5 examined the therapeutic effects of Mtr on inflammation in a macrophage-like cell line and the cellular mechanism involved. These major findings are summarised in the following three sections.

6.2.1 Reduction of hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis

Chapter 3 evaluated the therapeutic effects of Mtr on hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis in HFru-fed mice. The most important finding from this study is that Mtr was effective for the treatment of hepatosteatosis and associated glucose intolerance. Several mechanisms for the antisteatotic effects of Mtr were examined. The results suggested that Mtr reduced TG accumulation in the liver, but not in muscle. This indicates that the liver is a major site for the action of Mtr and that
improved glucose tolerance is attributable to a reduction in hepatosteatosis. Further studies suggested that inhibition of hepatic DNL is likely the underlying mechanism responsible for the antisteatotic effect of Mtr in mice fed with HFru diet. Moreover, Mtr inhibited ER stress, which was associated with increased DNL, and upregulated hepatic protein expression of HSP72. Importantly, Mtr displayed a distinct mechanism in reducing hepatosteatosis associated with glucose intolerance via upregulation of HSP72. This Chapter further examined the efficacy of Mtr in hepatosteatosis-associated hyperglycaemia in a mouse model of T2D induced by HFD-STZ. The anti-hyperglycaemic effects of Mtr observed in this study were also found closely associated with a reduction in hepatosteatosis (Figure 6.1).
Figure 6.1 Mtr ameliorated of hepatosteatosis and associated hyperglycaemia. (A) Excess carbohydrate causes increased hepatic ER stress-DNL pathways through downregulation of HSP72. (B) Mtr exerts its effects through upregulation of HSP72, preventing hepatic ER stress-DNL pathways and associated hyperglycaemia.
In summary, Mtr treatment improved hepatosteatosis and glucose tolerance in both models, suggesting that the liver is the major target of Mtr because lipid and glucose is mainly metabolised in the liver. Upregulation of HSP72 by Mtr may suppress ER stress to inhibit DNL, and therefore hepatosteatosis, hyperglycaemia and NAFLD. The findings of upregulation of HSP72 from our present study demonstrate, for the first time, a plausible mechanism for the antisteatotic therapeutic effect of Mtr on hepatosteatosis and hyperglycaemia in mouse models of HFrU feeding.

6.2.2 Amelioration of hepatic inflammation and fibrosis

The third study (Chapter 4) investigated the hepatoprotective effect including anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic effects in an MCD diet-induced mouse model of NASH. The first important finding of this study is that Mtr was able to inhibit inflammation without affecting caloric intake in MCD mice. However, Mtr treatment failed to reduce hepatosteatosis in MCD-fed mice as it does in HFD, HFrU diet and T2D mice models. Because MCD diet-induced hepatosteatosis is due to the disruption of TG export, this finding suggests that Mtr does not promote TG export.

The second important finding is that Mtr exerted its antifibrotic effects at the transition stage of NASH without fibrosis to severe NASH-related fibrosis. The anti-inflammatory effect of Mtr in MCD diet-induced NASH was evidenced by marked decreases in the activation of TNFα secretion and CD68 and NLRP3 expression. This mouse model displayed mild fibrosis in the liver because of a short period of MCD diet feeding. In this short-term MCD model, Mtr reduced TGFβ and Smad3 expression and inhibited fibrosis. In addition, protein expression levels of TGFβ and caspase-1 (which
play a role in fibrosis), and Smad3 and collagen type 1 (which are associated with collagen deposition), were decreased. Overall, Mtr demonstrated therapeutic effects for the inhibition of inflammation and fibrosis in MCD diet-fed mice. Again, the anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic effects of Mtr were accompanied by upregulation of HSP72 and downregulation of mTOR pathways (Figure 6.2).

**Figure 6.2 Mtr dysregulated of HSP72 and mTOR expression.** (A) Hepatic inflammation and fibrosis are the major phenotypes of NASH. (B) Mtr exerts its effects through upregulation of HSP72 and downregulation of mTOR, preventing hepatic inflammation and fibrosis, and therefore protecting against the development of NASH.
6.2.3 Cellular mechanism involved in the effects of matrine

Chapter 5 investigated the mechanism of the anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic effects in macrophages, a key cell type responsible for NASH, using a well characterised murine macrophage cell line. The findings obtained in this Chapter were similar to the markers measured in Chapter 4, as a further indication of the anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr at the cellular level. The major inflammation and fibrosis markers activated by LPS in J774A.1 macrophages were inhibited by Mtr despite the low concentration of Mtr used. Notably, Mtr markedly inhibited LPS-induced increases in mTOR in J774A.1, suggesting that the therapeutic effect of Mtr may involve the inhibition of mTOR-related autophagy and inflammation in NASH, similar to the results in the MCD diet model reported in this thesis.

Overall, this thesis addressed the specific aims based on the gaps in knowledge identified by careful evaluation of the literature in Chapter 1. The relationship of the concluded studies to the specific aims are summarised in Figure 6.3.
Mtr acts as a promising anti-steatotic drug by blocking the ER stress-DNL axis required for the development of hepatosteatosis. Many of the markers in this pathway, such as eIF2α, CHOP, IRE1, SREBP1c, ChREBP, SCD1 and FAS, are downregulated after Mtr treatment. Moreover, Mtr improves hyperglycaemia associated with hepatosteatosis, and attenuates hepatic inflammation and fibrosis by inhibiting inflammatory and fibrogenic pathways in NASH. The anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic effects are evidenced by blocking TNFα, NLRP3, CD68, TGFβ and collagen 1 expression. These anti-NASH effects involve upregulation of HSP72 as well as reduction in the mTOR axis. **Figure 6.4** illustrates the proposed mechanism linking the above-mentioned effects to Mtr’s therapeutic properties in NAFLD and associated disorders.
Figure 6.4 Schematic illustration of the proposed mechanism for Mtr’s therapeutic effects. Mtr achieves these effects through ameliorating ER stress, DNL, and inflammatory and fibrotic signalling cascades via hepatic HSP72 upregulation and mTOR reduction. These findings suggest that Mtr may be repurposed for the treatment of NAFLD and associated diseases such as hyperglycaemia and NASH. Solid lines indicate hypothesised mechanistic links; dotted lines indicate pathways requiring future studies.
6.3 Limitations and future studies

To achieve the ultimate goal of repurposing Mtr for the treatment of NAFLD/NASH, further studies are needed in three general aspects.

6.3.1 Long-term safety for the new usage

The results in Chapter 3 showed that the antisteatotic effects of Mtr are due to decreased DNL and associated disorders in glucose metabolism. First, further evaluation of the long-term safety at the dose designed for this new therapeutic application is required. This is important because the reported safety of Mtr has not been evaluated for the metabolic condition described in this thesis. Treatments for metabolic conditions including NAFLD/NASH require long-term administration.

6.3.2 Clinical trials for the new usage

Second, additional studies are needed to fully characterise the therapeutic effects of Mtr to provide more comprehensive evidence to guide the clinical trials for its new application. According to the basic science data from this Chapter, Mtr is able to target the major components of NAFLD pathogenesis. Randomised controlled trials are the most appropriate study design to confirm the effectiveness of Mtr treatment in humans with NAFLD/NASH.
6.3.3 Precise mechanism for the molecular mode of action

6.3.3.1 Anti-hepatosteatosis

Third, the molecular mode of action and pathways involved require more detailed studies. The specific proposals for the second and third aspects are suggested below. Mtr showed a reduction in lipid accumulation in HFru and HFD-STZ mice. However, signs of hepatosteatosis were not assessed by morphological studies. One feasible approach for the assessment of lipid droplets is the use of oil red O staining [269, 270]. In future studies, histological liver sections should be performed to further assess hepatosteatosis in these nutritional models.

In addition, the mechanisms underlying the effect of Mtr on hepatosteatosis need further study. As reviewed in Chapter 3, dietary fructose has been shown to have a special tendency to induce NAFLD dependent on the activation of the ER stress pathway [44]. ER stress may be a novel mode of action for the inhibitory effect of Mtr on hepatosteatosis. Experiments using TUDCA, a classic inhibitor of ER stress, in HFru mice treated with Mtr are suggested. This will help to determine whether the amelioration of HFru-induced hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis by Mtr is due to decreased ER stress. Measuring ER stress markers including eIF2α, CHOP, IRE1 and XBP1 will help to confirm the role of ER stress in Mtr’s inhibitory effects. A similar animal study may be conducted with HSP72 knockout mice to confirm the role of HSP72 in this model. The HSP72 knockdown approach may be used to provide definitive evidence to prove the hypothesised role of HSP72 in Mtr’s antisteatotic effects.
6.3.3.2 Anti-inflammation

The data from Chapter 4 suggested that the anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr may be related to upregulation of hepatic HSP72 as a likely mechanism. Another possible mechanism is the inhibition of hepatic mTOR, which was downregulated in Mtr-treated MCD diet-fed mice—this will be discussed below. Using an animal model with HSP72 knockdown or knockout may provide definitive evidence to prove the hypothesised role of HSP72 in Mtr’s anti-inflammatory effects. Importantly, mice with muscle-specific transgenic overexpression of HSP72 are protected against high fat-induced obesity and metabolic disorders [196]. Future studies are needed to examine the anti-inflammatory effect of Mtr by using an HSP72 knockout model. Further, the only mouse model in which mTOR is genetically altered is the ‘flat-top’ mutant, where incomplete and complete deletions cause failure of mTOR inhibition and shorter lifespan of mice, respectively [271].

Current data in this Chapter showed that Mtr can significantly inhibit the activation of inflammatory cytokines. This inhibition was proposed to be associated with HSP72-mTOR pathways in the liver. However, NASH features were not assessed by histological abnormalities of steatohepatitis in the liver. In future studies, the NASH activity scores of hepatic steatosis, inflammation, hepatocyte ballooning and fibrosis should be performed to further assess Mtr’s efficiency for the treatment of NASH in this nutritional model [10]. This can be achieved by staining liver sections with H&E to examine macrovesicular and microvesicular steatosis, admixtures of inflammatory cells, collagen fibres and hepatocyte ballooning—features that are often observed in NASH [272].
6.3.3.3 Anti-cell injury

Hepatic cell injury has been proposed as another component of NASH features [6]. Therefore, further studies may be performed to examine the anti-cell injury of Mtr by using cell lines such as HepG2 cells, which mimic hepatocytes. First, hepatic injury may be assessed by measuring plasma ALT, a standard indication of liver injury. Hepatocyte ballooning is another indication of cell injury and a key feature required for the diagnosis of NASH. With H&E staining and microscopic examination, hepatocyte ballooning is seen as hepatocytes with fatty changes and groups of ballooned hepatocytes with enlarged, rounded, rarefied cytoplasm, some of which contain small Mallory-Denk bodies. Mtr’s effects on hepatocyte ballooning can be quantified by histological grading and staging systems of NASH [10].

6.3.3.4 Anti-fibrosis

In light of these antisteatotic, anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic effects of Mtr, it may be worthwhile to investigate the cellular mechanism underlying the antifibrotic effects of Mtr in cell lines representative of HSCs. The antifibrotic effects of Mtr may be further studied using an appropriate cell line. For example, human HSCs (LX-2) can be pretreated with Mtr, and then exposed to a potent fibrotic inducer [273, 274]. Quantitative RT-PCR and western blotting can be used to measure mRNA and protein levels of fibrogenic genes.
6.4 Final conclusion and potential significance

Overall, the studies in this thesis have laid a solid base for further investigation of the cellular mechanism of the therapeutic effects of Mtr attributed to its effects on HSP72-mTOR pathways for the treatment of NASH and associated metabolic disorders. The results will serve the development of new treatments for NAFLD.

The findings from this thesis have significant implications for evaluating the therapeutic effects of Mtr in the treatment of NAFLD/NASH. The novelty of Mtr is of potential great significance for the treatment of patients suffering NAFLD.
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Appendix
Repurposing matrine for the treatment of hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis in mice

Ali Mazhari1, Xiao-Yi Zeng1, Xiu Zhou1, Songpei Li1, Jun Xu2, Wen Tan3, Ross Vlahos1, Stephen Robinson1 and Ji-Ming YE1

The present study investigated the efficacy of the hepatoprotective drug matrine (Mtr) for its new application for hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis. The study was performed in two nutritional models of hepatosteatosis in mice with various abnormal glucose homeostasis: (1) high-fructose diet (HFru) induced hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance from hepatic, and (2) hepatosteatosis and hyperglycemia induced by high-fat (HF) diet in combination with low doses of streptozotocin (STZ). Administration of Mtr (100 mg/kg every day in diet for 4 weeks) abolished HFru-induced hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance. These effects were associated with the inhibition of HFru-stimulated de novo lipogenesis (DNL) without altering hepatic fatty acid oxidation. Further investigation revealed that HFru-induced endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress was inhibited, whereas heat-shock protein 72 (an inducible chaperon protein) was increased by Mtr. In a type 2 diabetic model induced by HF-STZ, Mtr reduced hepatosteatosis and improved attenuated hyperglycemia. The hepatoprotective drug Mtr may be repurposed for the treatment of hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis. The inhibition of ER stress associated DNL and fatty acid influx appears to play an important role in these metabolic effects.
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INTRODUCTION

The liver plays an important role in regulating whole-body lipid metabolism and glucose homeostasis. Excess accumulation of lipids (namely hepatosteatosis), either from endogenous de novo lipogenesis (DNL) and/or influx of exogenous fatty acids (FA), can disturb glucose homeostasis, increasing the risk of type 2 diabetes (T2D) [1, 2]. Although the degree of hepatosteatosis and T2D do not necessarily tightly coupled [3], inhibition of excess hepatic DNL has been shown to ameliorate hepatosteatosis and associated glucose intolerance [4]. Shulman and colleagues have also demonstrated that correction of hepatosteatosis in patients with T2D is important for hyperglycemia control [5]. In search for a new therapeutic for the treatment of hepatic steatosis from DNL, we have taken the approach of repurposing existing drugs for these conditions [6, 7].

Matrine (Mtr) is a small molecule ($M_w$: 248) found in Sophora and it is structurally different from the drugs currently used to treat T2D [8–10]. Mtr has been used clinically as a hepatoprotective drug for the treatment of tumors and viral hepatitis [8], where DNL is often increased [11]. A recent study from our laboratory found that Mtr is able to reduce hepatosteatosis, fasting blood glucose and glucose intolerance in high fat (HF)-fed mice [9]. However, the HF model does not exhibit the characteristics of DNL-induced hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance because the accumulation of triglyceride (TG) in the liver is due to a direct influx of lipids into the liver from the HF diet [12, 13].

The liver is a major site of DNL production from carbohydrates [12, 14] and interestingly the inhibition of hepatic DNL reduces hepatosteatosis and hyperglycemia [4, 15]. It has been suggested that an increase in DNL is the second major source of lipid accumulation in the liver and contributes about 26% of patients with hepatosteatosis [16]. In mice, a high-fructose (HFru) diet induces hepatosteatosis as early as 1 day [17] prior to the development of glucose intolerance [12, 18, 19]. Dietary fructose is almost entirely metabolised in the liver in its first pass, and serves mainly as a substrate for DNL in both animals [13, 17, 20] and humans [19, 21–23]. HFru diets increase the expression of lipogenic transcription factors, sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP1c) and carbohydrate response element binding protein (ChREBP), which upregulate lipogenic genes. Upregulation of these lipogenic transcription factors can result in hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance via promoting DNL [24, 25]. Notably, HFru-stimulated hepatic DNL via SREBP1c is dependent on the activation of the ER stress pathway [12, 26].

The primary aim of the present study was to investigate whether the hepatoprotective drug Mtr can limit the hepatosteatosis and the associated glucose intolerance that usually results from increased DNL in HFru-fed mice. If so, the second aim was to investigate whether the action of Mtr is via the ER stress pathway. Finally, we also evaluated whether Mtr assists glycemic control in a T2D mouse model generated by a combination of HF and streptozotocin (STZ) where an increased DNL via SREBP1c is also involved [27, 28].
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and diets

C57BL/6J mice aged 10–12 weeks and weighing 21–24 g were obtained from the Animal Resource Centre Pty. Ltd. (Perth, Australia). The animals were housed in a temperature-controlled room (22 ± 1 °C) on a 12-h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. Mice were fed ad libitum for 1 week on a normal chow diet (~70% calories from starch, ~10% calories from fat, and ~20% calories from protein; Gordon’s Specialty Stock Feeds, Yanderra, Australia). Mtr (≥ 98% by HPLC) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The experiments described in this manuscript were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of RMIT University (Application ID: 1012) and conducted in compliance with the guidelines of the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia for Animal Experimentation.

Two sets of animal experiments were performed. In the first set of experiments, mice were fed a HFru diet (35% fructose, 35% starch, ~10% fat and ~20% protein) to generate hepatosteatosis. Mice were fed for 8 weeks with or without Mtr at a dose of 100 mg/kg per day as a food additive in the last 4 weeks as described previously [9, 10]. Body weight gain and food intake were measured twice a week. For blood glucose levels, blood samples were collected from the tail tip and measured using a glucometer (AccuCheck II; Roche, New South Wales, Castle Hill, Australia) after 2 weeks of Mtr treatment. In the second set of experiments, the effects of Mtr on hepatosteatosis and hyperglycemia were examined in a T2D model induced by HF feeding in combination with low doses of STZ as previously reported (28–30).

Briefly, mice were fed a HF diet (45% calorie from fructose, 20% calories from protein and 35% calories from carbohydrate) for 14 weeks to induce insulin resistance. After 8 weeks of HF feeding, mice were injected with STZ at a low dose (40 mg/kg per day, ip) for 5 consecutive days to reduce the level of plasma insulin by ~50% [28–30]. One week after the last injection of STZ, fasting blood glucose was usually increased by 50%–100% (hyperglycemia, defined as T2D). The T2D mice were then divided into 2 groups: one group receiving Mtr added in the HF diet (100 mg/kg per day) for 4 weeks (T2D-Mtr) whereas the other group was fed HF alone (T2D-Con) for the same period of time. During the period of Mtr treatment, fasting blood glucose was monitored once a week. A normal control group of mice (CH-Con) was included for the same period. At the end of both sets of experiments, mice were killed by cervical dislocation and liver was collected and freeze-clamped immediately. As expected, HFru feeding moderately increased the mass of epididymal fat (by 40%, P < 0.01) without altering body weight or food intake (P > 0.05; Fig. 1a–c). The TG content (indicative of hepatosteatosis) was increased dramatically in the liver (by threefold) but only moderately in muscle (~35%) (both P < 0.01; Fig. 1d). As shown in Fig. 1e, HFru-fed mice also showed moderate glucose intolerance.

Administration of Mtr prevented the moderate body weight gain (8%–10%) in HFru-fed mice during this period of time (Fig. 1a). It corrected HFru-induced increases in epididymal fat, liver TG content and glucose intolerance (all, P < 0.01 vs untreated HFru-fed mice) to the levels similar to CH-fed normal mice (Fig. 1b–e). Although not significantly reduced, muscle TG content in Mtr-treated HFru-fed mice was no longer different from the value of CH-fed normal mice (Fig. 1d).

RESULTS

Effects on body weight, adiposity, hepatosteatosis and glucose tolerance in HFru-fed mice

HFru feeding is a well-defined model of hepatosteatosis, visceral adiposity and glucose intolerance resulting from increased DNL in the liver [12]. As expected, HFru feeding moderately increased the mass of epididymal fat (by 40%, P < 0.01) without altering body weight or food intake (P > 0.05; Fig. 1a–c). The TG content (indicative of hepatosteatosis) was increased dramatically in the liver (by threefold) but only moderately in muscle (~35%) (both P < 0.01; Fig. 1d). As shown in Fig. 1e, HFru-fed mice also showed moderate glucose intolerance.

Administration of Mtr prevented the moderate body weight gain (8%–10%) in HFru-fed mice during this period of time (Fig. 1a). It corrected HFru-induced increases in epididymal fat, liver TG content and glucose intolerance (all, P < 0.01 vs untreated HFru-fed mice) to the levels similar to CH-fed normal mice (Fig. 1b–e). Although not significantly reduced, muscle TG content in Mtr-treated HFru-fed mice was no longer different from the value of CH-fed normal mice (Fig. 1d).

Effects on FA oxidation and DNL in the liver of HFru-fed mice

We first examined whether Mtr treatment may promote FA oxidation in the liver of HFru-fed mice. As shown in Fig. 2a, palmitate oxidation by the liver homogenates was not affected by the treatment with Mtr, suggesting that the reduced hepatosteatosis by Mtr is not likely to be due to an increased FA oxidation in the liver. We next examined the DNL pathway because HFru-induced hepatosteatosis is believed to result from the stimulation to this pathway in the liver [13, 17, 20]. As expected, HFru-fed mice exhibited dramatic increases in DNL proteins in the liver (Fig. 2b–e), including SREBP-1c (by 2-fold), ChREBP (by 33%) ACC (by 3-fold), FAS (by 4-fold) and SCD-1 (by 4-fold; all P < 0.05). Interestingly, these lipogenic proteins except for ACC were significantly reduced by the treatment with Mtr, including SREBP-1c (by 45%, P < 0.01), ChREBP (by 33%, P < 0.05), SCD-1 (by 32%, P < 0.01) and FAS (by 24%, P < 0.05). These results suggest that the reduced TG content in the liver by Mtr can be attributed to its inhibitory effect on HFru-induced increase in hepatic DNL.
Effects on ER stress and HSP72 in the liver of HFru-fed mice
By promoting DNL in the liver, the activation of ER stress represents a key step in the pathogenesis of hepatosteatosis [12, 31, 32]. As shown in Fig. 3a, HFru-fed mice exhibited marked increases of the mature form of eIF2α (by 2-fold), CHOP (by 2.3-fold) and IRE1 (by 2-fold) along with the upregulation of the DNL pathway in the liver. Treatment with Mtr markedly reduced the protein levels of these hepatic ER markers towards the levels seen in CH fed mice. These results indicate that Mtr induced-suppression of ER markers may be associated with the improvement in lipogenesis, which could account for its beneficial effects on hepatosteatosis.

Recent studies indicate that HSP72 is likely to mediate the effect of Mtr on hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance [9, 33, 34]. As shown in Fig. 3b, there was ~50% suppression of HSP72 (P < 0.05 vs CH fed mice) in the liver of HFru-fed mice and this reduction was reversed following treatment with Mtr (P < 0.05 vs untreated HFru-fed mice).

effects on hyperglycemia in T2D mice
To investigate the relationship of the effect on hepatosteatosis with glycemic control, we examined the metabolic effects of Mtr in T2D mice generated by a HF diet in combination with low doses of STZ [9, 27, 28]. The body weight was reduced in HF-STZ induced T2D mice (by ~15%) and treatment with Mtr had no effect on the body weight (Fig. 4a), while visceral adiposity remained unchanged in T2D-Con mice compared to CH-fed mice Mtr significantly reduced epididymal fat in T2D mice (P < 0.05, Fig. 4b). T2D-Con mice displayed typical fasting hyperglycemia and Mtr treatment significantly reduced the degree of the hyperglycemia over the period of 4 weeks (by 20%–30%, Fig. 4c). As expected, HF-STZ-induced T2D showed severe glucose intolerance but this was not attenuated by the treatment with Mtr (Fig. 4b).

effect on TG levels in T2D mice
Recent studies indicate that hepatosteatosis can contribute to hyperglycemia and hepatic insulin resistance [12, 35]. To determine whether the reduced hepatosteatosis by resulting from Mtr treatment is associated with the control of hyperglycemia in T2D mice, we measured the TG content in the liver. As shown in Fig. 5a, b, T2D mice exhibited hypertriglyceridemia and hepatosteatosis, however Mtr significantly reduced these conditions (P < 0.05). Together, these results clearly indicate that Mtr reduces the T2D-induced hepatosteatosis that is associated with hyperglycemia and this could account for its beneficial effects on the regulation of lipid metabolism.

DISCUSSION
The present study investigated whether the hepatoprotective drug Mtr can treat the hepatosteatosis and associated glucose intolerance in HFru-fed mice resulting from increased DNL. Consistent with our previous studies [13, 17, 36], HFru-fed mice developed hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance by promoting ER stress associated DNL. Treatment of these mice with Mtr ameliorated hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance. Within the liver, Mtr decreased the protein expression of DNL enzymes concomitant with reduced ER stress. We further examined the effects of Mtr on hepatosteatosis in relation to glycemic control in T2D mice, which display a phenotype of hyperglycemia and hepatosteatosis associated with increased DNL [27, 28]. The results showed that Mtr treatment reduced hepatosteatosis and improved hyperglycemia. Collectively, these findings suggest that Mtr has the potential to be repurposed for the treatment of hepatosteatosis resulting from increased DNL and associated disorders in glucose metabolism.
Overconsumption of dietary fructose can lead to DNL and hepatosteatosis [12, 37], which in turn can result in glucose intolerance and contribute to hyperglycemia [19, 38]. Therefore, correction of hepatosteatosis is beneficial for improving glucose homeostasis in metabolic syndrome. For example, in obese patients with T2D, a reversal of hepatosteatosis can improve hepatic insulin action and glycemic control [5]. We have investigated drugs that have previously been used for the treatment of liver conditions, in order to determine whether they can be repurposed to treat hepatosteatosis [7]. One such candidate we have identified by this approach is Mtr because liver has been shown to be the major target site of Mtr [39, 40]. Indeed, our recent work has demonstrated that Mtr is able to attenuate the increased fasting blood glucose and improve glucose tolerance in insulin resistant mice induced by a HF diet [9]. The same study found that these anti-diabetic effects of Mtr appears to result from its effect in reducing hepatosteatosis without affecting HF diet induced lipid accumulation in muscle.

Mtr is clinically used for treatment of chronic liver conditions including hepatocellular carcinoma and viral hepatitis with minimal adverse effects [7–9]. Interestingly, both hepatocellular carcinoma and viral hepatitis are associated with an increase in DNL [10, 41, 42]. Indeed, our studies in 3T3L1 adipocytes have found that Mtr can reduce DNL and lipid accumulation within the cells [43]. Although our recent studies have demonstrated that Mtr is able to reduce hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance in mice that have been fed a HF diet [9], the source of hepatosteatosis in this mouse model is from the exogenous FA due to the intake of dietary fat rather than endogenous FA from an increased DNL. Therefore, it is not clear yet whether Mtr is effective for metabolic disorders by that involve an increased hepatic DNL [12].

Several studies have demonstrated that DNL enzymes are over-expressed during the development of hepatosteatosis [24, 44]. HFrufed mice are a well-defined animal model of DNL-induced hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance [12, 13], and DNL-induced hepatosteatosis can be observed as early as 1 day after HFrufeeding [36]. Indeed, the present study showed that chronic HFrufeeding resulted in hepatosteatosis (increased TG level) by promoting DNL (indicated by SREBP1c, ChREBP, acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) and fatty acid synthase (FAS) and stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1 (SCD-1)) without affecting FA oxidation, as indicated by unchanged level of [14C]-palmitate. However, reduced hepatic FA oxidation and mitochondrial enzyme activity has been demonstrated to occur prior to the appearance of hepatosteatosis, it has been shown that DNL is a primary cause of the development of hepatosteatosis [12, 45]. As expected, treatment with Mtr significantly reduced steatosis in the liver (not muscle because high distribution of Mtr in the liver after and oral administration [40]) and the associated glucose intolerance in these mice. We then examined the key lipogenic enzymes and found that SREBP1c, ChREBP, SCD-1 and FAS in the liver were all reduced in HFrufed mice treated with Mtr. These results suggest that Mtr is likely to reduce hepatosteatosis via inhibition of the DNL pathway.

As an increase in FA oxidation can also attenuate hepatosteatosis [46], we next investigated whether the reduction of hepatosteatosis caused by Mtr in HFrufed mice results from an increase in liver FA oxidation. However, Mtr did not increase the oxidation of [14C]-palmitate in the liver, indicating FA oxidation pathway was not activated in HFrufed mice. This finding adds further support to our interpretation that Mtr reduces hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance in HFrufed mice by inhibiting DNL rather than by stimulating FA oxidation in the liver.

It has been shown that the ER stress pathway plays a critical role in HFrufed-induced DNL and hepatosteatosis [32, 36]. For example, an ob/ob mice hepatosteatosis is largely due to increased DNL as a result of hyperphagia in an ER stress-dependent manner [47]. The same study also showed that alleviation of hepatic ER stress by overexpression of GRP78 reduces hepatosteatosis and insulin resistance by inhibiting DNL. Similarly, in HFrufed mice, inhibition of ER stress by TUDCA and Betulin suppress DNL and improve
insulin signaling in the liver \cite{13, 17, 20}. To investigate whether the inhibition of hepatic DNL by Mtr involves the ER stress pathway, we examined the major ER stress markers in response to HFru-induced DNL. Interestingly, the results showed that HFru-induced ER stress (indicated by eIF2\(\alpha\), CHOP and IRE1) were all inhibited by Mtr. These findings suggest that attenuation of ER stress may be a novel mode of action for the inhibitory effect of Mtr on DNL and the resultant hepatosteatosis.

**Fig. 3** Effects on ER stress and HSP72 in the liver of HFru-fed mice. Liver lysates from mice were immunoblotted for (a) eIF2\(\alpha\), CHOP and IRE1 and (b) HSP72 and quantified for statistical analysis. *\(P < 0.05\), **\(P < 0.01\) versus CH-Con; #\(P < 0.05\), ##\(P < 0.01\) versus HFru-Con, \(n = 7–8\) mice per group.

**Fig. 4** Effects of Mtr on body weight, visceral adiposity, blood glucose and glucose tolerance in T2D mice. T2D was generated by a high-fat (HF) diet plus low-dose of STZ injections. After the development of hyperglycemia, Mtr (100 mg/kg per day in diet) was administered to diabetic mice for 4 weeks. Body weight at the end of the study (a). Epididymal (Epi) fat weight (b). Blood glucose levels (after 5–7 h of fasting) (c) were monitored once a week. An ipGTT (1.0 g glucose/g body weight) was performed after 2 weeks of treatment with Mtr (d). **\(P < 0.01\) vs CH-Con; ##\(P < 0.01\) vs T2D-Con (\(n = 7–8\) mice/group).
and associated abnormal glucose homeostasis. This study is the hepatoprotective drug Mtr for the treatment of hepatosteatosis attributed to its effect in reducing hepatosteatosis. mouse model of T2D induced by HF-STZ [27, 28]. Our results we explored whether Mtr is able to reduce hepatosteatosis in a ER stress to protect cell survival [50]. Collectively, our been reported that an enhanced expression of HSP72 can inhibit diabetes such as HSP72 to reduce hepatosteatosis and the associated glucose intolerance. HSP72 protein, and this reduction was prevented by Mtr treatment. HSPs have been implicated in the regulation of diverse metabolic disorders including hepatosteatosis (the major metabolic defect of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease) and insulin resistance (the major metabolic defect of T2D) [33, 48, 49]. It has been reported that an enhanced expression of HSP72 can inhibit ER stress to protect cell survival [50]. Collectively, our findings suggest that Mtr may inhibit the ER-DNL axis by up-regulating HSP72 to reduce hepatosteatosis and the associated glucose intolerance.

Hepatic DNL and hepatosteatosis also occur in transgenic diabetic mice such as db/db [24]. Therefore, in the present study we explored whether Mtr is able to reduce hepatosteatosis in a mouse model of T2D induced by HF-STZ [27, 28]. Our results showed that Mtr reduced epididymal fat and lowered hyperglycemia, indicating that Mtr may have the potential to control hyperglycemia in T2D. Because Mtr showed no effect on normal fasting blood glucose, glucose tolerance or liver TG content in Chow-fed mice [9], the anti-diabetic effects of Mtr could be attributed to its effect in reducing hepatosteatosis.

In summary, we report here a potential novel application of the hepatoprotective drug Mtr for the treatment of hepatosteatosis and associated abnormal glucose homeostasis. This study is the first to evaluate the effect of Mtr on hepatosteatosis induced by the ER stress-DNL signaling pathway in HFrU-fed mice. As suppression of ER stress can reduce hepatosteatosis by inhibiting DNL [16, 36], it is likely that Mtr may exert these beneficial effects by suppressing ER stress-induced increase in hepatic DNL. We speculate that the upregulation of the chaperon protein HSP72 may play a critical role in suppressing ER stress (as illustrated in Fig. 6) but this hypothesis requires validation by further studies using HSP72 knock-down animal model. Together with our recent findings in HF-fed mice [9], our results suggest that Mtr may be repurposed for the treatment of hepatosteatosis and associated disorders in glucose homeostasis including T2D.
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Abstract
Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is a severe stage of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) characterized by steatosis, liver damage and inflammation with or without fibrosis. The present study investigated the effects of matrine (Mtr) on a methionine choline-deficient (MCD) diet-induced NASH. C57B/6J mice were fed a MCD diet for 6 weeks to induce NASH with or without the treatment of Mtr or metformin as a comparator. The results showed that Mtr treatment suppressed plasma ALT, TNFα, and hepatic fibrosis markers (TGFβ and Smad3) induced by MCD diet. Along with these effects, Mtr reversed the reduced level of HSP72 induced by MCD diet, suggesting a likely role of HSP72 in coordinating the therapeutic effects of Mtr for NASH. Metformin did not improve inflammation and had no effect on collagen 1 and caspase-1. Notably, Mtr treatment simultaneously decreased mTOR which is frequently activated in NASH. These findings suggest Mtr may be able to upregulate HSP72 and inhibit mTOR against NASH induced by MCD diet in a mechanism different from metformin.
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Matrine alleviates MCD-induced steatohepatitis associated with induction of heat shock proteins and inhibition of mTOR differently from metformin
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Abstract

*Ethnopharmacological relevance:* Matrine has been isolated from *Sophora flavescens*, and used as a prescribed for treatment of inflammation, cancer, and liver diseases.

*Aim of this study:* The present study investigated the effects of matrine (Mtr) on a methionine choline-deficient (MCD) diet-induced NASH.

*Materials and methods:* C57B/6J mice were fed a MCD diet for 6 weeks to induce NASH with or without the treatment of Mtr or metformin as a comparator.

*Results:* The results showed that Mtr treatment suppressed plasma ALT, TNFα, and hepatic fibrosis markers (TGFβ and Smad3) induced by MCD diet. Along with these effects, Mtr reversed the reduced level of HSP72 induced by MCD diet, suggesting a likely role of HSP72 in coordinating the therapeutic effects of Mtr for NASH. Metformin did not improve inflammation and had no effect on collagen 1 and caspase-1. Notably, Mtr treatment simultaneously decreased mTOR which is frequently activated in NASH.

*Conclusions:* These findings suggest Mtr may be able to upregulate HSP72 and inhibit mTOR against NASH induced by MCD diet in a mechanism different from metformin.

*Key words:* Matrine; NASH; inflammation; fibrosis; HSP72; mTOR; methionine choline-deficient diet
1. Introduction

Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is a severe condition of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), the most common chronic liver disease. It is characterized with liver steatosis, damage, inflammation and variable degrees of fibrosis by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASL) [1]. Although the exact mechanism(s) that mediate the transition from steatosis to steatohepatitis remain unknown, hepatic inflammation is believed to play a key role in NASH pathogenesis [2]. Furthermore, it may induce hepatic fibrosis that aggravates the progression of this disease [1]. Untreated NASH with advanced fibrosis may increase the incidence rate of liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma and liver transplantation [1]. Therefore, the major treatment of NAFLD has been focused on NASH because effective control of NASH can prevent or delay the progression to these conditions.

To date, there is still no approved drug specifically for NASH [1].

Matrine (Mtr) is a small molecule (MW: 248), a natural product derived from a plant named Sophora Flavescens (Kushen alkaloids) [3]. The active compound Mtr has been widely prescribed as a hepatoprotective drug in China with very minimum adverse effects [4]. In addition, Mtr has several well-recognized pharmacological effects targeting the liver including anti-inflammatory, antitumor and anti-viral activities [3, 4]. One of the well-characterized anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr is inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokines TNFα, the golden key in the pathogenesis of NASH [5]. Moreover, Mtr treatment significantly inhibits inflammation upon challenge with LPS in vivo and in vitro and liver damage in reperfusion injury of rat liver [6]. Our previous study reported the therapeutic effects of Mtr on hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance induced by a high-fat diet in mice, with a mechanism different from metformin [7]. Given the close relationship between
metabolic syndrome and NAFLD, we are going to further investigate the effect of Mtr contained the active compound that suppress NASH with a focus on hepatic damage, inflammation and fibrosis, which are three hallmarks of NASH [1].
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animal care, diets and experimental design

Male C57BL/6J mice (10-week old) were purchased from the Animal Resources Centre (Perth, Australia) and acclimatized in the animal facility at RMIT University with a standard chow diet (CH diet, Specialty Feeds, Australia) for at least one week. Mice were assigned to four groups randomly: 1) fed ad libitum with a standard chow diet (CH-Con), 2) MCD alone (MCD-Con), 3) MCD treated with matrine (MCD-Mtr; Mtr: 100 mg/kg/day), and 4) MCD treated with metformin (MCD-Met; Met: 250 mg/kg/day) as a food additive for 6 weeks. Body weight and food intake were monitored daily throughout the experiment. 5-7 hours after food removal, tail vein blood was collected for glucose measurement using a glucometer (AccuCheck II; Roche, Australia). At the end of the study, plasma was also collected from the tail vein and stored at -80°C for biochemical test. A ketamine/xylazine mixture (up to 100 mg/kg body weight ketamine and 20 mg/kg body weight xylazine) is administered via intraperitoneal injection. Mice fixation was performed via transcardial perfusion with heparinized PBS (10-20 ml/mice) then perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; 10-20 ml/mice, ProsciTech; # C007). At the completion of the paraformaldehyde perfusion, liver right lobe was dissected and immersed in 4% PFA-filled glass scintillation vials for further experiments. All experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of RMIT University (#1415) in accordance with the guidelines of the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.
2.2. Preparation of Matrine

Mtr (Purity > 99.5%) was a gift from Professor Li-Hong Hu from the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica; metformin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The powders were stored at -20 °C then weighted and carefully mixed with diet at a dose of 100 mg/kg every day. **Fig. 1** shows the molecular structure of matrine.

![Molecular structure of matrine](image)

2.3. In vivo Evaluation of Total Body Fat Content

After four weeks of treatment, the total body fat content in mice was evaluated using the EchoMRI™-100H Body Composition Analyzer (Echo Medical Systems, Houston, TX, USA).

2.4. Assessment of the effect on hepatic steatosis

Hepatosteatosis was assessed by measuring hepatic TG content, which was extracted by the method of Folch and determined using a colorimetric assay kit (Triglyceride GPO-PAP; Roche Diagnostics, Australia), as described previously [8].

2.5. Assessment of the effect on liver damage

To examine the effects of Mtr on liver damage, the second component of NASH, plasma alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were measured in week five using commercial kits (ALT/SGPT and AST/SGOT both Liqui-UV Kit, Boerne, USA) [9]. 5-7 hours after food removal, blood plasma was collected from the tail vein and
prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The absorbance was measured at 340 nm using a FlexStation (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

2.6. Quantification of total and non-heme iron

The total iron and non-heme iron contents of liver samples were determined calorimetrically [10], because iron deposition is also regarded as a characteristic of NASH [11, 12]. As described previously [13], a fixative liver tissue was homogenized with 50 mM NaOH and incubated overnight at 75-80 degrees. To quantify the amount of total iron and non-heme iron, either reagent A (a freshly mixed solution of equal volumes of 1.4 M HCl, 4.5% [w/v] KMnO₄ and 40% TCA in H₂O) or reagent B (same as reagent A without KMnO₄) was added to samples [10].

The iron content of the sample was calculated by comparing its absorbance to that of a range of standard concentrations of equal volume. Standards (5x diluted standards) were prepared as a mixture of FeCl₃ in 10 mM HCl, 50 mM NaOH. Standard value was measured using lysis reagent that either contained or lacked permanganate [13]. The mixture was transferred into 96 well plate and its absorbance was measured at 550 nm.

2.7. Real-time polymerase chain reaction of liver RNA

Total RNA was isolated from mouse livers using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, #15596026) as previously described [14]. Real-time PCR was carried out using the IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc, USA) for genes of interest. Target gene expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene (18S). The primer sequence (5′ to 3′) of 18S is CGCCGCTAGAGGTGAAATTCT (sense) and CGAACCTCCGACTTTCGTTCT (antisense); TNFα: CACAAGATGCTGGGA-CAGTGA (sense) and TCCTTGATGGTGTCATGA (antisense); IL-1β: CAACCAAAAGTGTATTTCCCATG (sense) and GATCCACACTCTCCAGCTGCA (antisense); CD68: TGACCTGCTCTCTCTAAGGCTACA (sense) and
TCACGTTGCAAGAGAAACATG (antisense); Collagen 1: CTGCTGGTGAGAGAGGTGAAC (sense) and ACCAAGGTCTCCAGGAACAC (antisense).

2.8. Western Blotting

Liver lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with specific antibodies [15]. Antibodies were diluted 1:1000 with a TBST buffer containing 1% BSA, 0.02% sodium azide (Sigma-Aldrich, #71289) and 0.0025% phenol red (Sigma-Aldrich, #32661). Antibodies for HSP72 (Catalogue No. C92F3A-5, 1:1000 dilution) and HSP90 (ADI-SPA-840HRP, 1:1000) were purchased from Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY, USA; MCP-1 (#2027, 1:1000), HSF1 (#4356, 1:1000), TGFβ (#3709, 1:1000), Smad3 (#9523, 1:1000), mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), phosphoSer2448 mTOR (#2983, 1:1000), α-Tubulin (#3873, 1:1000) and GAPDH (#2118, 1:1000) were purchased from Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA. A nod-like receptor pyrin containing 3 (NLPR3, #20B-0006-C100, 1:1000) was purchased from AdipoGen San Diego, USA. Goat Anti Mouse (#sc-2005), Goat Anti Rabbit (#sc-2004) and Goat Anti-Rat (#sc-2065) from Santa Cruz (USA). Proteins were quantified using a ChemiDoc, and densitometry analysis was performed using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Australia).

2.9. Histological evaluation of liver sections

The liver samples perfused using PFA were sliced to 5 μm sections. Free-floating sections were used and stained with picrosirius red for liver fibrosis. Slides were quantified in five non-overlapping fields of view per animal using an Olympus BX41 microscope with a 20X objective lens and an Olympus DP72 digital camera (Olympus, Australia) [9, 16]. The mean of value was calculated for each experimental group using the threshold function in the ImageJ software package (NIH Image, Bethesda, MD, United States). Data are represented as percentage (%) of positive area per field.
2.10. Statistical analysis

All results are presented as means ± SEM. One-way analysis of variance was used to assess the statistical significance across all groups. When significant differences were found, the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons post-hoc test was used to establish differences between groups. Differences at $p \leq 0.05$ were considered statistically significant and $p \leq 0.01$ were considered highly significant.
3. Results

3.1. Effects on adiposity, hepatosteatosis and plasma glucose

MCD diet feeding is a common dietary model of NASH despite the absence of several metabolic phenotype on body weight gain and calorie intake [17, 18]. As expected, MCD diet-fed mice showed a reduced body weight, body weight gain fasting and blood glucose level despite a significant increase in calorie intake. As shown in Table 1, the excess TG accumulation, indicative of hepatosteatosis, was increased dramatically in the liver of MCD-Con mice compared with CH-Con (by 2 fold, \( p < 0.01 \)). The difference in weight gain between chow, MCD alone and MCD with treatments was maintained throughout the 6-week treatment period.

Mtr had no effect on body weight gain and calorie intake in MCD diet-fed mice. Consistent with previous studies [18, 19], MCD diet-fed mice showed increased adiposity and hepatosteatosis but no glucose intolerance or mild hypoglycemia. There was no change in fasting blood glucose level in Mtr-treated MCD diet-fed mice compared to MCD diet-fed group. Associated with these effects, Mtr also was had no effect in reducing hepatic TG in MCD diet-fed mice (Table 1). Similarly, metformin did not show any effect on any of these parameters in MCD diet-fed mice.
**Table 1.** Effects of matrine and metformin on body weight, total food intake and liver triglyceride

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CH-Con</th>
<th>MCD-Con</th>
<th>MCD-Mtr</th>
<th>MCD-Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>24.3±0.2</td>
<td>23.5±0.2</td>
<td>22.6±0.1</td>
<td>22.7±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight gain (g)</td>
<td>2.0±0.2</td>
<td>0.4±0.2</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.7±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caloric intake (kcal/kg.day)</td>
<td>268.2±7.5</td>
<td>313.7±9.0</td>
<td>319.7±10.0</td>
<td>334.8±18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting blood glucose (mM)</td>
<td>8.0±0.5</td>
<td>7.4±0.4</td>
<td>6.3±0.4</td>
<td>6.4±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver triglyceride (μmol/g)</td>
<td>13.8±4.2</td>
<td>31.6±3.3**</td>
<td>28.2±2.5</td>
<td>31.2±3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mice were fed a chow (CH-Con), or MCD alone (MCD-Con), MCD-treated with matrine (MCD-Mtr; 100mg/kg/day) or MCD-treated with metformin (MCD-Met; 250mg/kg/day). Body weight and food intake were measured twice a week. Blood glucose was performed at week 5. Plasma was collected before tissue collection at week 6 for the subsequent analysis for ALT and AST. **p < 0.01 vs. CH-Con; ††p < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con (n = 8 mice/group).

### 3.2. Effects on body composition using MRI

MCD diet feeding resulted in a lack of metabolic phenotype possibly due to significantly decreased body weight (Fig. 2A). As illustrated in Fig. 2B, in spite of the reduced body weight as a result of MCD diet feeding, there was a significant increase in fat mass in MCD diet-fed mice compared to the CH-Con group. MRI also revealed that MCD diet-fed mice with or without treatment of Mtr or metformin had significant decreases in lean mass compared to the CH-Con group (Fig. 2C). However, Mtr and metformin had no effect on the fat mass of MCD diet-fed mice.
Fig. 2. Effects of Mtr on body composition in MCD mice

The body composition of CH-Con, MCD-Con, MCD-Mtr and MCD-Met was determined by EchoMRI analyzer at week 4 (A) Lean+fat mass; (B) Fat mass; (C) Lean mass. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 vs. CH-Con; †p < 0.05 vs. MCD-Con; ns: no significant vs. CH-Con or MCD-Con (n = 8 mice/group).

3.3. Effects on hepatic inflammation

Hepatic inflammation is critical in the progression of NASH, in which secreted pro-inflammatory cytokines produced by the Kupffer cells promote cell injury and fibrogenesis in the liver [1]. Key inflammatory cytokines, including TNFα, IL1β, MCP-1 and NLRP3, are particularly associated with liver inflammation, ultimately NASH [1, 20]. To examine the role of inflammation in MCD diet-fed mice, the protein activity or expression of these inflammatory markers were measured. As expected, MCD-diet resulted in a marked pro-inflammatory response in the liver as evidenced by increased gene expression of TNFα (2-fold increase), as well as increased protein levels of MCP1 (1.5-fold) and NLRP3 (1.5-fold). The increased expression of MCP1 coincided with an increased gene expression of CD68, suggesting recruitment and activation of the Kupffer cells, confirming the presence of hepatic
inflammation. Administration of Mtr, but not metformin, significantly decreased the expression of TNFα, CD68 (both $p < 0.05$ vs. MCD-Con mice) and MCP-1 ($p < 0.01$ vs. MCD-Con mice) (**Fig. 3 A, C and D**). However, no significant differences were detected in the expression of IL-1β amongst the experimental groups (**Fig. 3 B**). It has been suggested that NLRP3 blockade reverses advanced stage liver inflammation and fibrosis in MCD diet-induced NASH [2]. Consistent with results on the inhibition of inflammatory markers, Mtr significantly decreased the inflammasome activation in MCD diet-fed mice (**Fig. 3 E**). In contrast to Mtr, metformin had no effect on the activities or expression of these markers (**Fig. 3 A-E**).
**Fig. 3. Effects of Mtr on inflammation in MCD-fed mice.** (A) TNFα mRNA, (B) IL1β mRNA and (C) CD68 mRNA. Protein expression of MCP-1 (D) and NCLP3 (E), and the corresponding representative blots (F). *p < 0.05 vs. CH-Con; †p < 0.01, ††p < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con; ns: no significant vs. CH-Con or MCD-Con (n = 7-8 mice/group).

### 3.4. Effects on the hepatic fibrogenesis

The effect of Mtr on hepatic fibrosis was examined, as liver fibrosis is another hallmark of advanced NASH [21, 22]. As shown in **Fig. 4 A-C**, MCD diet-fed mice exhibited marked increases in the hepatic expression of key fibrogenic proteins, namely TGFβ, collagen1 and Smad3 (*p < 0.05 vs. CH-Con). Mtr treatment completely normalized the protein abundance of these fibrotic markers to the corresponding levels in CH-Con mice. Meanwhile, metformin treatment decreased these markers but less effective compared to Mtr treatment. There was no significant change in caspase-1 protein expression between groups (**Fig. 4 D**).
Fig. 4. Effects of Mtr on fibrosis in MCD diet-fed mice. Liver lysates from mice were immunoblotted for (A) TGFβ, (C) Smad3 and (D) Caspase-1 or RT-PCR for (B) collagen 1. *p < 0.05 vs. CH-Con; †p < 0.01, ††p < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con; ns: no significant vs. CH-Con or MCD-Con (n = 7-8 mice/group).

To further evaluate the effect of Mtr on hepatic fibrogenesis, liver sections were stained with picrosirius red to quantify the extent of liver fibrosis. As shown in Fig. 5, there was ~83% increase of liver fibrosis (p < 0.01 vs. CH-Con fed mice) in the liver of MCD-fed mice and this increase was reversed following treatment with Mtr (~66% reduction, p < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con mice). In comparison, no significant reduction of liver fibrosis was observed following metformin treatment.
Fig. 5. Effects of Mtr on fibrosis in MCD diet-fed mice. (A) Representative images showing collagen staining with picrosirius red in liver sections from control and treated groups. Scale bar = 200 µm, 10, 40x magnification. (B) Mtr treated mice had significantly reduced fibrosis area compare to MCD-Con group. **p < 0.01 vs. CH-Con; ††p < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con (n = 7-8 mice/group).

3.5. Effects on plasma level of liver enzymes and iron deposition

Liver damage has been suggested to be an important factor that distinguishes NASH from hepatosteatosis [23]. We next examined whether Mtr treatment may alleviate liver damage in
MCD diet-fed mice. As shown in Fig. 6 A, MCD diet-fed mice exhibited marked increases in ALT (by 2-fold) and AST (by 1-fold) levels along with the increase of hepatosteatosis in the liver (Table 1). Mtr treatment markedly decreased both plasma ALT and AST levels, indicating Mtr may reduce liver damage. In contrast, metformin reduced the level plasma ALT, but not AST, in MCD diet-fed mice (Fig. 6 B). Iron overload plays an important role in the development of NASH [11]. It has been demonstrated that iron overload exacerbates inflammation and fibrosis-induced steatohepatitis in humans [24] and rodents [12, 25, 26]. We further examined the effects of Mtr on iron levels in the liver of MCD diet-fed mice. Consistent with previous reports, the hepatic level of heme or non-heme iron was elevated in mice fed a MCD diet (Fig. 6 C and D). However, Mtr or metformin treatment had no effect on the increased level of heme or non-heme iron in the liver of MCD diet-fed mice (Fig. 6 C and D).
Fig. 6. Effects of Mtr on liver damage and iron level. After six weeks of feeding and drug treatment, blood sample was collected for the measurement of plasma levels of (A) ALT and (B) AST. Liver tissue was collected for the measurement of (C) heme and (D) non-heme iron. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.05 vs. CH-Con; †p < 0.01, ††p < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con (n = 7-8 mice/group).

3.6. Effects on hepatic mTOR and HSPs expression

Elevated protein expression of mTOR has been observed in NASH patients [27]. It has been reported that activation mTOR enhance hepatic inflammatory and fibrotic pathways, mTOR inhibitors decrease these pathways in HepG2 cells and rodents [27, 28]. Inhibition of mTOR has been shown to alleviate liver damage and fibrosis in a mouse model of NASH induced by MCD diet [29]. To explore whether the effect of Mtr on NASH involved the inhibition of mTOR pathway, the hepatic protein level of mTOR was assessed. Consistent with the observation in human NASH patient, MCD-Con mice had increased protein level of mTOR in the liver. Interestingly, treatment with Mtr normalized the protein level of mTOR (p < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con) towards the levels seen in CH-Con mice (Fig. 7 A). Our results suggested that Mtr treatment inhibited MCD diet-induced hepatic mTOR expression. In comparison, metformin had no effect on the hepatic mTOR level in MCD diet-fed mice.

Previous work from our laboratory has showed that the antisteatotic effects of Mtr involve the activation of HSP72 in the liver of HFD-fed mice [7]. The dissociation of HSP90 from HSF1 triggers the trimerization and activation of HSF1, which in turn initiates the expression of HSP72 [30]. To determine whether Mtr-induced improvement on NASH was associated with the upregulation of HSP72, we measured the HSP90, HSF1 and HSP72 protein expression in the liver. As shown in Fig. 7 B-D, HSP90 and HSP72 expression were blunted (50% reduction compared to CH-Con mice, p < 0.05) by MCD diet feeding, but had no significant change on the protein level of HSF1. In addition to the upregulation of hepatic HSP72 and
unchanged level of HSP90, which is consistent with our previous report [7], Mtr treatment significantly increased the hepatic HSF1 level. In comparison, metformin treatment had no effect on the expression of HSP72 and HSP90, despite of the normalization of HSF1 level. These results indicate that the therapeutic effect of Mtr on NASH is associated with inhibition of mTOR and upregulation of HSPs and, in particular, HSP72.

Fig. 7. Effects of Mtr on HSF1, HSP90, HSP72 and mTOR in MCD diet-fed mice. Liver lysates from mice were immunoblotted for (A) mTOR (B) HSP90, (C) HSF1 and (D) HSP72 and quantified for statistical analysis. *p < 0.05 vs. CH-Con; †p < 0.01, ††p < 0.01 vs. MCD-Con (n = 7-8 mice/group).

4. Discussion
Our previous studies, which focused on the metabolic effect of Mtr, have demonstrate that Mtr treatment was effective in reducing hepatosteatosis, adiposity and glucose intolerance in various diet-induced mouse models [7]. Current study further investigates the effect of Mtr on NASH, a hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome [1]. This study demonstrated that Mtr markedly ameliorated hepatic damage, inflammation and fibrosis, with inhibition of mTOR and upregulation of HSP72, in a mouse model of NASH induced by MCD diet feeding. In comparison, metformin had no effect on inflammation, collagen deposition, HSP72 or mTOR.

While high-fat or high-fructose feeding results in several prominent features of metabolic syndrome (including obesity, hepatosteatosis, glucose intolerance etc.), they do not induce apparent and severe hepatic damage or fibrosis in the liver [31]. In contrast, MCD diet feeding is a well-recognized model of NASH that rapidly induces hepatic steatosis, damage, inflammation and fibrosis in mice, despite of the absence of insulin resistance and hyperglycemia [17, 18, 31]. Therefore, we evaluated the protective effects of Mtr on NASH in mice fed a MCD diet.

Consistent with previous studies, mice fed a MCD diet developed hepatic inflammation and fibrosis that reflected the natural course of NASH in human [18, 19, 32]. Mtr prevented MCD diet-induced inflammation and fibrosis in the liver after 6 weeks of treatment, as demonstrated by a Mtr-induced suppression of the increases in TNFα, CD68, MCP-1 and NLRP3, and hepatic fibrosis markers (TGFβ, Smad3 and collagen1) induced by MCD diet.

Several studies indicated that activation of inflammatory cytokines has a vital role in the progression of NASH [1, 22]. The increase of pro-inflammatory cytokines production via the activation of Kupffer cells, in particular TNFα, was suggested to be the key mediator of
NASH progression [33]. Alternatively, inhibition of TNFα activity using anti-inflammatory drugs improved liver damage, inflammation and NASH [34, 35]. Furthermore, in line with increased TNFα production, the increased presence of CD68 and MCP-1 are associated with the severity of NASH [1, 9]. The results of the current study proved that Mtr significantly reduced these inflammatory targets-induced by MCD diet feeding. Another central participator in the development of NASH is the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome [20, 36]. It has been recently reported that blockage NLRP3 activation reduced liver inflammation and fibrosis in MCD diet-fed mice [2]. It generally believes that the anti-inflammatory activities of Mtr are largely due to its ability to scavenge airway inflammation and hepatic inflammation [6]. The result of Mtr-induced attenuation of hepatic inflammation, through inhibiting the activity of TNFα and suppressing several inflammatory proteins and chemokines (including CD68, MCP-1 and NLRP3) in the MCD diet-fed mice, supported the notion that Mtr is likely to reduce NASH via inhibition of the inflammation pathway.

Consistent with elevated levels of pro-inflammation cytokines and exacerbated fibrosis, MCD-diet feeding resulted in hepatic fibrosis, is also known as another hallmark of NASH [1, 18], and it is considered as a result of repetitive inflammation events [22]. In NASH, fibrosis closely correlates with the degree of inflammation and severity of the disease [21, 22]. While Mtr prevents liver fibrosis (TGFβ and collagen production) induced by carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) in rats [37], whether Mtr inhibit fibrosis in a NASH model without the involvement of chemical toxicity remains unclear. To investigate whether the inhibition of hepatic inflammation by Mtr involves the inhibition of fibrosis signaling and collagen production [20, 38]; we examined the effect of Mtr on fibrosis in a mouse NASH model induced by MCD diet. Consistent with the reduction in inflammation, our data indicated that Mtr inhibited the activation of fibrosis by suppression of TGFβ, Smad3 and collagen 1.
synthesis in the liver of MCD diet-fed mice. The effect of Mtr in alleviating fibrosis was further support by the histological data revealing a significant reduction in the number of collagen proportionate area in MCD diet-fed mice after Mtr treatment.

Consistent with elevated levels of pro-inflammation cytokines and exacerbated fibrosis, MCD-diet feeding resulted in severe liver damage as indicated by the elevated plasma level of liver enzymes, particularly ALT, which has been considered as a requisite in the diagnosis of NASH [1, 39]. Treatment with Mtr significantly decreased the elevated plasma levels of ALT and AST, indicating a less extent of liver damage, which is in line with the effect of Mtr on hepatic inflammation and fibrosis.

After demonstrating the effect of Mtr in improving inflammation, fibrosis and liver damage in MCD diet-induced NASH, we next investigated the possible molecular mechanism involved. Our previous work suggested that upregulation of HSP72 may contribute to hepatosteatosis induced by HFD or HFru feeding in mice [7]. Another study from our lab showed that Mtr could reduce glucose intolerance in mice caused by an increase in de novo lipogenesis (DNL) in HFru diet-fed mice (accepted data). Consistent with this observation, the present study found that liver tissue mice fed with MCD diet had significantly lower concentrations of HSP72 and HSP90 protein, and this reduction was rescued by Mtr treatment. Heat shock protein 72 (HSP72) is the major inducible heat shock protein, exerts cytoprotective effects by assisting in protein folding, protein degradation, signal transduction and translocation of client proteins across membranes [40]. It is been suggested that HSP72 expression is progressively suppressed in the liver and muscle of obese and NAFLD patients [41], and in skeletal muscle of T2D patients [42]. An elevation in HSP72 is associated with the reduction in JNK phosphorylation and attenuation of insulin resistance [43]. These results
suggest the anti-inflammatory effects of Mtr, at least in part, involving the activation of HSP72 in the liver.

It is worthwhile noting that Mtr also inhibited MCD-induced increase in mTOR. This is interesting because overexpression of mTOR contributes to NAFLD progression [27]. It has been suggested to play an important role in the development of NASH by activating inflammation and fibrosis in mice fed with choline-deficient diet-induced steatohepatitis [29]. In comparison, metformin showed no effect on MCD-induced increase in mTOR or NASH phenotype. These data suggest that the suppressed mTOR by Mtr may also contribute to its therapeutic effects for NASH. However, studies are required to determine the molecular mechanism involved and how the HSP pathway may interact with the mTOR pathway to mediate the therapeutic effects of Mtr for NASH.

In conclusion, the present study suggests that Mtr, a hepatoprotective drug, might offer protective effects against NASH via suppression of hepatic inflammation, fibrosis and damage, possibly via the upregulation of HSP72 and the reduction of mTOR (Fig. 8). Future work involving the use of HSP72 and/or mTOR liver-specific knock-out model is required to further confirm the exact molecular regulator of the effect of Mtr.
Fig. 8. A Schematic diagram illustrating the proposed mechanism underlying the effects of Mtr against MCD diet-induced NASH
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